This article was downloaded by: University of Toronto

On: 04 Feb 2020

Access details: subscription number 11282

Publisher: Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: 5 Howick Place, London SW1P 1WG, UK



Handbook of Sexuality-Related Measures

Robin R. Milhausen, John K. Sakaluk, Terri D. Fisher, Clive M. Davis, William L. Yarber

Attitudes, Beliefs, and Cognitions

Publication details

https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315183169-5

Robin R. Milhausen, John K. Sakaluk, Terri D. Fisher, Clive M. Davis, William L. Yarber

Published online on: 12 Jul 2019

How to cite :- Robin R. Milhausen, John K. Sakaluk, Terri D. Fisher, Clive M. Davis, William L. Yarber. 12 Jul 2019, *Attitudes, Beliefs, and Cognitions from:* Handbook of Sexuality-Related Measures Routledge

Accessed on: 04 Feb 2020

https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315183169-5

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR DOCUMENT

Full terms and conditions of use: https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/legal-notices/terms

This Document PDF may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproductions, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The publisher shall not be liable for an loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

5 Attitudes, Beliefs, and Cognitions

Dyadic Sexual Regulation Scale

Joseph A. Catania, Oregon State University

The Dyadic Sexual Regulation Scale (DSR) measures the extent to which an individual perceives sexual activity to be regulated from an internal versus an external locus of control. In developing a locus of control scale specific to the dyadic sexual situation, we sought to develop a scale that assesses perceptions of the ability to emit behaviors that (a) influence the acquisition and termination of sexual rewards, (b) effect events between these latter two points, and (c) prevent or avoid aversive sexual encounters. Moreover, the scale would reflect control flexibility, which is generally defined as an individual's ability either to relinquish or to accept control, dependent on the variant nature of social/sexual interactions. A shortened five-item interviewer-administered form of the DSR is also available.

Development

The scale items were derived from open-ended interviews about sexual attitudes with heterosexual and homosexual couples.

Response Mode and Timing

The DSR is an 11-item, subject- or interviewer-administered, Likert-type scale with seven points (1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree). All forms of the scale are available in English and Spanish. The expanded form is self-administered; the briefer revised form is interviewer administered. Both forms take 1–2 minutes to complete.

Scoring

Five items are reversed (Items 2, 5, 6, 8, 10) for counter-balancing purposes. After reverse-scoring selected items, total scores are computed by summing across items; higher scores indicate a greater degree of internal control (scores range from 11 [external] to 77 [internal]).

Reliability

The DSR has been administered to college students, national urban probability samples constructed to adequately represent White, Black, and Hispanic ethnic groups, and HIV-risk groups (Catania, Coates, Kegeles et al., 1992; Catania, Coates, Stall et al., 1992). The DSR scale has also been administered to respondents from introductory psychology classes at a university recruited to participate in a sexual survey study that assessed locus of control in sexual contexts (Catania, McDermott, & Wood, 1984). The college-age analyses (Catania et al., 1984) examined only heterosexuals who had a current, regular sexual partner. Sample 1 consisted of 151 White students (59 males and 92 females) with a mean age of 27. Sample 2 consisted of 27 males and 43 females with similar demographic features as Sample 1. Reliability was good (Cronbach's alpha = .74 in Sample 1, and .83 in Sample 2). A principal component analysis with varimax rotation was conducted on the DSR items for Sample 1. There were no item loadings greater than .30 beyond the first factor, and the first factor accounted for 95 percent of the variance. Test-retest reliability was .77, with a 2-week interval.

The five-item shortened version of the DSR was administered to respondents recruited to participate in the 1990–1991 National AIDS Behavior Survey (NABS) longitudinal cohort study, which was composed of three interlaced samples designed to oversample African Americans and Hispanics for adequate representation (Catania, Coates, Kegeles et al., 1992; Catania, Coates, Stall et al., 1992). The interlaced samples included a national sample, an urban sample of 23 cities with high prevalence of AIDS cases, and a special Hispanic urban sample. The revised version of the DSR was administered to 4,620 respondents between the ages of 18-49. The reliability was good (Cronbach's alpha = .62 total sample). Means, standard deviations, range, median, and reliabilities are given for White, Black, and Hispanic groups, males and females, and levels of education for both national and urban-high risk city samples (Table 1). The shortened five-item version was also administered

¹ Address correspondence to: catania1951@comcast.net

TABLE 1 Normative Data for Dyadic Sexual Regulation Scale (NABS^a Study Wave 2)

Study wave 2)		-				
	N	M	SD	Range	Mdn	Alpha
National sample	1,022	15.62	2.83	15.0	16.0	.59
High-risk cities	3,598	15.37	2.86	15.0	15.0	.57
Ethnicity						
White						
National sample	747	15.75	2.75	15.0	16.0	.61
High-risk cities Black	1,565	15.62	2.68	15.0	16.0	.61
National sample	162	15.23	2.99	14.0	15.0	.47
High-risk cities Hispanic	1,181	15.18	3.06	15.0	15.0	.52
National sample	90	15.45	3.03	14.0	15.6	.61
High-risk cities	764	14.98	3.20	15.0	15.0	.60
Gender Male						
National sample	410	15.37	2.65	14.0	15.0	.86
High-risk cities	1,553	15.24	2.77	15.0	15.0	.56
Female						
National sample	612	15.85	2.98	15.0	16.0	.61
High risk cities	2,043	15.53	2.94	15.0	16.0	.58
Education < 12 years						
National sample	82	14.74	2.89	12.0	15.0	.38
High-risk cities = 12 years	483	14.76	3.12	15.0	15.0	.53
National sample	273	15.75	2.93	13.0	16.0	.59
High-risk cities	807	15.41	2.96	15.0	16.0	.54
> 12 years						
National sample	668	15.71	2.76	15.0	16.0	.59
High-risk cities	2,308	15.54	2.72	15.0	16.0	.58
AMEN ^b Study						
Total	954	15.08	3.01	15.0	15.0	.58
Ethnicity						
White	418	15.14	2.88	13.0	15.0	.63
Black	238	15.00	13.24	15.0	15.0	.53
Hispanic	229	14.98	3.08	15.0	15.0	.55
Gender						
Male	410	15.22	2.74	15.0	15.0	.52
Female	544	14.98	3.20	15.0	15.0	.61
Education						
< 12 years	109	15.44	3.30	13.0	16.0	.57
= 12 years	213	14.64	3.21	15.0	15.0	.54
> 12 years	626	15.26	2.86	14.0	15.0	.59

Note. Because weights for probability of selection are used, all frequencies may not sum to equal total frequencies.

to 954 respondents who participated in the third wave of the AIDS in Multi-ethnic Neighborhoods (AMEN) study (Catania, Coates, Stall et al., 1992). The AMEN study is a longitudinal study (three waves) in which the distribution of HIV, sexually transmitted diseases, related risk behaviors, and their correlates across social strata were examined (see Catania, Coates, Stall et al., 1992). Respondents ranged from 20–44 years of age and included White (N = 418) African-American (N = 124) and Hispanic (N = 229) ethnic groups. Reliability was moderate (Cronbach's alpha = .59). The mean, standard deviation, median, range, and reliabilities of ethnic groups, gender, and levels of education are provided in Table 1.

Validity

The DSR revealed convergent validity with the Nowicki-Strickland Adult Internal-External Control Scale (NSLC; Nowicki & Duke, 1974), r = .19, p < .05, df = 149 (Catania et al., 1984). The DSR was found to be related with each dyadic measure of sexual activity. The scale was not found to be related to monadic activities (i.e., masturbation), further supporting the concurrent validity of the DSR with locus of control. Internality with regard to sexual activity is associated with higher frequencies of intercourse, oral sex from partner, orgasms with partner, sexual relations, affectionate behaviors, and sexual satisfaction, and with lesser anxiety in sexual situations. DSR was not found to be related to gender. In contrast, the NSLC was more weakly associated with each criterion.

References

Catania, J. A., Coates, T., Kegeles, S., Thompson-Fullilove, M., Peterson, J., Marin, B., . . . Hulley, S. (1992). Condom use in multi-ethnic neighborhoods of San Francisco: The population-based AMEN (AIDS in Multi-Ethnic Neighborhoods) study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 82, 284–287. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.82.2.284 (see also Erratum, June 1992, 82, 998)

Catania, J. A., Coates, T. J., Stall, R., Turner, H., Peterson. J., Hearst, N., . . . Wiley, J. (1992). Prevalence of AIDS-related risk factors and condom use in the United States. *Science*, 258, 1101–1106. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1439818

Catania, J. A., McDermott, L. J., & Wood, J. A. (1984). The assessment of locus of control: Situational specificity in the sexual context. *Journal of Sex Research*, 20, 310–324. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224498409551228

Nowicki, S., & Duke, M. (1974). A Locus of Control Scale for noncollege as well as college adults. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 38, 136–137. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.1974.10119950

^aNational AIDS Behavior Study

^bAIDS in Multi-Ethnic Neighborhoods.

Exhibit

Dyadic Sexual Regulation Scale

Instructions: The following statements describe different things people do and feel about sex. Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these statements.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Strongly agree						Strongly disagree
1.	I often take the initiative in beginning sexual activity.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	If my sexual relations are not satisfying there is little I can do to improve the situation.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	I have sexual relations with my partner as often as I would like.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	My planning for sexual encounters leads to good sexual experiences with my partner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	I feel that it is difficult to get my partner to do what makes me feel good during sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	I feel that my sexual encounters with my partner usually end before I want them to.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	When I am not interested in sexual activity I feel free to reject sexual advances by my partner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	I want my partner to be responsible for directing our sexual encounters.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	I find it pleasurable at times to be the active member during sexual relations while my partner takes a passive role.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	I would feel uncomfortable bringing myself to orgasm if the stimulation my partner was providing was inadequate.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The Sexual Importance Scale

JOHN M. DOSSETT,² Tennessee State University

The Sexual Importance Scale (SIS) was developed to assess the importance individuals assign to sexual expression (Dossett, 2014). It is clear that people differ in beliefs about the importance of sexuality. But utility of the construct of sexual importance to facilitate our understanding of topics such as sexual decision making and relationship satisfaction has been limited by inadequate recognition of how sexual importance may differ from related constructs such as desire, erotophilia, and motivation. In addition, researchers who have included the construct in their research have generally been limited to the use of one-item assessments (e.g., Haavio-Mannila & Kontula, 1997;

Herold & Milhausen, 1999; Laumann et al., 2006; Thomas, Chang, Dillon, & Hess, 2014). The SIS is a 17-item scale measuring beliefs about sexual importance utilizing items representing the kinds of real-world dilemmas that people face in sexual decision making.

Development

A focus group consisting of faculty and graduate students studying close relationships developed an initial set of 38 items. Items were designed to present participants with situations in which sexual expression is at

² Address correspondence to: jdossett@tnstate.edu

odds with or made more difficult by common demands and obligations like those encountered in everyday life. The original items were administered to a sample of 239 students (150 female, 89 male) ranging in age from 18 to 49. Items that were consistent across multiple factor analysis extraction methods were retained in the final version of the instrument. Items with communalities below .35 with any extraction method were eliminated from the final scale. The final scale consisted of 17 items with a Cronbach's alpha of .85.

Response Mode and Timing

The SIS takes 2 to 4 minutes to complete and can be administered using paper-and-pencil or a computer. Participants respond by indicating their degree of support for each item on a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*disagree strongly*) to 7 (*agree strongly*).

Scoring

Two items (15 and 17) require reverse scoring. The total SIS score is computed by summing all individual item scores. Total scores range from 17 to 119. Higher scores indicate greater importance placed on sexual expression.

Reliability

The SIS demonstrates high internal consistency. Cronbach's alpha values ranged from .81 to .88 over four different samples during the instrument's development. The ability of the instrument to indicate the relative stability of sexual importance over time was assessed using a modified splithalf procedure and calculating the corrected correlation (Nunnally, 1978). The correlation between scores collected 2 weeks apart was .72.

Validity

Evidence for construct validity of the SIS is provided by a predictable pattern of relationships with scores on established sexuality instruments, but coefficients are not high enough to suggest duplication of an existing measure (Kerlinger, 1986). Sexual importance is strongly positively correlated with sexual motivation, r(284) = .52, p < .001; sexual preoccupation, r(284) = .44, p < .001; erotophilia, r(284) = .39, p < .001; and sexual desire, r(284) = .38, p < .001. Sexual importance is negatively correlated with

constructs such as sex guilt, r(284) = -.30, p < .001 and fear of sexual relationships, r(284) = -.19, p = .002.

The SIS has also demonstrated discriminant validity. Data was collected from participants who completed both the SIS and the Human Sexuality Questionnaire (Zuckerman, 2011). Scores on the SIS were unrelated to permissiveness as assessed by both the Social Relationship and the Emotional Relationship subscales of the Attitudes Toward Heterosexual Activities Scale (Zuckerman, 2011). Sexual importance is also unrelated to attitudes toward homosexuality in general.

Evidence indicates that the SIS has criterion validity. SIS scores are predictive of heterosexual experience in general, r(127) = .20, p = .023. And, sexual importance is predictive of several specific sexual behaviors such as masturbation experience, r(127) = .28, p = .001; number of heterosexual partners, r(127) = .34, p < .001; anal sex with someone of the opposite gender, r(127) = .24, p = .007; engaging in group sex, r(127) = .25, p = .006; use of erotic materials, r(127) = .23, p = .01; and practicing partner exchange, r(127) = .19, p = .036. The more important sex is to someone, the more likely they are to have engaged in a wider range of sexual activities.

References

Dossett, J. M. (2014). How important is sex? The development and validation of the Sexual Importance Scale. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of The Society for the Scientific Study of Sexuality, Omaha, NE. November.

Haavio-Mannila, E., & Kontula, O. (1997). Correlates of increased sexual satisfaction. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 26, 399–419. https:// doi.org/10.1023/A:1024591318836

Herold, E. S., & Milhausen, R. R. (1999). Dating preferences of university women: An analysis of the nice guy stereotype. *Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy*, 25, 333–343. https://doi. org/10.1080/00926239908404010

Kerlinger, F. N. (1986). Foundations of behavior research (3rd ed.). Fort Worth, TX: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Laumann, E. O., Paik, A., Glasser, D. B., Kang, J., Wang, T., Levinson, B., . . . Gingell, C. (2006). A cross-national study of subjective sexual well-being among older women and men: Findings from the Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 35, 145–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-005-9005-3

Nunnally, J. C. (1978). Psychometric Theory (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Thomas, H. N., Chang, C. H., Dillon, S., & Hess, R. (2014). Sexual activity in midlife women: Importance of sex matters. *Journal of the American Medical Association Internal Medicine*, 174, 631–633. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.14402

Zuckerman, M. (2011). Human Sexuality Questionnaire. In T. D. Fisher, C. M. Davis, W. L. Yarber, & S. L. Davis (Eds.), *Handbook of sexuality-related measures* (3rd ed., pp. 95–101). New York: Routledge.

Exhibit

The Sexual Importance Scale

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. There are no wrong or right answers.

	ise indicate whether you agree or disagree	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Disagree strongly	Mostly disagree	Disagree a little	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree a little	Mostly agree	Agree strongly
1.	Having a regular sex partner is one of the most important benefits of marriage or other long-term relationship.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	I expect my partner to make being a good lover a high priority in our relationship.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	Paying attention to each other sexually is one of the most important things couples should do to be happy together.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	Couples would be happier if they spent more time making love.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	When I am choosing a partner, average looks are okay as long as they are a good lover:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	If I knew that I would not get caught, I can see myself doing something illegal to obtain sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	When I am choosing a partner, it is okay if they are not that smart as long as they are a good lover.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	If my partner wanted me to work less and spend more time making love, I would try and do as they wished.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	I would feel justified in getting a divorce if I were not sexually satisfied.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	If my partner refused to have sex with me after a reasonable amount of time in a dating relationship, I would feel justified in dumping them.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	I would dump someone that I liked if I thought they were not good in bed.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	When I am choosing a partner, it is okay if they don't have much money as long as they are a good lover.	0	0	Ο	0	0	0	0
13.	I would do almost anything to obtain a peak sexual experience.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Paying attention to each other sexually is the most important thing couples should do to be happy.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	I would not endanger my health for sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	There is nothing more important in a long-term relationship than a good sex life.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17.	Sex is just not that big of a deal to me.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Virginity Beliefs Scale

Jonas Eriksson, Trent University Terry Humphreys,³ Trent University

The Virginity Beliefs Scale (VBS) assesses beliefs and motivations for engaging in sexual intercourse for the first time.

Development

The statements contained in the Virginity Beliefs Scale were developed using Carpenter's (2002) qualitative study of virginity loss. Carpenter (2002) found that individuals generally perceived of their virginity loss in three different ways: as a gift, a stigma or a process. Gift individuals were proud of their virginity and considered it to be a valuable gift to their first partner. Those identified as perceiving of their virginity as a stigma were anxious to lose their virginity as they perceived it as something to be embarrassed about. Process individuals saw their virginity loss as a step in their natural development toward becoming an adult. Carpenter (2002) suggested that these three frameworks influence first intercourse experiences. For example, those identifying virginity as a stigma were more likely to choose their first sexual partner based on opportunity, while those identifying their virginity as a gift chose their partner based on love and commitment. Carpenter (2002) presented support for the notion that how individuals perceive of their virginity loss may shape their sexual development and behaviour in the years following their first sexual intercourse experience. For instance, individuals identifying their virginity as a gift take a risk when deciding to lose their virginity. If their partner does not reciprocate, it is likely that these individuals feel that their experience was a mistake.

Response Mode and Timing

Participants indicate their agreement with each statement on a Likert-type scale from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). The VBS can be completed in approximately 5–8 minutes.

Scoring

The three frames contained in the VBS are scored separately. Mean *Gift* scores are calculated by summing Items 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 and dividing by 10. Mean *Stigma* scores are calculated by summing Items 1, 6, 8, 11, 15, 17, 19, 21 and dividing by 8. *Process* mean scores are calculated by summing Items 4, 9, 13, 22 and dividing

by 4. Mean scores on all three sub-scales can thus range between 1 and 7.

Reliability

In a sample of 223 undergraduates (Mean age = 19.9, *SD* = 2.4) from a small university in Ontario, Canada, Cronbach's alphas for the scales were .85 for *Gift*, .93 for *Stigma*, and .81 for *Process* (Eriksson & Humphreys, 2014, Study 1). An additional sample of 359 undergraduates at the same university provided reliabilities as follows: .90 for *Gift*, .86 for *Stigma* and .80 for *Process* (Eriksson & Humphreys, 2014, Study 2).

Confirmatory factor analysis (N = 359) demonstrated a good fit of the model (χ^2_{diff} (10) = 670.91, p < .001), and a good fit to the data, χ^2 (196, N = 359) = 489.47, p < .001 ($\chi^2 / df = 2.50$), CFI = .93, RMSEA = .065 (.058 to .072), TLI = -.92 (Eriksson & Humphreys, 2014, Study 2).

Validity

Gift individuals tend to engage in intercourse for the first time for reasons related to improving their relationship with their partner and therefore choose their first partner with care (Carpenter, 2002). The concept of virginity as a gift is compatible with mainstream religious conceptions of virginity. As such, we expected that individuals scoring high on the Gift subscale would generally hold less permissive attitudes toward sexuality and be more religious. As expected, gift individuals reported having had fewer lifetime sexual partners, r(217) = -.27, p < .001. Gift individuals also reported less sexual permissiveness as measured by the permissiveness subscale of the Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale (Hendrick, Hendrick, & Reich, 2006), r(223) = -.464, p < .001 (Eriksson & Humphreys, 2014), and greater involvement in religion (i.e., frequency of religious services/activities), r(242) = .14, p = .025(Eriksson & Humphreys, 2012).

Individuals perceiving their virginity as a stigma hold more traditional gender-role beliefs, r(223) = -.32, p < .001, as measured by the TESR scale (Larsen & Long, 1988), more hypergendered beliefs, r(223) = -.36, p < .001, as measured by the Hypergender Ideology Scale (HIS; Hamburger, Hogben, McGowan, & Dawson, 1996), more sexual permissiveness, r(223) = .42, p < .001, greater agreement with instrumental sexuality, r(223) = .31,

³ Address correspondence to: terryhumphreys@trentu.ca

p < .001, both measured by subscales of the BSAS (Hendrick et al., 2006) and greater agreement with the sexual double standard, r(223) = .27, p < .001, as measured by the DSS (Caron, Davis, Halteman, & Stickle, 1993). The concept of virginity as a stigma is closely tied to traditional masculine beliefs having to do with greater sexual readiness and activity.

Individuals perceiving their virginity as a process typically fall in between gift and stigma individuals in terms of traditional gender roles. Process individuals hold more permissive beliefs than gift individuals, but less permissive beliefs than stigma individuals, r(223) = .25, p < .001 (Eriksson & Humphreys, 2014).

In terms of affective reactions to first intercourse, as expected, Gift scores were correlated with overall positive emotions (r = .38, p < .001), Process scores were correlated with overall positive emotions (r = .23, p < .001), and Stigma scores were only correlated with feeling "relieved" (r = .50, p < .001) (see Eriksson & Humphreys, 2014, for detailed breakdown of correlations with specific feelings).

References

- Caron, S. L., Davis, C. M., Halteman, W. A., & Stickle, M. (1993).
 Predictors of condom-related behaviors among first-year college students. *Journal of Sex Research*, 30, 252–259. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499309551709
- Carpenter, L. M. (2002). Gender and the meaning and experience of virginity loss in the contemporary United States. *Gender & Society*, 16, 345–365. https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243202016003005
- Eriksson, J., & Humphreys, T. P. (2012). [Correlational data between virginity frameworks and religiosity]. Unpublished raw data.
- Eriksson, J., & Humphreys, T. P. (2014). Development of the Virginity Beliefs Scale. *Journal of Sex Research*, 51, 107–120. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00224499.2012.724475
- Hamburger, M. E., Hogben, M., McGowan, S., & Dawson, L. J. (1996).
 Assessing hypergender ideologies: Development and initial validation of a gender-neutral of adherence to extreme gender-role beliefs. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 30, 157–178. https://doi.org/10.1006/jrpe.1996.0011
- Hendrick, C., Hendrick, S. S., & Reich, D. A. (2006). The Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale. *Journal of Sex Research. Special Issue: Scientific Abstracts, World Congress of Sexology 2005*, 43, 76–86. https://doi.org/10.13072/midss.230
- Larsen, K. S., & Long, E. (1988). Attitudes toward sex roles: Traditional or egalitarian? *Sex Roles*, *19*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00292459

Exhibit

Virginity Beliefs Scale

Please think back to the first time you engaged in sexual intercourse. Indicate on the following scale how much you agree with each statement in regards to your first sexual intercourse experience.

		ı	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1.	I actively tried to hide my status as a virgin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	I chose the person I lost my virginity to with care.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	I planned my first time carefully.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	I saw my virginity loss as a natural step in my development.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	It was important to me that the circumstances under which I lost my virginity were perfect.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	I felt my virginity was a burden that I needed to get rid of as soon as possible.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	It was important to me that my first time was romantic.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	I felt embarrassed over being a virgin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	I considered virginity loss to be an inevitable part of growing up.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	I dated the person I lost my virginity to for a long time before we engaged in intercourse.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	I was worried about what others might think if they found out I was a virgin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	The reason I did not lose my virginity earlier was because I had not found the right partner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	I felt that losing my virginity was an important step towards becoming a man/woman.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

14.	I believed I would stay in a relationship with the	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	person I lost my virginity to for a long time.							
15.	I lost my virginity later than I would have wanted.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	I felt in love with the person I lost my virginity to.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17.	I regarded my virginity as something negative.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	My virginity was a gift to my first partner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	I was afraid my partner would find out I was a virgin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20.	I planned my virginity loss with my partner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21.	I was afraid to tell my partner that I was a virgin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22.	I felt losing my virginity was a step in the transition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	between adolescence and becoming an adult.							

Attitudes Toward Sexuality Scale

TERRI D. FISHER,⁴ The Ohio State University at Mansfield/The University of the South

The Attitudes Toward Sexuality Scale (ATSS) was developed to allow the comparison of the sexual attitudes of adolescents between the ages of 12 and 20 and their parents. An instrument was needed that was brief, simplistic, and non-offensive in order to facilitate its use with younger adolescents and yet still be valid for adults. The ATSS consists of 13 statements related to topics such as nudity, abortion, contraception, premarital sex, pornography, sex work, sexual orientation, and sexually transmitted diseases.

Development

Items from Calderwood's Checklist of Attitudes Toward Human Sexuality (Calderwood, 1971) were modified and an objective scoring system was added. The result was a brief, general sexual attitudes measure that is equally appropriate for adolescents and adults (Fisher & Hall, 1988).

The original scale contained 14 items, but one of the items contributed so little to the total score variance that it was dropped from the scale. Several of the terms used in the scale have dropped out of usage since its development. The exhibit indicates the newer terminology that researchers would likely wish to use.

Response Mode and Timing

Respondents indicate the degree of their agreement/ disagreement with the statement by selecting the response that most closely reflects their reaction. The 5-point Likert response format ranges from *strongly disagree* to *strongly agree*. The ATSS requires no more than 5 minutes to complete.

Scoring

Items 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, and 13 are reverse scored by assigning a score of 1 if 5 was marked, a score of 2 if 4 was marked, etc. Then the number of points is totaled. Scores can range from 13 to 65, with lower scores indicating greater conservatism about sexual matters and higher scores indicating greater permissiveness about sexual matters.

Reliability

For a sample of 35 early adolescents (ages 12–14), the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was .76. Among 47 middle adolescents (ages 15–17), the alpha was .65, and for a group of 59 late adolescents (18–20 years old), the alpha was .80. The alpha for the total group of adolescents was .75. Among 141 parents (ages 31–66), the alpha was .84. The test–retest reliability coefficient, using an independent sample of 22 college students between the ages of 18 and 28 over a 1-month time period, was .90.

In subsequent samples of a different nature, the reliability was comparable. Landry and Bergeron (2011) obtained a Cronbach's alpha of .79 in their sample of female French Canadian high school students. In a small study (N = 17) of Muslim women and men between the

⁴ Address correspondence to: fisher.16@osu.edu

ages of 18 and 27 (Ali-Faisal, 2014), the Cronbach's alpha was found to be .73, although in a prior study of Muslim women with a larger sample size (Abu-Ali, 2003), the alpha value was .79.

Validity

In a sample of college students between the ages of 18 and 28 (Fisher & Hall, 1988), the ATSS correlated highly with the Heterosexual Relations (Liberalism) scale of the Sexual Knowledge and Attitudes Test (SKAT; Lief & Reed, 1972), r(42) = .83. The ATSS was also correlated with the Abortion scale, r(42) = .70, the Autoeroticism scale r(42) = .54, and the Sexual Myths scale, r(42) = .59.

In studies of adolescents and their parents (Fisher, 1986; Fisher & Hall, 1988), age was negatively correlated with the ATSS score, r(280) = -.18, although for the young and middle adolescents combined, age was positively related to the ATSS score, r(82) = .37. Amount of education was found to be significantly correlated with the total scale score for the adult participants, r(139) = .20. Religiosity, as measured by church attendance, was significantly correlated to ATSS scores for the middle adolescents, r(45) =-.32; the older adolescents, r(57) = -.44; and the adults, r(139) = -.41, such that people who regularly attended church tended to be more conservative in their sexual attitudes. Chia (2006) reported that adolescents with more permissive scores on a slightly modified version of the ATSS were significantly more likely to report having experienced sexual intercourse, having experienced it at an earlier age, and having experienced it in more casual

As has been found on other measures of sexual attitudes, male participants generally indicate more permissive sexual attitudes on the ATSS than female participants. In more recent research with this measure, sex difference findings have been mixed, with Fisher (2007) reporting a significant sex difference, but no sex differences found in

other studies with similar samples (Alexander & Fisher, 2003; Fisher, 2009).

References

Abu-Ali, A. (2003). Ethnic identity and religiosity as predictors of sexual attitudes among Muslim adolescent girls. Doctoral dissertation, Alliant International University in San Diego, CA. Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Database (accession order no. AAI 3114114)

Alexander, M. G., & Fisher, T. D. (2003). Truth and consequences: Using the bogus pipeline to examine sex differences in self-reported sexuality. *Journal of Sex Research*, 40, 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224490309552164

Ali-Faisal, S. F. (2014). Crossing sexual barriers: The influence of background factors and personal attitudes on sexual guilt and sexual anxiety among Canadian and American Muslim women and men. Doctoral dissertation, University of Windsor, Ontario, Canada. Retrieved from http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6050&context=etd

Calderwood, D. (1971). About your sexuality. Boston, MA: Beacon.

Chia, S. C. (2006). How peers mediate media influence on adolescents' sexual attitudes and sexual behavior. *Journal of Communication*, *56*, 585–606. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00302.x

Fisher, T. D. (1986). An exploratory study of parent-child communication about sex and the sexual attitudes of early, middle, and late adolescents. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, *147*, 543–557. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221325.1986.9914529

Fisher, T. D. (2007). Sex of experimenter and social norm effects on reports of sexual behavior in young men and women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, *36*, 89–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-006-9094-7

Fisher, T. D. (2009). The impact of socially conveyed norms on the reporting of sexual behavior and attitudes by men and women. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 45, 567–572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.007

Fisher, T. D., & Hall, R. G. (1988). A scale for the comparison of the sexual attitudes of adolescents and their parents. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 24, 90–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224498809551400.

Landry, T., & Bergeron, S. (2011). Biopsychosocial factors associated with dyspareunia in a community sample of adolescent girls. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 40, 877–889. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-010-9637-9

Lief, H. I., & Reed, D. M. (1972). Sex Knowledge and Attitude Test. Philadelphia, PA: Center for the Study of Sex Education in Medicine, University of Pennsylvania.

Exhibit

to have intercourse.

Attitudes Toward Sexuality Scale

For each of the following statements, please mark the response which best reflects your reaction to that statement.

		1	2	3	4	5	
		Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	
I. Nudis	t camps should be made completely illegal.	0	0	0	0	0	
	tion should be made available whenever a woman to would be the best decision.	0	0	0	0	0	
	nation and advice about contraception (birth ol) should be given to any individual who intends	0	0	0	0	0	

4.	Parents should be informed if their children under the age of eighteen have visited a clinic to obtain a contraceptive device.	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Our government should try harder to prevent the distribution of pornography.	0	0	0	0	0
6.	Prostitution should be legalized.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	Petting (a stimulating caress of any or all parts of the body) is immoral behavior unless the couple is married.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	Premarital sexual intercourse for young people is unacceptable to me.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Sexual intercourse for unmarried young people is acceptable without affection existing if both partners agree.	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Homosexual behavior is an acceptable variation in sexual orientation.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	A person who catches a sexually transmitted disease is probably getting exactly what he/she deserves.	0	0	0	0	0
12.	A person's sexual behavior is his/her own business, and nobody should make value judgments about it.	0	0	0	0	0
13.	Sexual intercourse should only occur between two people who are married to each other.	0	0	0	0	0

Sexual Daydreaming Scale of the Imaginal Processes Inventory

LEONARD M. GIAMBRA,⁵ National Institute on Aging **JEROME L. SINGER,** Yale University

The Imaginal Processes Inventory (IPI) was developed to measure the various aspects of daydreaming and related mental processes, such as attention, distractibility, and curiosity. The IPI is intended to be taken by normally functioning persons and is meant to measure the range of normal functioning. The Sexual Daydreaming Scale (SDS) was constructed to reveal the extent to which a person has daydreams of a sexual or erotic nature.

Development

The SDS consists of 12 items selected initially by requesting a large sample of "normal" adults to record their recurrent fantasies. An additional sample of respondents reviewed these fantasies and checked off those they had experienced by indicating the degree of frequency on a

Likert-type scale. Those items bearing specifically on sexuality and showing reasonable intercorrelations as well as relatively normal distributions on the 5-point scale were employed for further refinement in the procedure used for generating the 12-item scales of the IPI (Singer & Antrobus, 1963, 1972). In general, this scale has not been used to any degree independently of the other 27 scales that make up the IPI because it loads on at least two of the three second-order factors that consistently emerge from the larger questionnaire.

Response Mode

Each of the 12 items has the same five optional responses: Definitely Not True for Me, Usually Not True for Me, Usually True for Me, and Very True for Me, and Very True for Me, True for Me,

⁵ Address correspondence to: M.Giambra@uscg.mil

Me. These options, in the order given, are assigned increasing larger integer values, either 0 to 4 or 1 to 5, depending upon the study cited.

Scoring

All items are scored directly, and a scale score consists of the sum of the values of the responses to the 12 items. Using this scoring method, the SDS can range from a minimum of zero to a maximum of 48 (or from 12 to 60). Higher scale scores indicate a greater likelihood of sexual daydreaming. An alternate method of scoring based upon a factor analysis of the IPI items is available in Giambra (1980a).

Reliability

The internal consistency of the SDS as measured by Cronbach's alpha has been reported to be quite high: .87 (Singer & Antrobus, 1972), .93 (Giambra, 1978), .93 (Giambra, 1980a). Test—retest reliability over a 1- to 3-year period based upon 45 men was .58, and no significant difference was observed between the first and second testing, t < 1.

Validity

In a sample of 565 men and 745 women from 17 to 92 years of age, it was found that the SDS correlated -.56 for men and -.52 for women with age; the partial correlation holding daydreaming frequency constant was -.41 for men and -.40 for women (Giambra, 1980b). For a life-span sample of men, Giambra and Martin (1977) determined that men who reported having a greater number of coital partners, who had a greater frequency of coitus during the first year or two of marriage, or who had a higher number of sexual events per week between ages 20 and 40 had significantly higher SDS values. For a sample of 477 women aged 40 to 60 years, the SDS was found to be significantly related to menopausal state, a menopausal symptom index, frequency of masturbation, interest in sexual relations relative to partner, and level of moodiness prior to menstrual period (Giambra, 1983a, 1983b); however, age did interact with these variables.

An extensive study of masturbatory fantasy in college students conducted by Campagna (1975) included a factor analysis of self-reports of sexual behavior as well as the scales of two factors of the IPI. One factor, reflecting a generally positive and constructive acceptance and use of daydreaming, included positive loadings for the SDS. Higher frequency and variability of sexual behavior of a relatively conventional heterosexual type was associated with higher scale scores for sexual fantasy. Those subjects who reported more elaborate "story-like" masturbation fantasies were also more likely to report more general fantasies and more sexual daydreams on the IPI.

Other Information

A revised, re-standardized short form of the Imaginal Processes Inventory (SIPI) has been developed by Huba, Aneshensel, and Singer (1981). This 45-item inventory taps the three second-order factors emerging from the longer IPI. The three scales are: Poor Attentional Control (mindwandering and distractibility), Positive-Constructive Daydreaming, and Guilty-Dysphoric Daydreaming. In a study conducted by Rosenberg (1983) examining sexual fantasy and overt behavior in young male adults, there were indications that the Poor Attentional Control pattern characterized men who had more homosexual and less heterosexual fantasies or less masturbatory fantasies involving past sexual experiences. The Guilty Daydreaming Scale was more associated with masturbatory fantasies of beating or domination in masturbatory imagination (r = .34). The data suggested positive general daydreaming is associated with a more accepting attitude toward sexual behavior and sexual fantasies.

References

- Campagna, A. F. (1975). The function of men's erotic fantasies during masturbation. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Yale University, New Haven, CT.
- Giambra, L. M. (1978). Adult male daydreaming across the lifespan: A replication, further analyses, and tentative norms based upon retrospective reports. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 8, 197–228. https://doi.org/10.2190/2BEJ-T9M9-MNJA-L64L
- Giambra, L. M. (1980a). A factor analysis of the items for the Imaginal Processes Inventory. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 36, 383–409. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.6120360203
- Giambra, L. M. (1980b). Sex differences in daydreaming and related mental activity from the late teens to the early nineties. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 10, 1–34. https://doi. org/10.2190/01BD-RFNE-W34G-9ECA
- Giambra, L. M. (1983a). Daydreaming in 40- to 60-year-old women: Menopause, health, values, and sexuality. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 39, 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(198301)39:1%3C11::AID-JCLP2270390103%3E3.0.CO;2–2
- Giambra, L. M. (1983b). Sexual daydreams in 40- to 60-year old women: The influence of menopause, sexual activity, and health. In J. E. Shorr, G. Gobel-Whittington, P. Robin, & J. Connella (Eds.), *Imagery: Theoretical and clinical applications* (vol. 3, pp. 297–302). New York: Plenum.
- Giambra, L. M., & Martin, C. E. (1977). Sexual daydreams and quantitative aspects of sexual activity: Some relations for males across adulthood. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 6, 497–505. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01541154
- Huba, G. J., Aneshensel, C. S., & Singer, J. L. (1981). Development of scales for three second- order factors of inner experience. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 16, 181–206. https://doi.org/10. 1207/s15327906mbr1602 4
- Rosenberg, L. G. (1983). Sex-role identification, erotic fantasy and sexual behavior: A study of heterosexual, bisexual and homosexual males. Unpublished manuscript.
- Singer, J. L., & Antrobus, J. S. (1963). A factor analytic study of daydreaming and conceptually related cognitive and personality variables [Monograph]. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 17 (Suppl. 3–V17), 187–209. https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1963.17.1.187
- Singer, J. L., & Antrobus, J. S. (1972). Daydreaming, imaginal processes, and personality: A normative study. In P. Sheehan (Ed.), *The function* and nature of imagery (pp. 175–202). New York: Academic Press.

Exhibit

Sexual Daydreaming Scale of the Imaginal Processes Inventory

Please indicate how true each of the following statements are for you.

		0 Definitely Not True For Me	I Usually Not True For Me	2 Usually True For Me	3 True For Me	4 Very True For Me
1.	My daydreams about love are so vivid, I actually feel they are occurring.	0	0	0	0	0
2.	I imagine myself to be physically attractive to people of the opposite sex.	0	0	0	0	0
3.	While working intently at a job, my mind will wander to thoughts about sex.	0	0	0	0	0
4.	Sometimes on my way to work, I imagine myself making love to an attractive person of the opposite sex.	0	0	0	0	0
5.	My sexual daydreams are very vivid and clear in my mind.	0	0	0	0	0
6.	While reading, I often slip into daydreams about sex or making love to someone.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	While traveling on a train or bus or airplane, my idle thoughts turn to love.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	Whenever I am bored, I daydream about the opposite sex.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Sometimes in the middle of the day, I will daydream of having sexual relations with someone I am fond of.	0	0	0	0	0
10.	In my fantasies, I arouse great desire in someone I admire.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	Before going to sleep, my idle thoughts turn to love-making.	0	0	0	0	0
12.	My daydreams tend to arouse me physically.	0	0	0	0	0

Sexual Idealization Scale

KAITLYN M. GOLDSMITH,⁶ University of New Brunswick E. SANDRA BYERS, University of New Brunswick

The 9-item Sexual Idealization Scale (Goldsmith & Byers, 2018) assesses the extent to which individuals hold unrealistically positive beliefs about their sexual relationship with their partner.

Development

The items in this scale were based on items from the *Idealistic Distortion Scale* (Olson, 1999; Olson, Fournier, & Druckman, 1987). Five items were adapted from the

⁶ Address correspondence to: kaitlyn.goldsmith@unb.ca

shortened version of the *Idealistic Distortion Scale* (Olson, 1999); four items were adapted from the long (125 item) version of the *Idealistic Distortion Scale* (Olson, Fournier, & Druckman, 1987). These items were adapted to reflect idealization in terms of the sexual relationship rather than the romantic relationship in general. We administered this scale as part of a larger study to an online, predominantly North American, sample of men (n = 206) and women (n = 289) between the ages of 18 and 30 (M = 26.22, SD = 2.32) who were in romantic relationships of at least 6 months. Participants were recruited from Amazon's Mechanical Turk.

To determine the factor structure of the Sexual Idealization Scale, an exploratory factor analysis using principal axis factoring was conducted (N = 495). The KMO index for sampling adequacy indicated suitability for factoring (KMO -.811, Bartlett's test of sphericity p <.001). This analysis suggested two factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 (Kaiser, 1960). However, an examination of the scree plot indicated only 1 factor above the point of inflection (Cattell, 1978). In conjunction with the a priori one-factor structure, a one-factor solution was adopted. Subsequently, this factor structure was tested with the same sample (N = 495) using principal axis factoring and promax rotation (an oblique rotation), confirming the single factor structure (Westen & Rosenthal, 2003). The full model accounted for 48.11 percent of variance, and factor loadings for all 9 items ranged between .63 and .76, exceeding the recommended critical value of .326 (Westen & Rosenthal, 2003). None of the items fell below .30 for communality.

Response Mode and Timing

The measure can be completed in 2-3 minutes using paperand-pencil or computer. Participants rate the extent to which they agree with each item on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*).

Scoring

Items 4, 6, 8, and 9 are reverse-coded. The 9 items are then summed to create a total score. Possible scores range from 9 to 45. Higher scores indicate greater sexual idealization of the partner. Men (n = 206) and women (n = 289) scored similarly on this measure (M = 18.77, SD = 3.96 and M = 18.48, SD = 3.42, respectively). No significant gender difference was found.

Reliability

Internal consistency, evaluated using Cronbach's alpha based on all nine items, was high (a = .86, N = 495).

Validity

To establish the content validity of the scale, a group of sexuality researchers examined the items; they were judged to have good face and content validity. Scores on the scale were positively correlated with scores on the Idealistic Distortion Scale (Olson, 1999), providing evidence for its convergent validity, r = .61, p < .001 (Westen & Rosenthal, 2003). The scale was significantly positively correlated with the sexual frequency subscale of the Brief Index of Sexual Functioning for Women (Mazer, Leiblum, & Rosen, 2000), Routine and Strategic Relational Maintenance Scale (Stafford, Dainton, & Haas, 2000), Global Measure of Relationship Satisfaction (GMREL; Lawrance, Byers, & Cohen, 2011), and, Global Measure of Sexual Satisfaction (GMSEX; Lawrance et al., 2011) (rs = .17-.56, N = 495), providing evidence for its construct validity.

To determine discriminant validity, the average variance extracted (AVE; .42) was compared with the squared correlations between this measure and several other measures: the sexual frequency subscale of the Brief Index of Sexual Functioning for Women, the Online Sexual Experience Questionnaire (Shaughnessy & Byers, 2014), the Routine and Strategic Relational Maintenance Scale, the Global Measure of Relationship Satisfaction, and the Global Measure of Sexual Satisfaction. The squared correlations fell below the AVE value (.03–.31), indicating satisfactory discriminant validity (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013).

References

- Cattell, R. B. (1978). *The scientific use of factor analysis*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Goldsmith, K. M., & Byers, E. S. (2018). Maintaining long-distance relationships: Comparison to geographically close relationships. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1960). The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20, 141–151. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164460020000116
- Lawrance, K., Byers, E. S., & Cohen, J. (2011). Interpersonal Exchange Model of Sexual Satisfaction Questionnaire. In T. D. Fisher, C, M. Davis, W. L. Yarber, & S. L. Davis (Eds.), *Handbook of sexuality-related measures* (3rd ed., pp. 525–530). New York: Routledge.
- Mazer, N. A., Leiblum, S. R., & Rosen, R. C. (2000). The Brief Index of Sexual Functioning for Women (BISF-W): A new scoring algorithm and comparison of normative and surgically menopausal populations. *Menopause*, 7, 350–363. https://doi.org/.1097/00042192-200007050-00009
- Olson, D. H. (1999). Counselor's manual for PREPARE/ENRICH: Version 2000. Minneapolis, MN: Life Innovations.
- Olson, D. H., Fournier, D. G., & Druckman, J. M. (1987). Counselor's manual for PREPARE/ENRISH (revised ed.). Minneapolis, MN: PREPARE/ENRICH, Inc.
- Shaughnessy, K., & Byers, E. S. (2014). Contextualizing cybersex experience: Heterosexually identified men and women's desire for and experiences with cybersex with three types of partners. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 32, 178–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.12.005
- Stafford, L., Dainton, M., & Haas, S. (2000). Measuring routine and strategic relational maintenance: Scale development, sex versus gender roles, and the prediction of relational characteristics. *Communication Monographs*, 67, 306–323. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03637750009376512
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics* (6th ed.). Toronto, ON: Allyn and Bacon.
- Westen, D., & Rosenthal, R. (2003). Quantifying construct validity: Two simple measures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84, 608–618. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.3.608

Exhibit

Sexual Idealization Scale

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with each of the following statements (I = Strongly Disagree; 5 = Strongly Agree).

	1	2	3	4	5
	Strongly				Strongly
	disagree				agree
 My partner and I understand each other's sexual likes and dislikes completely. 	0	0	0	0	0
2. My partner completely understands my every sexual desire.	0	0	0	0	0
3. Every new thing I have learned about my partner sexually has pleased me.	0	0	0	0	0
4. There are times when my partner does things sexually that I do not like.	0	0	0	0	0
5. My partner has all of the sexual qualities I've always wanted in a mate.	0	0	0	0	0
6. My partner and I are not sexually compatible.	0	0	0	0	0
7. I can't imagine a more fulfilling sex life than the one I have with my partner.	0	0	0	0	0
8. I do not feel fulfilled by my sex life with my partner at times.	0	0	0	0	0
9. My partner does not meet all of my sexual needs.	0	0	0	0	0

The Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale

Susan S. Hendrick, Texas Tech University Clyde Hendrick, Texas Tech University

The Sexual Attitudes Scale (SAS; Hendrick & Hendrick, 1987) was developed to broaden the assessment of sexual attitudes from a heavy reliance on sexual permissiveness to a more comprehensive and multidimensional approach that would continue to include permissiveness. The SAS was also designed to assess attitudes generically, including marital, partnered, and non-committed persons. Finally, the scale was intended to be psychometrically sound and to complement rather than duplicate existing measures. The Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale (BSAS; Hendrick, Hendrick, & Reich, 2006) was developed because our continuing research and that of others (e.g., Le Gall, Mullet, & Shafighi, 2002) indicated that the factor structure developed for the SAS had shifted slightly. In addition, all indices being equal, the briefer the measure, the greater its practicality for both research and clinical use.

Indeed, over the past couple of years, requests to use the SAS have been minimal (N = 2), whereas over 50 requests to use the BSAS have come from across the United States, Asia and Southeast Asia (e.g., Malaysia, Indonesia,

Philippines), New Zealand, India and Pakistan, Iran, Russia, Brazil, Eastern Europe (e.g., Lithuania, Hungary, Poland) and Western Europe (e.g., England, Portugal). Therefore, we present the BSAS in this entry.

Development

Initial work on the SAS (Hendrick, Hendrick, Slapion-Foote, & Foote, 1985) involved item generation and reduction via principal components analysis (PCA) to a five-factor, 58-item scale. After additional sampling of nearly 1,400 university students from both Florida and Texas and extensive analyses employing PCA with Varimax rotation, 43 items across four factors were retained in a final scale (Hendrick & Hendrick, 1987). Given the nature of PCA, the factors were orthogonal, and the subscales were related modestly. The subscales and number of items follow. Permissiveness (21 items) measures a casual, open attitude toward sex. Sexual Practices (seven items) measures responsible (e.g., birth control) and

 $^{^{7}}$ Address correspondence to: s.hendrick@ttu.edu

tolerant (e.g., masturbation) sexual attitudes. Communion (nine items) presents sex as an ideal or "peak experience." Sexual Instrumentality (six items) reflects sex as a natural, biological, and self-oriented aspect of life. As noted, the scale is appropriate for partnered couples of all types whose relationships have a sexual component.

As noted above, research findings over the past several decades suggested that the factor structure as developed for the SAS might not be the best fitting one in current practice. Based on data from three studies (two existing data sets and one prospective study), and analyses that included principal components analyses, confirmatory factor analyses (CFA), alphas, subscale inter-correlations, test–retest correlations, correlations with relevant measures, and assessment of gender differences, the 43-item SAS was refined into the 23-item BSAS. The final four scales include *Permissiveness* (10 items), *Birth Control* (three items), *Communion* (five items), and *Instrumentality* (five items).

Response Mode and Timing

The SAS can be completed via computer or paper and pencil in 10–15 minutes; the BSAS can be completed in 5–10 minutes. Items are all written as statements, in a Likert format with which a respondent rates degree of agreement. The items are rated on a 5-point basis in a Likert format, with 1 (*strongly agree*), 2 (*moderately agree*), 3 (*neither agree nor disagree*), 4 (*moderately disagree*), and 5 (*strongly disagree*).

Scoring

The lower the score, the greater the endorsement of a subscale. Three items on the *Permissiveness* subscale on the SAS are reverse-scored, to reduce response bias. Scores for a given subscale are represented by subscale mean scores (i.e., total the item scores and divide by the number of items). It is not useful to obtain a total score on the SAS, given that the subscales are relatively independent, representing different orientations toward sex.

The response format for the BSAS is similar to that for the SAS. Scoring is handled similarly to the SAS, using mean scores for the subscales and no overall scale score. No items on the BSAS are reverse scored. The *Permissiveness* subscale comprises Items 1 to 10; the *Birth Control* subscale comprises Items 11 to 13; the *Communion* subscale comprises Items 14 to 18, and the *Instrumentality* subscale comprises Items 19 to 23.

Reliability

Reliability indices for the SAS are taken from Hendrick and Hendrick (1987) and included two studies. Reliability herein refers to internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha), test–retest reliability, and inter-subscale (i.e., intra SAS)

correlations. Values were quite similar across two studies, with standardized alphas ranging from .71 for Sexual Practices to .94 for Permissiveness (Study 1). Test—retest correlations (Study I only) ranged from .66 for Instrumentality to .88 for Permissiveness. Finally, intra-scale correlations ranged from r = .00 between Permissiveness and Sexual Practices to r = .44 between Permissiveness and Instrumentality (Study 2).

In Study 3 using the BSAS from Hendrick et al. (2006), the alphas were .95 for Permissiveness, .88 for Birth Control, .73 for Communion, and .77 for Instrumentality. Inter-subscale correlations were .20 or less except for one that was .40 (Permissiveness with Instrumentality). Test-retest correlations were .92 for Permissiveness, .57 for Birth Control, .86 for Communion, and .75 for Instrumentality.

Validity

Initial criterion validity was demonstrated (Hendrick & Hendrick, 1987) by appropriate correlations between the SAS and measures such as the Reiss Male and Female Sexual Permissiveness Scales (Reiss, 1967) and the Revised Mosher Guilt Inventory (Green & Mosher, 1985). In other research, men reported themselves to be more permissive and instrumental than women reported themselves to be.

The SAS has been used in a variety of studies: exploring relationship infidelity and distress (Cann, Mangum, & Wells, 2001) and comparing men who commit different types of sexual assault (Abbey, Parkhill, Clinton-Sherrod, & Zawacki, 2007). The SAS was also used in a study of French adults (Le Gall et al., 2002), wherein the scale performed well but was found to have a scale structure differing slightly from the original four-factor structure. The Le Gall et al. (2002) findings and changes in language use and cohort influences over two decades prompted us to conduct a series of studies that resulted in the revision of the Sexual Attitudes Scale to the Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale, described below; however, it remains important to understand the research history of the SAS because it illustrates the strong historical base for the BSAS.

In Studies 1 and 2, using existing data sets (Hendrick et al., 2006), the BSAS and SAS performed similarly, though CFA fit indices were significantly better for the BSAS. Gender differences and correlations with other measures (e.g., love attitudes, relationship satisfaction) were very similar. In Study 3, the prospective study (Hendrick et al., 2006), the analytic strategy was similar to that for the previous two studies. CFA indices for the BSAS showed a Goodness of Fit Index (GFI) of .98, AGFI of .95, RMSEA of .05, CFI of .99, and χ^2 (21, 518) = 52.3.

The BSAS has been used in a number of settings. For example, Katz and Schneider (2013) found that Permissiveness and Instrumentality were positively related to positive attitudes and occurrence of college students' hook-up sex. As well, two subscales of the BSAS (Permissiveness and Birth Control) were used

in a large, nationwide survey of United States social work students' attitudes toward abortion and reproductive rights (Begun, Kattari, McKay, Winter, & O'Neill, 2017). They found that these two subscales were significantly negatively related to anti-choice attitudes toward abortion.

Other Information

Both the Sexual Attitudes Scale and the Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale are in the public domain and free for research and clinical use. Only the BSAS is reprinted here.

References

- Abbey, A., Parkhill, M. R., Clinton-Sherrod, A. M., & Zawacki, T. (2007). A comparison of men who committed different types of sexual assault in a community sample. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 22, 1567–1580. https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260507306489
- Begun, S., Kattari, S. K., McKay, K., Winter, V. R., & O'Neill, E. (2017). Exploring U.S. social work students' sexual attitudes and abortion viewpoints. *Journal of Sex Research*, 54, 752–763. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2016.1186586

- Cann, A., Mangum, J. L., & Wells, M. (2001). Distress in response to relationship infidelity: The roles of gender and attitudes about relationships. *Journal of Sex Research*, 38, 185–190. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224490109552087
- Green, S. E., & Mosher, D. L. (1985). A causal model of sexual arousal to erotic fantasies. *Journal of Sex Research*, 21, 1–23. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224498509551241
- Hendrick, C., Hendrick, S. S., & Reich, D. A. (2006). The Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale. *Journal of Sex Research*, 43, 76–86. https://doi. org/10.13072/midss.230
- Hendrick, S. S., & Hendrick, C. (1987). Multidimensionality of sexual attitudes. *Journal of Sex Research*, 23, 502–526. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224498709551387
- Hendrick, S. S., Hendrick, C., Slapion-Foote, M. J., & Foote, F. H. (1985).
 Gender differences in sexual attitudes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 48, 1630–1642. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.48.6.1630
- Katz, J., & Schneider, M. E. (2013). Casual hook up sex during the first year of college: Prospective association with attitudes about sex and love relationships. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 42, 1451–1462. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-013-0078-0
- Le Gall, A., Mullet, E., & Shafighi, S. R. (2002). Age, religious beliefs, and sexual attitudes. *Journal of Sex Research*, 39, 207–216. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224490209552143
- Reiss, I. L. (1967). *The social context of premarital sexual permissiveness*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Exhibit

Brief Sexual Attitudes Scale

Listed below are several statements that reflect different attitudes about sex. For each statement fill in the response on the answer sheet that indicates how much you agree or disagree with that statement. Some of the items refer to a specific sexual relationship, while others refer to general attitudes and beliefs about sex. Whenever possible, answer the questions with your current partner in mind. If you are not currently dating anyone, answer the questions with your most recent partner in mind. If you have never had a sexual relationship, answer in terms of what you think your responses would most likely be.

		Strongly Agree with the Statement	Moderately Agree with the Statement	Neutral— Neither Agree nor Disagree	Moderately Disagree with the Statement	Strongly Disagree with the Statement
1.	I do not need to be committed to a person to have sex with him/her.	0	0	0	0	0
2.		0	0	0	0	0
3.	I would like to have sex with many partners.	0	0	0	0	0
4.	One-night stands are sometimes very enjoyable.	0	0	0	0	0
5.	It is okay to have ongoing sexual relationships with more than one person at a time.	0	0	0	0	0
6.	Sex as a simple exchange of favors is okay if both people agree to it.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	The best sex is with no strings attached.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	Life would have fewer problems if people could have sex more freely.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	It is possible to enjoy sex with a person and not like that person very much.	0	0	0	0	0

10.	It is okay for sex to be just good physical release.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	Birth control is part of responsible sexuality.	0	0	0	0	0
12.	A woman should share responsibility for birth control.	0	0	0	0	0
13.	A man should share responsibility for birth control.	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Sex is the closest form of communication between two people.	0	0	0	0	0
15.	A sexual encounter between two people deeply in love is the ultimate	0	Ο	0	0	0
16.	human interaction. At its best, sex seems to be the merging of two souls.	0	0	0	0	0
17.	Sex is a very important part of life.	0	0	0	0	0
18.	Sex is usually an intensive, almost overwhelming experience.	0	0	0	0	0
19.	Sex is best when you let yourself go and focus on your own pleasure.	0	0	0	0	0
20.	Sex is primarily the taking of pleasure from another person.	0	0	0	0	0
21.	The main purpose of sex is to enjoy oneself.	0	0	0	0	0
22.	Sex is primarily physical.	0	0	0	0	0
23.	Sex is primarily a bodily function, like eating.	0	0	0	0	0

Implicit Theories of Sexuality Scale

JESSICA A. MAXWELL,⁸ University of Toronto
Amy Muise, York University
GEOFF MACDONALD, University of Toronto
Emily A. Impett, University of Toronto Mississauga

The 24-item Implicit Theories of Sexuality scale (Maxwell et al., 2017) measures individual differences in people's beliefs about how best to maintain sexual satisfaction in long-term relationships. The scale measures two specific beliefs including the belief that sexual satisfaction is attained from hard work and effort (Sexual Growth) and the belief that sexual satisfaction is attained through finding a compatible sexual partner (Sexual Destiny).

Development

We created an initial set of items by directly adapting 14 general Growth and Destiny items from the Implicit Theories of Relationships Scale (Knee, Patrick, & Lonsbary, 2003) to reflect specifically the domain of sexuality. We also created 21 face valid items, some of which were inspired by the Relationship Theories Questionnaire (Franiuk, Cohen, & Pomerantz, 2002). We administered

⁸ Address correspondence to: jessica.maxwell@mail.utoronto.ca

these initial 35 items to an online Mechanical Turk sample (N = 264) of individuals in relationships 6 months or longer. Using an exploratory factor analysis, we determined that, as anticipated, the scale had a two-factor solution: Sexual Destiny and Sexual Growth beliefs. We then pruned our scale to 24 items that had strong (> .5) factor loadings and low cross-loadings (< .3).

We subsequently recruited a new sample of cohabiting/married individuals from Mechanical Turk (N=456) to conduct a confirmatory factor analysis on our final 13 *Sexual Growth* items and 11 *Sexual Destiny* items. Our scale had adequate fit (CFI = .90, BIC = 26350.004, RMSEA = .059, SRMR = .059), and a two-factor solution was more appropriate than an ill-fitting one factor solution (CFI = .71, BIC = 27266.199, RMSEA = .098, SRMR = .13.) We further confirmed our scale's measurement structure in a pre-registered study (N = 364; https://osf.io/afk6j/).

In Study 5 of Maxwell and colleagues (2017), we administered the 5 most face valid or highest loading items from each subscale to create a shortened 10-item version of the scale. Although we did not conduct traditional scale validation procedures for this shortened version, it produced reliability levels, mean scores, and results consistent with the full scale (see Table 1).

Response Mode and Timing

The measure can be completed on a computer or using paper-and-pencil in approximately 2–4 minutes. Participants

indicate their agreement with the items on a 7-point scale ranging from *strongly disagree* to *strongly agree*, with no scale anchors labeled in between these endpoints. We worded items to reflect the individual's outlook on sexual relationships in general, and not necessarily one's current relationship specifically.

Scoring

No items are reverse scored. The 13 items on the Sexual Growth subscale (Items: 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 19, 23, 24) are averaged to create a total Sexual Growth score, and the 11 items on the Sexual Destiny subscale (Items: 1, 4, 6, 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22) are averaged to create a total Sexual Destiny score. For the shortened version of the scale, administer Items 5, 7, 16, 19 and 23 to measure Sexual Growth and Items 1, 6, 13, 14 and 20 to measure Sexual Destiny. Higher scores indicate greater endorsement of the respective belief. Sample means for Sexual Growth range from 5.13 to 5.83, and from 2.97 to 3.91 for Sexual Destiny (see Table 1). Sexual Growth and Sexual Destiny are typically moderately negatively correlated (see Table 1). We tend to find higher Sexual Destiny beliefs among men (e.g., d = .32), those in shorter relationships (e.g., r = -.17), and those having more sex (e.g., r = .12); whereas we find higher Sexual Growth among women (e.g., d = .30) and those in longer relationships (e.g., r = .17; sample values reported for Maxwell et al., 2017, Study 1).

TABLE 1
Summary of Existing Samples Using the Implicit Theories of Sexuality Scale

Sample		M	SD	Reliability (α)	Correlation (r) between Sexual Growth and Sexual Destiny
Study 1 (Maxwell et al., 2017; N = 264) Mechanical Turk:	Sexual Growth	5.74	.80	.91	28
Individuals in relationships longer than 6 months	Sexual Destiny	2.97	1.11	.93	
Study 2 (Maxwell et al., 2017; $N = 456$)	Sexual Growth	5.83	.75	.88	36
Mechanical Turk: Cohabitating or married individuals	Sexual Destiny	3.01	1.19	.91	
Study 3 (Maxwell et al., 2017; $N = 56$)	Sexual Growth	5.13	.10	.90	.09
Craigslist: Cohabitating or married individuals	Sexual Destiny	3.91	1.21	.90	
Study 4 (Maxwell et al., 2017; N = 198)	Sexual Growth	5.68	.64	.83	16
In-Lab: Undergraduate couples	Sexual Destiny	3.19	.98	.88	
Study 5 (Maxwell et al., 2017; $N = 548$) Online: Couples who were first-time parents	Sexual Growth (short version)	5.52	1.17	.87	40
	Sexual Destiny (short version)	3.58	1.34	.85	
Study 6 (Maxwell et al., 2017; $N = 373$)	Sexual Growth	5.56	.71	.83	.00
Online: Undergraduate students in relationships > 6 months	Sexual Destiny	3.29	1.02	.86	
Study 7 (Maxwell & MacDonald, 2015; <i>N</i> = 302)	Sexual Growth	5.79	.74	.89	43
Mechanical Turk: Individuals in relationships > 2 years	Sexual Destiny	3.27	1.31	.93	
Study 8 (Maxwell, Vandenbosch, Muise & Impett, 2014;	Sexual Growth	5.28	.56	.83	04
N = 82) Online: Belgian undergraduate students (scale translated to Dutch)	Sexual Destiny	3.07	.79	.86	

Note. Unless otherwise specified, sample was American/Canadian.

Reliability

Across diverse samples, including undergraduate students, married individuals, and new parent couples, our measure shows consistent reliability, with Cronbach's alpha values ranging from .83 to .93. Test–retest reliability examined after a period of 4 months (N = 156) indicated that *Sexual Destiny* (r = .66) and *Sexual Growth* (r = .54) are somewhat stable. Nevertheless, in a daily experience study, these beliefs did show meaningful variations from day to day (Maxwell et al., 2017; Study 3), with *Sexual Destiny* fluctuating more than *Sexual Growth*.

Validity

Although Sexual Destiny and Sexual Growth beliefs strongly correlate with general relationship Destiny and Growth beliefs respectively ($rs \sim .5-.7$; Maxwell et al., 2017; Studies 1, 2, and 4), our measure uniquely predicts relational outcomes above and beyond general relationship beliefs (see Maxwell et al., 2017). To establish discriminant validity, we differentiated our scale from other personality variables and other sexual beliefs (see Maxwell et al., 2017 for greater discussion). For example, neither of the beliefs significantly correlate with sociosexual orientation (rs < .09; N = 306). Providing convergent validity, we see small positive associations between Sexual Growth and sexual agency (r = .26; Table 1, Study 8) and sexual self-esteem (r = .21;Table 1, Study 8). Conversely, Sexual Destiny predicts stronger views that dating is a game (r = .29; Table 1, Study 8) and that sex is a barometer of relationship quality (r =.34, N = 306). Our scale has predominantly been completed by individuals in relationships; however, we have included single individuals in one sample (Table 1, Study 8).

Summary

Our measure has been used in diverse samples (Canada, U.S., Belgium) both in-lab and online. We consistently find that *Sexual Growth* is positively associated with sexual satisfaction and relationship quality measures. Conversely, we find the relationship quality of those high in *Sexual Destiny* is contingent on the level of sexual compatibility they feel with their partner. Examining cultural differences in these beliefs, and whether they shift across one's relationships remain interesting directions for future work.

References

Franiuk, R., Cohen, D., & Pomerantz, E. M. (2002). Implicit theories of relationships: Implications for relationship satisfaction and longevity. *Personal Relationships*, 9, 345–367. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6811.09401

Knee, C. R., Patrick, H., & Lonsbary, C. (2003). Implicit theories of relationships: Orientation toward evaluation and cultivation. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 7, 41–55. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0701_3

Maxwell, J.A., & MacDonald, G. (2015). Association between the implicit theories of sexuality scale and other implicit theory measures. Unpublished manuscript.

Maxwell, J. A., Muise, A., MacDonald, G., Day, L. C., Rosen, N. O., & Impett, E. A. (2017). How implicit theories of sexuality shape sexual and relationship well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 112, 238–279. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000078

Maxwell, J.A., Vandenbosch, L., Muise, A., & Impett, E.A. (2014). The sexual beliefs of Belgian college students. Unpublished manuscript.

Exhibit

Implicit Theories of Sexuality Scale

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement to the following items:

		l Strongly disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly agree
1.	Experiencing sexual problems is a sure sign that a couple is not sexually compatible.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	Sexual satisfaction often fluctuates over the course of a relationship.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	A satisfying sexual relationship evolves through hard work and resolution of incompatibilities.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	Couples who experience sexual incompatibilities in their relationship will inevitably break up.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	In order to maintain a good sexual relationship, a couple needs to exert time and energy.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	An unsatisfying sex life suggests that the relationship was never meant to be.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	Successful sexual relationships require regular maintenance.	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	Without acknowledging romantic partners' different sexual interests, a sexual relationship cannot improve.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

9.	A satisfying sexual relationship is partly a matter of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	learning to resolve sexual differences with a partner.							
10.	Making compromises for a partner is part of a good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	sexual relationship.							
11.	If a couple is truly in love, partners will naturally have	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	high sexual chemistry.							
12.	Working through sexual problems is a sign that a couple	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	has a strong bond.							
13.	Struggles in a sexual relationship are a sure sign that the	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	relationship will fail.							
14.	A couple is either destined to have a satisfying sex life or	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	they are not.							
15.	It is clear right from the start how satisfying a couple's	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	sex life will be over the course of their relationship.							
16.	In a relationship, maintaining a satisfying sex life requires effort.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17.	Sexual desire is likely to ebb and flow (i.e., change) over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	the course of a relationship.							
18.	A passionate sex life is a sign that two partners are meant to be.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	Communicating about sexual issues can bring partners	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	closer together.							
20.	Troubles in a sexual relationship signify a poor match	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	between partners.							
21.	If sexual satisfaction declines over the course of a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	relationship, it suggests that a couple is not a good match.							
22.	If sexual partners are meant to be together, sex will be	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	easy and wonderful.							
23.	Acknowledging each other's differing sexual interests is	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	important for a couple to enhance their sex life.							
24.	Even satisfied couples will experience sexual challenges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	at times.							
-								

Worry About Sexual Outcomes Scale

JESSICA McDermott Sales,⁹ Emory University Robin R. Milhausen, University of Guelph Josh Spitalnick, Spitalnick & Associates

RALPH J. DICLEMENTE, Global School of Public Health at New York University

The Worry About Sexual Outcomes (WASO) Scale was developed to assess adolescents' worry regarding outcomes of risky sexual behavior (i.e., STIs/HIV infection and unintended pregnancy; Sales et al., 2008).

Development

The WASO was developed as part of a NIMH-funded intervention grant (Sales et al., 2008). Domains pertinent

to worry about the outcomes of risky sexual behavior were selected based on a review of the empirical literature. Three topics were frequently noted in the literature with regard to worry pertaining to the sexual outcomes of risky sexual behavior: (a) pregnancy, (b) STI, and (c) HIV. Focus groups of African American adolescent females were conducted to verify that these topics were relevant in their sexual relationships. Eighteen items were created to assess worry in these domains. Health educators assessed

⁹ Address correspondence to: jmcderm@emory.edu

face validity of the items. The measure was pilot-tested on 15 African American adolescent females 14 to 18 years of age. Based on their suggestions, items were revised to enhance reading comprehension. Items that were highly correlated and thought to assess the same construct, as well as items that decreased the Cronbach's alpha below .90, were deleted, leaving a 10-item scale consisting of two subscales: STI/HIV Worry (eight items) and Pregnancy Worry (two items). Data from a longitudinal evaluation study were used to validate the measure (Sales et al., 2008).

Though the WASO was designed for adolescent females and validated with an African American female sample, the items are more broadly applicable to individuals of other racial or ethnic backgrounds and other age groups, and to males. Since its original publication in 2008, the WASO has been successfully used in research with various groups of adolescents, young adults (i.e., college students) and adult women in the U.S. (e.g., Burnett, Sabato, Wagner, & Smith, 2014; Hirschler, Hope, & Myers, 2015; Painter et al., 2013), as well as with males (e.g., Haley, Puskar, Terhorst, Terry, & Charron-Prochownik, 2013). Further, the WASO has been administered around the globe, including in Nigeria (Oguamanam, 2012), the Netherlands (Wolfers, de Zwart, & Kok, 2011), Spain (Bermúdez, Castro, & Buela-Casal, 2011; de Araújo, Teva, & Bermúdez 2014), South Africa (Mmasetjana, 2014), Slovenia (Mmasetjana, 2014), and Iran (Nararkolaei et al., 2014).

Response Mode and Timing

A single stem is used for all items: "In the past six months, how often did you worry that . . ." Each item requires a response based on a 4-point Likert-type scale: 1 (*never*), 2 (*sometimes*), 3 (*often*), and 4 (*always*). The scale typically takes less than 5 minutes to complete.

Scoring

All items are coded so that higher values indicate more frequent worrying about these health outcomes. Scores can be calculated in two ways: (a) items are summed to create a total scale score for the full 10 items, or (b) items are summed to create two subscale scores: *STI/HIV Worry* (Items 1 to 8) and *Pregnancy Worry* (Items 9 and 10). Scores on the total scale range from 10 to 40. Scores on the *STI/HIV Worry* subscale range from 8 to 32. Scores on the *Pregnancy Worry* subscale range from 2 to 8.

The mean score for participants in our validation sample for the total scale was 16.81 (SD = 6.43). Participants in the validation sample had a mean score of 15.52 (SD = 5.96) for the *STI/HIV Worry* subscale and a mean score of 4.43 (SD = 2.03) for the *Pregnancy Worry* subscale (Sales et al., 2008).

Reliability

Stability of the measure was assessed by Pearson correlation. Because it has been suggested that the length of time between reliability assessments mirrors the length of time in intervention studies (Gliner, Morgan, & Harmon, 2001), measurement stability was assessed with six months between administrations. Sample sizes for each administration were: baseline (N=518), 6-month follow-up (N=468), and 12-month follow-up (N=458). Baseline scores on the full WASO (all 10 items) were significantly correlated with scores at 6-month follow-up (r=.38, p<.01) and with scores at 12-month follow-up (r=.27, p<.01). Further, scores at 6-month follow-up were significantly correlated with scores at 12-month follow-up (r=.44, p<.01; Sales et al., 2008).

Validity

The WASO was correlated with other related constructs in the predicted directions (Sales et al., 2008). Specifically, frequency of worry about sexual outcomes was negatively associated with sexual communication self-efficacy (with new partner and steady partner), frequency of sexual communication with partner (Milhausen et al., 2007), attitudes about condom use (St. Lawrence et al., 1994), and social support (Zimet, Dahlem, Zimet, & Farley, 1988). Additionally, it was positively associated with barriers to condom use (St. Lawrence et al., 1999), condom negotiation, external locus of control, and depression (Melchior, Huba, Brown, & Reback, 1993). The STI/HIV Worry subscale correlations mirror the findings for the overall scale score. The *Pregnancy Worry* subscale was negatively associated with frequency of sexual communication with partner (Milhausen et al., 2007) and positively associated with barriers to condom use (St. Lawrence et al., 1999), external locus of control, and depression (Melchior et al., 1993).

The WASO was negatively correlated with condom use at last vaginal sex with steady partners, condom use during the previous 30 days with steady partners, and condom use with steady partner over the previous 6 months. Again, the *STI/HIV Worry* subscale mirrored the findings for the overall scale score. The *Pregnancy Worry* subscale was also negatively correlated with aforementioned condom use variables. Additionally, *Pregnancy Worry* scores were positively correlated with frequency of vaginal intercourse with steady and non-steady partners in the previous 30 days. The correlations were all significant and effect sizes were small to moderate (Cohen, 1988).

Other Information

The WASO is a brief, self-administered behavioral scale measuring adolescents' worry regarding outcomes of risky sexual behavior (i.e., STIs/HIV infection and unintended pregnancy), suitable for low-literate samples (requiring a fourth-grade reading level). Researchers may find the WASO particularly useful in sexual health education interventions for assessing worry of STI/HIV and pregnancy pre- and postintervention to evaluate intervention efficacy.

The authors would appreciate receiving information about the results obtained with this measure.

References

- Bermúdez, M. P., Castro, Á., & Buela-Casal, G. (2011). Psychosocial correlates of condom use and their relationship with worry about STI and HIV in native and immigrant adolescents in Spain. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 14, 746–754. https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_ SJOP.2011.v14.n2.22
- Burnett, A., Sabato, T., Wagner, L., & Smith, A. (2014). The influence of attributional style on substance use and risky sexual behavior among college students. *College Student Journal*, 48, 325–336.
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- de Araújo, L. F., Teva, I., & Bermúdez, M. P. (2014). Psychological and socio-demographic variables associated with sexual risk behavior for sexually transmitted infections/HIV. *International Journal* of Clinical and Health Psychology, 14, 120–127. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1697-2600(14)70045-6
- Gliner, J. A., Morgan, G. A., & Harmon, J. J. (2001). Measurement reliability. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40, 486–488. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-200 104000-00019
- Haley, T., Puskar, K., Terhorst, L., Terry, M. A., & Charron-Prochownik, D. (2013). Condom use among sexually active rural high school adolescents personal, environmental, and behavioral predictors. *The Journal of School Nursing*, 29, 212–224. https://doi.org/10.1177/1059840512461282
- Hirschler, C., Hope, A., & Myers, J. L. (2015). College students' perceptions of and experiences with human papillomavirus and herpes: Implications for college sexual health education. *American Journal of Sexuality Education*, 10, 298–315. https://doi.org/10.1080/15546 128.2015.1091760
- Melchior, L., Huba, G., Brown, V., & Reback, C. (1993). A short depression index for women. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53, 1117–1125. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164493053004024
- Milhausen, R. R., Sales, J. M., Wingood, G. M., DiClemente, R. J., Salazar, L. F., & Crosby, R. A. (2007). Validation of a partner communication scale for use in HIV/AIDS prevention interventions.

- Journal of HIV/ AIDS Prevention in Children and Youth, 8, 11–33. https://doi.org/10.1300/J499v08n01 02
- Mmasetjana, L. G. (2014). Services and programmes for teenage pregnancy and support for teenage mothers: Rural areas of Limpopo province of South Africa, and Slovenia. *Družboslovne razprave*, 30, 69–86.
- Najarkolaei, F. R., Niknami, S., Shokravi, F. A., Tavafian, S. S., Fesharaki, M. G., & Jafari, M. R. (2014). Sexual behavioral abstine HIV/AIDS questionnaire: Validation study of an Iranian questionnaire. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 3, 10. https://doi. org/10.4103/2277-9531.127564
- Oguamanam, A. C. O. (2012). The association of HIV knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs with sexual behavior among a sample of adolescents and young adults in Nigeria. Doctoral dissertation, Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD.
- Painter, J., Cene-Kush, C., Conner, A., Cwiak, C., Haddad, L., Mulligan, M., & DiClemente, R. (2013). Anticipated HIV vaccine acceptability among sexually active African-American adult women. *Vaccines*, 1, 88–104. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines1020088
- Sales, J. M., Spitalnick, J., Milhausen, R. R., Wingood, G. M., DiClemente, R. J., Salazar, L. F., & Crosby, R. A. (2008). Validation of the Worry about Sexual Outcomes Scale for use in STI/HIV prevention interventions for adolescent females. *Health Education Research*, 24, 140–152. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyn006
- St. Lawrence, J., Chapdelaine, A., Devieux, J., O'Bannon, R., Brasfield, T., & Eldridge, G. (1999). Measuring perceived barriers to condom use: Psychometric evaluation of the Condom Barriers Scale. Assessment, 6, 391–404. https://doi.org/10.1177/107319119900600409
- St. Lawrence, J., Reitman, D., Jefferson, K., Alleyne, E., Bradsfield, T. L., & Shirley, A. (1994). Factor structure and validation of an adolescent version of the Condom Attitude Scale: An instrument for measuring adolescents' attitudes toward condoms. *Psychological Assessment*, 6, 352–359. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.6.4.352
- Wolfers, M., de Zwart, O., & Kok, G. (2011). Adolescents in the Netherlands underestimate risk for sexually transmitted infections and deny the need for sexually transmitted infection testing. AIDS Patient Care and STDs, 25, 311–319. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2010.0186.
- Zimet, G., Dahlem, N. V., Zimet, S. G., & Farley, G. K. (1988). The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 52, 30–41. https://doi.org/10.1207/s153 27752jpa5201_2

Exhibit

Worry About Sexual Outcomes Scale

In the past 6 months, how often did you worry that ...

		Never	Sometimes	Often	Always
1.	you might get the HIV virus.	0	0	0	0
2.	you might already have the HIV virus.	0	0	0	0
3.	your sex partner may be infected with the HIV virus.	0	0	0	0
4.	your partner may become infected with the HIV virus.	0	0	0	0
5.	you might get an STI.	0	0	0	0
6.	you might already have an STI.	0	0	0	0
7.	your partner may be infected with an STI.	0	0	0	0
8.	your partner may become infected with an STI.	0	0	0	0
9.	you might get pregnant.	0	0	0	0
10.	you might already be pregnant.	0	0	0	0

Sexual Beliefs Scale

Charlene L. Muehlenhard, ¹⁰ University of Kansas Albert S. Felts, Education Service Center Region 13, San Marcos, Texas

We developed the Sexual Beliefs Scale (SBS) to measure five beliefs—four negative and one positive—related to rape: the beliefs that (a) women often indicate unwillingness to engage in sex when they are actually willing (*Token Refusal, TR*); (b) if women "lead men on," behaving as if they are willing to have sex when in fact they do not, men are justified in forcing them (*Leading on Justifies Force, LJF*); (c) women enjoy force in sexual situations (*Women Like Force, WLF*); (d) men should dominate women in sexual situations (*Men Should Dominate, MSD*); and (e) women have a right to refuse sex at any point, at which time men should stop their advances (*No Means Stop, NMS*). Authors have used this scale as a measure of rape myths, acceptance of rape culture, and heteronormative beliefs.

Scale items reflect these themes. The short form has 20 items (four items per subscale); the long form has 40 items (8 items per subscale). Many respondents found the long form repetitious, and correlations between the forms were high (from .96 to .98); thus, we recommend the short form for most purposes.

Some authors have modified this scale to meet their needs. Some have used a 5-point response scale; some used items from only one or two of the subscales (e.g., Eaton & Matamala, 2014). Some replaced an item on the short form with an item on the long form (van Oosten, Peter, & Valkenburg, 2015).

Development

We created an item pool by identifying positive and negative themes related to rape and generating items reflecting these themes. We created subscales using a series of principle-components analyses.

Response Mode and Timing

Respondents rate items using a 4-point scale from *disagree* strongly (0) to agree strongly (3). The SBS can be administered on paper or online. The short form requires less than 5 minutes; the long form, less than 10 minutes.

Scoring

Subscale scores are derived by calculating the mean for each subscale. Higher scores reflect greater agreement with the subscale theme. These are the items included on each subscale. For the 20-item short form, include the first four items listed for each subscale. For the 40-item long form, also include the items in parentheses.

- Token Refusal: 13, 20, 28, 36 (7, 17, 24, 39)
- Leading on Justifies Force: 11, 23, 29, 33 (3, 8, 19, 31)
- Women Like Force: 4, 14, 27, 40 (5, 9, 18, 37)
- Men Should Dominate: 1, 10, 26, 30 (12, 16, 22, 35)
- *No Means Stop*: 15, 21, 25, 32 (2, 6, 34, 38)

Some authors calculated a composite score (e.g., Armstrong & Mahone, 2017; Dill, Brown, & Collins, 2008). Because the *NMS* emphasizes respect for women's refusals—whereas the other subscales reflect rape-conducive beliefs—*NMS* items must be reverse scored before combining subscales.

Reliability

For a sample of 337 male and female undergraduates, Cronbach's alphas for the short and long forms, respectively, were as follows: *TR*, .71/.84; *LJF*, .90/.92; *WLF*, .92/.95; *MSD*, .85/.93; *NMS*, .94/.96. In other samples, Milhausen, McBride, and Jun (2006) found subscale alphas from .62 to .86 (median = .80). Dill et al. (2008) found alphas from .71 (*TR*) to .94 (*NMS*); alpha for the 20-item composite was .83.

Validity

Muehlenhard and Hollabaugh (1988) found that women who had engaged in token refusal of sexual intercourse—indicating no but meaning yes—had higher *TR* scores than other women, indicating that they regarded token refusal as a widespread behavior.

Muehlenhard and MacNaughton (1988) compared women with *LJF* scores in the lowest, middle, and highest 15 percent of the distribution. Compared with low-*LJF* women, high-*LJF* women rated a hypothetical rape victim as more responsible for the rape, rated it as more justified, etc. Medium- and high-*LJF* women were more likely than low-*LJF* women to report having engaged in unwanted intercourse because a man had become so aroused that they felt it was useless to stop him.

Muehlenhard, Andrews, and Beal (1996) compared men with high *LJF* scores (*LJF* men), men with low *LJF*

¹⁰ Address correspondence to: charlene@ku.edu

but high TR scores (TR men), and men with low LJF and TR scores (low-myth men). For self-rated likelihood of attempting intercourse with a woman who had refused, LJF men scored higher than TR men; both scored higher than low-myth men. When asked to assume that she really had meant no, TR men no longer differed significantly from low-myth men, suggesting that TR men had not believed her refusal, but LJF men still scored significantly higher than low-myth men. The distinct pattern for each group illustrates the value of measuring these beliefs separately.

Jones and Muehlenhard (1990) investigated the effects of a classroom lecture aimed at decreasing rape-conducive beliefs. Four weeks later, students in classes receiving the lecture scored significantly lower than students in control classes on the *TR*, *LJF*, *WLF*, and *MSD* subscales (and on Burt's, 1980, Rape Myth Acceptance, Adversarial Sexual Beliefs, and Acceptance of Interpersonal Violence scales). They did not differ significantly on the *NMS* subscale; even control classes had high *NMS* scores.

Assessing another sexual assault prevention program, Milhausen et al. (2006) found significant pre-to-posttest decreases on *WLF* and *TR* scores. Unexpectedly, *NMS* scores also decreased slightly but significantly.

Dill et al. (2008) found that *SBS* composite scores correlated significantly with exposure to violent video games (r = .24), especially first-person shooter games (r = .26).

Consistent with numerous studies showing that men endorse rape-conducive beliefs more strongly than women do, Milhausen et al. (2006) found that men scored higher than women on all the SBS subscales except *NMS*. Similarly, Dill et al. (2008) found that men scored higher than women on the 20-item composite.

Other Information

In summary, numerous studies support the validity of the SBS. The No Means Stop subscale, however, seems less useful than the others. Some respondents endorsed NMS items, agreeing that men should stop when women say No, but also endorsed items saying that "no often means yes" and that women who "lead men on" deserve to be forced.

Similar patterns have been found in other studies (e.g., Goodchilds & Zellman, 1984); some respondents stated that forced intercourse is *never* justified *and* that forced intercourse *is* justified in some circumstances.

References

- Armstrong, C. L., & Mahone, J. (2017). "It's on us." The role of social media and rape culture in individual willingness to mobilize against sexual assault. *Mass Communication and Society*, 20, 92–115. https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2016.1185127
- Burt, M. R. (1980). Cultural myths and supports for rape. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 38, 217–230. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.38.2.217
- Dill, K. E., Brown, B. P., & Collins, M. A. (2008). Effects of exposure to sex-stereotyped video game characters on tolerance of sexual harassment. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 44, 1402–1408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2008.06.002
- Eaton, A. A., & Matamala, A. (2014). The relationship between heteronormative beliefs and verbal sexual coercion in college students. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 43, 1443–1457. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10508-014-0284-4
- Goodchilds, J. D., & Zellman, G. L. (1984). Sexual signaling and sexual aggression in adolescent relationships. In N. M. Malamuth & E. Donnerstein (Eds.), *Pornography and sexual aggression* (pp. 234–243). Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Jones, J. M., & Muehlenhard, C. L. (1990). Using education to prevent rape on college campuses. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Sex, Minneapolis, MN, November.
- Milhausen, R. R., McBride, K. R., & Jun, M. K. (2006). Evaluating a peer-led, theatrical sexual assault prevention program: How do we measure success? *College Student Journal*, 40, 316–328.
- Muehlenhard, C. L., Andrews, S. L., & Beal, G. K. (1996). Beyond "just saying no": Dealing with men's unwanted sexual advances in heterosexual dating contexts. *Journal of Psychology and Human Sexuality*, 8, 141–168. https://doi.org/10.1300/J056v08n01 10
- Muehlenhard, C. L., & Hollabaugh, L. C. (1988). Do women sometimes say no when they mean yes? The prevalence and correlates of women's token resistance to sex. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 872–879. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.54.5.872
- Muehlenhard, C. L., & MacNaughton, J. S. (1988). Women's attitudes toward women who "lead men on." *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 7, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.1988.7.1.65
- Van Oosten, J. M. F., Peter, J., & Valkenburg, P. M. (2015). The influence of sexual music videos on adolescents' misogynistic beliefs: The role of video content, gender, and affective engagement. *Communication Research*, 42, 986–1008. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650214565893

Exhibit

Sexual Beliefs Scale

Below is a list of statements regarding sexual attitudes. Using the scale below, indicate how much you agree or disagree with each statement. There are no right or wrong answers, only opinions.

		Disagree Strongly	Disagree Mildly	Agree Mildly	Agree Strongly
1.	Guys should dominate girls in bed.	0	0	0	0
2.	Even if a man really wants sex, he shouldn't do it if the girl doesn't want to.	0	0	0	0
3.	Girls who are teases deserve what they get.	0	0	0	0
4.	By being dominated, girls get sexually aroused.	0	0	0	0
5.	A little force really turns a girl on.	0	0	0	0
6.	It's a girl's right to refuse sex at any time.	0	0	0	0

7.	Girls usually say No even when they mean Yes.	0	0	0	0
8.	When a girl gets a guy obviously aroused and then says No, he has the	0	0	0	0
0	right to force sex on her.		_	_	_
	Girls really want to be manhandled.	0	0	0	0
	Men should decide what should happen during sex.	0	0	0	0
	A man is justified in forcing a woman to have sex if she leads him on.	0	0	0	0
	A man's masculinity should be proven in sexual situations.	0	0	0	0
	Girls generally want to be talked into having sex.	0	0	0	0
	Girls think it is exciting when guys use a little force on them.	0	0	0	0
	A guy should respect a girl's wishes if she says No.	0	0	0	0
16.	The man should be the one who dictates what happens during sex.	0	0	0	0
	Girls say No so that guys don't lose respect for them.	0	0	0	0
18.	Feeling dominated gets girls excited.	0	0	0	0
19.	A girl who leads a guy to believe she wants sex when she really doesn't	0	0	0	0
	deserves whatever happens.				
20.	Women often say No because they don't want men to think they're easy.	0	0	0	0
21.	When girls say No, guys should stop.	0	0	0	0
22.	During sex, guys should be in control.	0	0	0	0
23.	When a girl toys with a guy, she deserves whatever happens to her.	0	0	0	0
24.	Girls just say No so as not to look promiscuous.	0	0	0	0
25.	At any point, a woman always has the right to say No.	0	0	0	0
26.	Guys should have the power in sexual situations.	0	0	0	0
27.	Women really get turned on by men who let them know who's boss.	0	0	0	0
28.	Girls just say No to make it seem like they're nice girls.	0	0	0	0
29.	Girls who tease guys should be taught a lesson.	0	0	0	0
30.	The man should be in control of the sexual situation.	0	0	0	0
31.	Girls who act like they want sex deserve it when the guy follows through.	0	0	0	0
	Even if a man is aroused, he doesn't have the right to force himself on a woman.	0	0	0	0
33.	Girls who lead guys on deserve what they get.	0	0	0	0
	If a woman says No, a man has no right to continue.	0	0	0	0
	Men should exercise their authority over women in sexual situations.	0	0	0	0
	When girls say No, they often mean Yes.	0	0	0	0
	It really arouses girls when guys dominate them in bed.	0	0	0	0
	If a girl doesn't want sex, the guy has no right to do it.	0	0	0	0
	Girls who act seductively really want sex, even if they don't admit it.	0	0	0	0
	Girls like it when guys are a little rough with them.	0	0	0	0
	0 / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Sexual Dysfunctional Beliefs Questionnaire

PEDRO J. NOBRE, ¹¹ Universidade do Porto Inês M. Tavares, Universidade do Porto José Pinto-Gouveia, Universidade de Coimbra

The Sexual Dysfunctional Beliefs Questionnaire (SDBQ; Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003) is a 40-item instrument designed to assess sexual dysfunctional beliefs

as an indicator of vulnerability factors to sexual disorders in both men and women. The SDBQ may be useful in both clinical practice and educational programs.

¹¹ Address correspondence to: pnobre5@gmail.com

Development

The SDBQ was developed based on an assortment of specific stereotypes and beliefs presented in the clinical literature as predisposing factors to the development and maintenance of the different male and female sexual dysfunctions.

The validation study used a community sample of 360 people (154 females and 206 males) and a clinical sample of 96 people with sexual dysfunction (49 males and 47 females). Both male and female versions of the SDBQ were submitted to factor analysis (Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003). A principal components analysis with varimax rotation of the female version identified six factors accounting for 43 percent of the total variance: (a) Sexual Conservatism, (b) Sexual Desire and Pleasure as a Sin, (c) Age-Related Beliefs, (d) Body-Image Beliefs, (e) Denying Affection Primacy, (f) Motherhood Primacy (see Table 1).

The principal component analysis with varimax rotation of the SDBQ male version identified six factors that accounted for 49 percent of the total variance (Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003): (a) Sexual Conservatism, (b) Female Sexual Power, (c) "Macho" Belief, (d) Beliefs About Women's Sexual Satisfaction, (e) Restricted Attitude Toward Sexual Activity, (f) Sex as an Abuse of Men's Power (see Table 2).

Response Mode and Timing

Participants may respond to the SDBQ using paper and pencil or computer. The response scales are Likert-type. Respondents are asked to identify the degree of concordance with 40 statements regarding diverse sexual issues, from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). Respondents take an average of 10 minutes to complete the SDBQ.

TABLE 1
Domain and Total Scores of the SDBQ (Female Version)

	Domains	Item Numbers	Min	Max
F1	Sexual Conservatism	2, 4, 7, 13, 14, 17, 27, 28, 32	9	45
F2	Sexual Desire and Pleasure as a Sin	15, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39	6	30
F3	Age-Related Beliefs	5, 6, 8, 11, 20	5	25
F4	Body-Image Beliefs	10, 12, 38, 40	4	20
F5	Denying Affection Primacy	1, 3, 18, 22, 23, 24	6	30
F6	Motherhood Primacy	26, 30, 31, 33	4	20
Total			34	170

Note. Items 1, 3, 22, 23, and 24 are scored in reverse order. Items 9, 16, 19, 21, 25, and 29 are not computed in the subscales of the female SDBQ for scoring purposes (for a detailed description please see Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003). The scale can be used with or without these items depending on their relevance within its application context (e.g., clinical context).

Scoring

Scoring information is presented in Tables 1 and 2. An index of dysfunctional sexual beliefs might be calculated by summing all SDBQ items (after reversing the scores of the inverted items).

Reliability

Internal consistency of the instrument was assessed by calculating the Cronbach's alpha statistic for the total scale and also for each dimension of both male and female versions. Results for the total scale ($\alpha = .93$ for the male and $\alpha = .81$ for the female version) supported the high internal consistency of the SDBQ. The Cronbach's alpha for each dimension of the SDBQ ranged from .50 to .89 for the female version and from .54 to .89 for the male version (Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003).

Subsequent studies with the SDBQ have indicated high internal consistency of the measure. Specifically, for the female version, an α of .97 for the total scale and α values for the subscales ranging from .60 to .97 were generated (Abdolmanafi et al., 2016). Also with the female version, in a Canadian undergraduate sample, the α for the total scale was .91 (Morton & Gorzalka, 2013). Among men, the SDBQ generated an α of .93 for the total scale (Clarke, Marks, & Lykins, 2015); another study found α values for the subscales ranging from .65 to .80 (Carvalho & Nobre, 2011). In a study comparing women with Persistent Genital Arousal Disorder with a control group, the α was .73 for the total sample (Carvalho, Veríssimo, & Nobre, 2013). Among a sample of asexual individuals and matching sexual controls, the female version of the SQBQ demonstrated α values ranging from .87 to .89

TABLE 2
Domain and Total Scores of the SDBQ (Male Version)

	Domains	Item Numbers	Min	Max
F1	Sexual Conservatism	2, 5, 9, 18, 21, 24, 25, 26, 32, 33	10	50
F2	Female Sexual Power	11, 15, 19, 27, 29, 38, 39, 40	8	40
F3	"Macho" Belief	1, 4, 6, 17, 28, 31, 37	7	35
F4	Beliefs About Women's Satisfaction	3, 7, 16, 35, 36	5	25
F5	Restrictive Attitude Toward Sex	8, 12, 13, 30	4	20
F6	Sex as an Abuse of Men's Power	10, 22, 34	3	15
Total			37	185

Note. Item 37 is scored in reverse order. Items 14, 20, and 23 are not computed in the subscales of the male SDBQ for scoring purposes (for a detailed description please see Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003). The scale can be used with or without these items depending on their relevance within its application context (e.g., clinical context).

for the asexual participants and from .69 to .77 for the controls. The male version of the SDBQ indicated values ranging from .76 to .82 for the asexual participants and values ranging from .69 to .79 for controls (Carvalho, Lemos, & Nobre, 2016). Additionally, in comparative studies between heterosexual individuals and gay men and lesbian women, the male SDBQ version generated an α of .73 for the gay participants and an α of .71 among heterosexual men. The female SDBQ version demonstrated alpha values ranging from .68 to .89 for the lesbian participants and alpha values ranging from .70 to .88 among heterosexual women (Peixoto & Nobre, 2014, 2017).

Test–retest reliability for both male and female versions was assessed by computing Pearson product-moment correlations between two consecutive administrations of the questionnaires with a four-week interval. Both male and female versions presented statistically significant results (p < .05) for the total scale (r = .73, n = 10 and r = .80, n = 26 respectively), demonstrating that the instrument presented good stability over time (Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003).

Validity

Our analysis of convergent validity indicated that the SDBQ is associated with validated measures of sexual and more general beliefs, as well as with measures of sexual functioning (Nobre, Pinto-Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003). Our findings showed statistically significant correlations between the SDBQ and the Sexual Beliefs and Information Questionnaire (SBIQ; Adams et al., 1996). The SDBQ also correlated significantly with the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI; Rosen et al., 2000) and the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF; Rosen et al., 1997).

Other Information

Adapted and validated versions of the SDBQ for different countries and languages are available, and ongoing adaptation and validation studies are being conducted, including: Portuguese, Brazilian Portuguese, English, Spanish, Italian (Nimbi, Tripodi, Simonelli, & Nobre, 2018), Romanian (Pop, Iclozan, Costea-Bărluțiu, & Rusu, 2016), Turkish (Ejder Apay, Özorhan, Arslan, Özkan, Koc, & Özbey, 2015), Iranian (Abdolmanafi et al., 2015), Dutch, and German. For more information regarding the SDBQ and permission for its use, please contact Pedro J. Nobre (pnobre5@gmail.com).

References

Abdolmanafi, A. Azadfallah, P., Fata, L., Roosta, M., Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. (2015). Sexual Dysfunctional Beliefs Questionnaire (SDBQ): Translation and psychometric properties of the Iranian version. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 12, 1820–1827. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12931

- Abdolmanafi, A., Owens, R. G., Winter, S., Jahromi, R. G., Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2016). Determinants of women's sexual dissatisfaction: Assessing a cognitive-emotional model. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 13, 1708–1717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.08.013
- Adams, S. G., Dubbert, P. M., Chupurdia, K. M., Jones, A., Lofland, K. R., & Leermakers, E. (1996). Assessment of sexual beliefs and information in aging couples with sexual dysfunction. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 25, 249–260. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02438164
- Carvalho, J., Lemos, D., & Nobre, P. J. (2016). Psychological features and sexual beliefs characterizing self-labeled asexual individuals. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 43, 517–528. https://doi.org/10.1 080/0092623X.2016.1208696
- Carvalho, J., & Nobre, P. J. (2011). Predictors of men's sexual desire: The role of psychological, cognitive-emotional, relational, and medical factors. *Journal of Sex Research*, 48, 254–262. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224491003605475
- Carvalho, J., Veríssimo, A., & Nobre, P. J. (2013). Cognitive and emotional determinants characterizing women with persistent genital arousal disorder. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 10, 1549–1558. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12122
- Clarke, M. J., Marks, A. D., & Lykins, A. D. (2015). Effect of normative masculinity on males' dysfunctional sexual beliefs, sexual attitudes, and perceptions of sexual functioning. *Journal of Sex Research*, 52, 327–337. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2013.860072
- Ejder Apay, S., Özorhan, E. Y., Arslan, S., Özkan, H., Koc, E., & Özbey, I. (2015). The sexual beliefs of Turkish men: Comparing the beliefs of men with and without erectile dysfunction. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 41, 661–671. https://doi.org/10.1080/00926 23X.2014.966397
- Morton, H., & Gorzalka, B. B. (2013). Cognitive aspects of sexual functioning: Differences between east Asian-Canadian and Euro-Canadian women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 42, 1615–1625. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-013-0180-3
- Nimbi, F. M., Tripodi, F., Simonelli, C., & Nobre P. J. (2018). Sexual modes questionnaire (SMQ): Translation and psychometric properties of the Italian version of the automatic thought scale. *Journal* of Sexual Medicine, 15, 396–409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jsxm.2018.01.002
- Nobre, P. J., Pinto-Gouveia, J., & Gomes, F. A. (2003). Sexual Dysfunctional Beliefs Questionnaire: An instrument to assess sexual dysfunctional beliefs as vulnerability factors to sexual problems. Sexual and Relationship Therapy, 18, 171–204. https://doi. org/10.1080/1468199031000061281
- Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2014). Dysfunctional sexual beliefs: A comparative study of heterosexual men and women, gay men, and lesbian women with and without sexual problems. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 11, 2690–2700. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12666
- Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2017). The activation of incompetence schemas in response to negative sexual events in heterosexual and lesbian women: The moderator role of personality traits and dysfunctional sexual beliefs. *Journal of Sex Research*, 54, 1188–1196. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2016.1267103
- Pop, I. F., Iclozan, D., Costea-Bărluţiu, C., & Rusu, A. S. (2016). Sexual dysfunctional beliefs of Romanian women (mothers and daughters): An intergenerational approach. *The European Proceedings of Social* and Behavioural Sciences, 18, 488–495. https://doi.org/10.15405/ epsbs.2016.12.60
- Rosen, R. C., Brown, C., Heiman, J., Leiblum, S., Meston, C., Shabsigh, R., . . . D'Agostino, R. Jr. (2000). The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 26, 191–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/009262300278597
- Rosen, R. C., Riley, A., Wagner, G., Osterloh, I. H., Kirkpatrick, J., & Mishra, A. (1997). The International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF): A multidimensional scale for assessment of erectile dysfunction. *Urology*, 49, 822–830. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(97)00238-0

Exhibit

Sexual Dysfunctional Beliefs Questionnaire

Gender

O Male

O Female

Male Version

The list presented below contains statements related to sexuality. Please read each statement carefully and select the number in the right-hand column which corresponds to the extent to which you agree or disagree with each statement (select only one option per statement), from I (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). There are no wrong or right answers, but it is very important that you be honest and that you answer all items.

	I	2	3	4	5
	Completely Disagree	Disagree	Don't Disagree or Agree	Agree	Completely Agree
A real man has sexual intercourse very often.	0	0	0	0	0
Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse.	0	0	0	0	0
Penile erection is essential for a woman's sexual satisfaction.	0	0	0	0	0
Homosexuality is a sickness.	0	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	0	0
, - ,	0	0	0	0	0
A woman may have doubts about a man's virility when he fails to get an erection during sexual activity.	0	0	0	0	0
Repeated engagement in oral or anal sex can cause serious health problems.	0	0	0	0	0
A shorter duration of intercourse is a sign of a man's power.	0	0	0	0	0
Sex is an abuse of a male's power.	0	0	0	0	0
The consequences of a sexual failure are catastrophic.	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
A woman may stop loving a man if he is not capable of	0	0	0	0	0
, ,	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
• •	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	0	0
	Homosexuality is a sickness. A woman has no other choice but to be sexually subjugated by a man's power. A real man must wait the necessary amount of time to sexually satisfy a woman during intercourse. A woman may have doubts about a man's virility when he fails to get an erection during sexual activity. Repeated engagement in oral or anal sex can cause serious health problems. A shorter duration of intercourse is a sign of a man's power. Sex is an abuse of a male's power. The consequences of a sexual failure are catastrophic. Women only pay attention to attractive younger men. It is not appropriate to have sexual fantasies during sexual intercourse. There are certain universal rules about what is normal during sexual activity. In bed the woman is the boss. Men who are not capable of penetrating women can't satisfy them sexually. In sex, getting to the climax is most important. In sex, anything but vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. A woman's body is her best weapon. A woman may stop loving a man if he is not capable of satisfying her sexually. Vaginal intercourse is the only legitimate type of sex. The quality of the erection is what most satisfies women. A successful career implies the control of sexual urges. Foreplay is a waste of time. Sex is meant only for procreation. In sex, the quicker/faster the better. People who don't control their sexual urges are more	A real man has sexual intercourse very often. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse. Penile erection is essential for a woman's sexual satisfaction. Homosexuality is a sickness. A woman has no other choice but to be sexually subjugated by a man's power. A real man must wait the necessary amount of time to esxually satisfy a woman during intercourse. A woman may have doubts about a man's virility when he fails to get an erection during sexual activity. Repeated engagement in oral or anal sex can cause serious health problems. A shorter duration of intercourse is a sign of a man's power. Sex is an abuse of a male's power. The consequences of a sexual failure are catastrophic. Women only pay attention to attractive younger men. It is not appropriate to have sexual fantasies during sexual intercourse. There are certain universal rules about what is normal during sexual activity. In bed the woman is the boss. Men who are not capable of penetrating women can't satisfy them sexually. In sex, getting to the climax is most important. In sex, anything but vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. A woman may stop loving a man if he is not capable of satisfying her sexually. Vaginal intercourse is the only legitimate type of sex. The quality of the erection is what most satisfies women. A successful career implies the control of sexual urges. Foreplay is a waste of time. Sex is meant only for procreation. In sex, the quicker/faster the better. People who don't control their sexual urges are more	A real man has sexual intercourse very often. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse. Penile erection is essential for a woman's sexual satisfaction. Homosexuality is a sickness. O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	A real man has sexual intercourse very often. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse. Homosexuality is a sickness. A woman has no other choice but to be sexually subjugated by a man's power. A real man must wait the necessary amount of time to sexually satisfy a woman during intercourse. A woman may have doubts about a man's virility when he fails to get an erection during sexual activity. Repeated engagement in oral or anal sex can cause serious health problems. A shorter duration of intercourse is a sign of a man's power. Sex is an abuse of a male's power. Organized by a man's power. Sex is an abuse of a male's power. The consequences of a sexual failure are catastrophic. Women only pay attention to attractive younger men. It is not appropriate to have sexual fantasies during sexual activity. In bed the woman is the boss. Organized burshal is normal during sexual activity. In bed the woman is the boss. Organized burshal is normal during sexual activity. In sex, agetting to the climax is most important. In sex, anything but vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. A woman's body is her best weapon. A woman's body is her best weapon. A woman may stop loving a man if he is not capable of satisfying her sexually. Vaginal intercourse is the only legitimate type of sex. The quality of the erection is what most satisfies women. A successful career implies the control of sexual urges. Foreplay is a waste of time. Organized by a word of the organized and organized and organized for procreation. In sex, the quicker/faster the better. Organized by a waste of time. Organized by a waste o	A real man has sexual intercourse very often. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is a sign of a man's power. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. Orgasm is possible only by vaginal intercourse is unacceptable. Orgasm is possible only by orgasm only by orgasm organical intercourse is only legitimate type of sex. Orgasm is possible organical intercourse is the only legitimate type of sex. Orgasm is possible organical intercourse is only legitimate organical organical organical organical organical organical organical organical organ

28.	A real man is always ready for sex and must be capable of satisfying any woman.	0	0	0	0	0
29.	If a man lets himself go sexually he is under a woman's control.	0	0	0	0	0
30.	Anal sex is a perverted activity.	0	0	0	0	0
31.	A man must be capable of maintaining an erection until the end of any sexual activity.	0	0	0	0	0
32.	There is only one acceptable way of having sex (missionary position).	0	0	0	0	0
33.	Sexual intercourse before marriage is a sin.	0	0	0	0	0
34.	Sex is a violation of a woman's body.	0	0	0	0	0
35.	A man who doesn't sexually satisfy a woman is a failure.	0	0	0	0	0
36.	Whenever the situation arises, a real man must be capable of penetration.	0	0	0	0	0
37.	Sex can be good even without orgasm.	0	0	0	0	0
38.	A real man doesn't need much stimulation to reach orgasm.	0	0	0	0	0
39.	A woman at her sexual peak can get whatever she wants from a man.	0	0	0	0	0
40.	The greater the sexual intimacy, the greater the potential for getting hurt.	0	0	0	0	0

Female Version

The list presented below contains statements related to sexuality. Please read each statement carefully and select the number in the right-hand column which corresponds to the extent to which you agree or disagree with each statement (select only one option per statement), from I (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). There are no wrong or right answers, but it is very important that you be honest and that you answer all items

		I Completely	2 Disagree	3 Don't Disagree	4 Agree	5 Completely
		Disagree		or Agree		Agree
1.	Love and affection from a partner are necessary for good sex.	0	0	0	0	0
2.	Masturbation is wrong and sinful.	0	0	0	0	0
3.	The most important component of sex is mutual affection.	0	0	0	0	0
4.	The best gift a woman could bring to marriage is her virginity.	0	0	0	0	0
5.	After menopause women lose their sexual desire.	0	0	0	0	0
6.	Women who have sexual fantasies are perverted.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	Masturbation is not a proper activity for respectable women.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	After menopause women can't reach orgasm.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	There are a variety of ways of getting pleasure and reaching orgasm.	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Women who are not physically attractive can't be sexually satisfied.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	In the bedroom the man is the boss.	0	0	0	0	0
12.	A good mother can't be sexually active.	0	0	0	0	0
13.	Reaching climax/orgasm is acceptable for men but not for women.	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Sexual activity must be initiated by the man.	0	0	0	0	0
15.	Sex is dirty and sinful.	0	0	0	0	0
16.	Simultaneous orgasm for two partners is essential for a satisfying sexual encounter.	0	0	0	0	0
17.		0	0	0	0	0
18.	The goal of sex is for men to be satisfied.	0	0	0	0	0

19.	A successful professional career implies control of sexual behavior.	0	0	0	0	0
20.	As women age the pleasure they get from sex decreases.	0	0	0	0	0
21.	Men only pay attention to young, attractive women.	0	0	0	0	0
22.	Sex is a beautiful and pure activity.	0	0	0	0	0
23.	Sex without love is like food without flavor.	0	0	0	0	0
24.	As long as both partners consent, anything goes.	0	0	0	0	0
25.	Any woman who initiates sexual activity is immoral.	0	0	0	0	0
26.	Sex is meant only for procreation.	0	0	0	0	0
27.	Sexual intercourse during menstruation can cause health problems.	0	0	0	0	0
28.	Oral sex is one of the biggest perversions.	0	0	0	0	0
29.	If women let themselves go sexually they are totally	0	0	0	0	0
	under men's control.					
30.	Being nice and smiling at men can be dangerous.	0	0	0	0	0
31.	The most wonderful emotions that a woman can	0	0	0	0	0
	experience are maternal feelings.					
32.	Anal sex is a perverted activity.	0	0	0	0	0
33.	In the bedroom the woman is the boss.	0	0	0	0	0
34.	Sex should happen only if a man initiates.	0	0	0	0	0
35.	There is just one acceptable way of having sex	0	0	0	0	0
	(missionary position).					
36.	Experiencing pleasure during sexual intercourse is not	0	0	0	0	0
	acceptable in a virtuous woman.					
	A good mother must control her sexual urges.	0	0	0	0	0
38.	An ugly woman is not capable of sexually satisfying her	0	0	0	0	0
	partner.	_	_	_	_	_
39.	A woman who only derives sexual pleasure through	0	0	0	0	0
40	clitoral stimulation is sick or perverted.		0		•	•
40.	Pure girls don't engage in sexual activity.	0	0	0	0	0

Sexual Modes Questionnaire

PEDRO J. NOBRE, 12 Universidade do Porto Inês M. Tavares, Universidade do Porto José Pinto-Gouveia, Universidade de Coimbra

The Sexual Modes Questionnaire (SMQ; Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003) assesses the interaction among cognitions, emotions, and sexual responses.

The SMQ is a self-report measure, with a male and a female version that can be used in clinical and nonclinical samples. It is composed of three interdependent subscales: the *Automatic Thought* (*AT*) subscale, the *Emotional Response* (*ER*) subscale, and the *Sexual Response* (*SR*)

subscale. The AT subscale is composed of 30 items (male) or 33 items (female) assessing automatic thoughts and images experienced by the participants during sexual activity. The ER subscale is composed of 30 items (male) or 33 items (female) evaluating emotions that the respondents experience during sexual activity. Respondents are given a list of 10 emotions to select from in evaluating their responses to the AT items. The SR subscale is

¹² Address correspondence to: pnobre5@gmail.com

composed of 30 items (male) or 33 items (female) measuring subjective sexual responses pertaining to the items of the AT subscale.

Development

A total of 456 subjects (201 females, 255 males) participated in the validation study. We used a community sample of 360 people (154 females, 206 males) and a clinical sample of 96 people with sexual dysfunction (47 females and 49 males).

Thoughts included in the AT scale were selected based on their theoretical and clinical relevance. For the male version we generated items pertaining to sexual performance thoughts (especially the erectile response), thoughts of potential failure, sexually negative or conservative thoughts toward sexuality, and thoughts about the negative impact of age on sexual functioning. We generated items for the female version to assess failure and disengagement thoughts, low body-image thoughts, sexual abuse thoughts, thoughts about a partner's lack of affection, and sexual passivity and control thoughts.

Both versions (male and female) of the *AT* subscale were submitted to factor analysis. We conducted a principal components analysis with varimax rotation of the female version, identifying six factors accounting for 53.1 percent of the total variance: (a) Sexual Abuse Thoughts, (b) Failure and Disengagement Thoughts, (c) Partner's Lack of Affection, (d) Sexual Passivity and Control, (e) Lack of Erotic Thoughts, and (f) Low Self Body-Image Thoughts (see Table 1).

In the male version, we conducted a principal components analysis that identified five factors accounting for 54.7 percent of the total variance: (a) Failure Anticipation Thoughts, (b) Erection Concern Thoughts, (c) Age and Body Function-Related Thoughts, (d) Negative Thoughts Toward Sex, and (e) Lack of Erotic Thoughts (see Table 2).

The items included in the ER and SR scales were directly connected to the items of the AT scale. For each automatic thought, subjects indicate their emotional response in a list of 10 emotions (worry, sadness, disillusion, fear, guilt, shame, anger, hurt, pleasure, satisfaction) and the intensity of their subjective sexual arousal.

Response Mode and Timing

Using Likert-type scales, the participants may respond to the SMQ using paper and pencil or computer. Respondents begin with the *AT* subscale by rating how frequently they experience each of the automatic thoughts during sexual activity, from 1 (*never*) to 5 (*always*). Respondents then check from the list of 10 emotions those that they usually experience whenever they engage in each automatic thought. Finally, respondents rate the intensity of their subjective sexual arousal, from 1 (*very low*) to 5 (*very high*), when related to their previous thoughts and emotions.

Scoring

Scoring for the male and female AT subscales is presented in Tables 1 and 2. An index of negative automatic thoughts may be calculated by summing all automatic thought items (thoughts related to erotic cues are scored in reverse order; see Table 1).

An index for each emotional response may be calculated using the following formula: total number of each emotion endorsed / total number of emotions endorsed. The emotional response index ranges from 0.0 to 1.0.

An index of sexual response may be calculated using the following formula: sum of the sexual response for each item / total number of sexual response items endorsed. The sexual response index ranges from 1 to 5.

TABLE 1 Items, Minimums, and Maximums of Female AT Factors and Totals

Factors	Item number	Minimum	Maximum
F1 Sexual Abuse Thoughts	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 15, 32, 33	8	40
F2 Failure/Disengagement Thoughts	19, 22, 26, 30	4	20
F3 Partner's Lack of Affection	7, 12, 24, 27, 28	5	25
F4 Sexual Passivity and Control	10, 14, 17, 21, 23, 29	6	30
F5 Lack of Erotic Thoughts	5, 8, 11, 25, 31	5	25
F6 Low Self Body-Image Thoughts	9, 16, 20	3	15
Total		31	155

Note. Items 5, 8, 11, 25, and 31 are scored in reverse order. Items 13 and 18 are not computed in the subscales of the female SMQ for scoring purposes (for a detailed description please see Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003). The scale can be used with or without these items depending on their relevance within its application context (e.g., clinical context).

TABLE 2
Items, Minimums, and Maximums of the Male AT Factors and Totals

Factors	Item Numbers	Minimum	Maximum
F1 Failure Anticipation Thoughts	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 16	7	35
F2 Erection Concern Thoughts	5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 29	7	35
F3 Age and Body- Related Thoughts	19, 21, 22, 28	4	20
F4 Negative Thoughts Toward Sex	20, 23, 24, 25, 30	5	25
F5 Lack of Erotic Thoughts	14, 17, 18, 26	4	20
Total		27	135

Note. Items 14, 17, 18, and 26 are scored in reverse order. Items 13, 15, and 27 are not computed in the subscales of the male SMQ for scoring purposes (for a detailed description please see Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003). The scale can be used with or without these items depending on their relevance within its application context (e.g., clinical context).

Reliability

Internal consistency of both male and female AT subscales was assessed using Cronbach's alpha for the total scales and for each factor separately. Results were high for male and female total scales ($\alpha = .88$ and $\alpha = .87$, respectively), showing the general consistency of the measures. For each factor, Cronbach's alpha statistics ranged from .71 to .80 for the female version and from .69 to .83 for the male version (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003).

Test–retest reliability of the AT subscales was assessed by computing Pearson product-moment correlations between two consecutive administrations with a 4-week interval. Results from the female version show the stability of the measure across time, with a high correlation for the total scale (r = .95, n = 31, p < .01) and correlations for the specific dimensions ranging from r = .52, p < .05 to r = .90, p < .01. Results from the male version show a more moderate correlation between the two consecutive administrations (r = .65, n = 27, p = .08), with correlations for the several specific dimensions ranging from r = .20, p < .05 to r = .95, p < .01 (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003).

Subsequent studies using the scale have demonstrated its applicability to populations from different cultural backgrounds, as well as to both clinical and nonclinical samples and heterosexual and non-heterosexual samples, replicating their high internal consistency values (ranging from .63 to .97; Carvalho & Nobre, 2011; Carvalho, Veríssimo, & Nobre, 2013; Cohen & Byers, 2014; Nobre, 2009, 2010; Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2008a, 2008b; Peixoto & Nobre, 2016; Pereira, Oliveira, & Nobre, 2017; Tavares, Laan, & Nobre, 2017).

Validity

Convergent validity of the SMQ was assessed through the relationship with validated measures of sexual functioning in men (International Index of Erectile Function [IIEF]; Rosen et al., 1997) and women (Female Sexual Function Index [FSFI]; Rosen et al., 2000). Several statistically significant correlations were found between both versions of the SMQ and the FSFI and IIEF. The FSFI presented high negative correlations with the AT subscale, particularly F1, F2, and F5. The IIEF showed significant negative correlations with the AT subscale, particularly F1, F2, and F5 (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003).

Regarding the *ER* subscale, FSFI was strongly negatively correlated with the emotions of sadness, guilt, and anger, and positively correlated with pleasure. For males, there were higher correlations between the IIEF and sadness, disillusionment, pleasure, and satisfaction (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003, 2006).

We conducted a discriminant validity analysis, using a clinical group (men and women with sexual dysfunction) and a control group (matched men and women without sexual dysfunction). Our results indicated significant differences in the automatic thoughts, emotions, and sexual responses of clinical and control group participants of both

sexes. The women in the clinical group presented significantly higher scores on F2, F5, and the total scale. The men in the clinical group presented significantly higher scores (compared to the control group) on F1, F2, and F5 (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003, 2008b).

Other Information

The SMQ has been translated to and adapted for different languages and countries, with some of these adaptions ongoing, including Portuguese, Brazilian Portuguese, English, Spanish, Italian (Nimbi, Tripodi, Simonelli, & Nobre, 2018), Iranian (Abdolmanafi et al., 2017), Dutch, and Turkish. For more information regarding the SMQ and permission for its use, please contact Pedro J. Nobre (pnobre5@gmail.com).

References

- Abdolmanafi, A., Azadfallah, P., Fata, L., Winter, S., Farahani, H., Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2017). Psychometric properties of the Iranian version of the Sexual Modes Questionnaire (SMQ): To assess the association between automatic thoughts, emotions and sexual response. Sexual and Relationship Therapy, 32, 102–121. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681994.2016.1203407
- Carvalho, J., & Nobre, P. J. (2011). Predictors of men's sexual desire: The role of psychological, cognitive-emotional, relational, and medical factors. *Journal of Sex Research*, 48, 254–262. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224491003605475
- Carvalho, J., Veríssimo, A., & Nobre, P. J. (2013). Cognitive and emotional determinants characterizing women with persistent genital arousal disorder. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 10, 1549–1558. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12122
- Cohen, J. N., & Byers, E. S. (2014). Beyond lesbian bed death: Enhancing our understanding of the sexuality of sexual-minority women in relationships. *Journal of Sex Research*, 51, 893–903. https://doi.org/10.1 080/00224499.2013.795924
- Nimbi, F. M., Tripodi, F., Simonelli, C., & Nobre P. J. (2018). Sexual Modes Questionnaire (SMQ): Translation and psychometric properties of the Italian version of the automatic thought scale. *Journal* of Sexual Medicine, 15, 396–409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jsxm.2018.01.002
- Nobre, P. J. (2009). Determinants of sexual desire problems in women: Testing a cognitive-emotional model. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, *35*, 360–377. https://doi.org/10.1080/00926230903065716
- Nobre, P. J. (2010). Psychological determinants of erectile dysfunction: Testing a cognitive–emotional model. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 7, 1429–1437. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01656.x
- Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2003). Sexual Modes Questionnaire: Measure to assess the interaction between cognitions, emotions and sexual response. *Journal of Sex Research*, 40, 368–382. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224490209552203
- Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2006). Emotions during sexual activity: Differences between sexually functional and dysfunctional men and women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 35, 491–499. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-006-9047-1
- Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2008a). Cognitions, emotions, and sexual response: Analysis of the relationship among automatic thoughts, emotional responses, and sexual arousal. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 37, 652–661. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-007-9258-0
- Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2008b). Differences in automatic thoughts presented during sexual activity between sexually functional and dysfunctional males and females. *Journal of Cognitive Therapy* and Research, 32, 37–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-007-9165-7

Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2016). Incompetence schemas and sexual functioning in heterosexual and lesbian women: The mediator role of automatic thoughts and affective states during sexual activity. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 41, 304–312. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10608-016-9811-z

Pereira, R., Oliveira, C., & Nobre, P. J. (2017). Cognitive factors and male genital pain: A preliminary study. *International Journal of Sexual Health*, 29, 202–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/19317611.2016.1275915

Rosen, R. C., Brown, C., Heiman, J., Leiblum, S., Meston, C., Shabsigh, R., . . . D'Agostino, R. Jr. (2000). The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment

of female sexual function. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 26, 191–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/009262300278597

Rosen, R. C., Riley, A., Wagner, G., Osterloh, I. H., Kirkpatrick, J., & Mishra, A. (1997). The International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF): A multidimensional scale for assessment of erectile dysfunction. *Urology*, 49, 822–830. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(97)00238-0

Tavares, I. M., Laan, E. T. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2017). Cognitive-affective dimensions of female orgasm: The role of automatic thoughts and affect during sexual activity. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 14, 818–828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.04.004

Exhibit

Sexual Modes Questionnaire

Male Version

The items presented below are a list of thoughts one can have during sexual activity. In the first column, please indicate the frequency with which you experience these thoughts by circling a number (I—Never to 5—Always). Next, indicate the types of emotions you typically experience when having these thoughts by marking an X in the columns for the appropriate emotions. Finally, in the last column, for each thought experienced indicate the intensity of your typical sexual response (arousal) while you are having that thought by circling a number (I—Very Low to 5—Very High).

Note: For thoughts that you indicate as never experiencing, you do not need to fill out the emotion or sexual response column.

Example: Imagine that the thought "Making love is wonderful" comes to your mind very often whenever you are engaged in a sexual activity, that this idea is accompanied by pleasurable emotions, and that your sexual arousal becomes very high. In this case your answer should be:

Thoughts		Emotions														Sexual				
																		Re	spor	ıse
Type of Thoughts			Fr	eque	ency	,	Тур	oes (of E	mot	ion	S			Int	Intensity				
	Never	Seldom	Sometimes	Often	Always	Worry	Sadness	Disillusioned	Fear	Quilt G	Shame	Anger	Hurt	Pleasure	Satisfaction	Low Very	Low	Moderate	High	High Very
Example: Making love is wonderful	I	2	3	×	5								2	X		1	2	3	4	X
Thoughts Type of Thoughts			Emotions Frequency Types of Emotions										R	Sexual Response Intensity						
	Never	Seldom	Sometimes	Often	Always	Worry	Sadness	Disillusioned	Fear	Guilt	Shame	Anger	Hurt	Pleasure	Satisfaction	Verv	:	Moderate	High	Very High
 These movements and positions are fabulous This time I cannot disappoint my partner She will replace me with another guy I'm condemned to failure I must be able to have intercourse This is not going anywhere I'm not satisfying her I must achieve an erection I'm not penetrating my partner My penis is not responding Why isn't this working? 	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5												2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5

12.	I wish this could last longer	ı	2	3	4	5						ı	2	3	4	5
13.	What is she thinking about me?	I	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
14.	These movements and positions are fabulous	Ι	2	3	4	5						-1	2	3	4	5
15.	What if others knew I'm not capable?	-	2	3	4	5		_				 - 1	2	3	4	5
16.	If I fail again I am a lost cause	Ι	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
17.	I'm the happiest man on earth	Ι	2	3	4	5						-1	2	3	4	5
18.	This is turning me on	I	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
19.	If I don't climax now, I won't be able to later	I	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
20.	She is not being as affectionate as she used to	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
21.	She doesn't find my body attractive anymore	Ι	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
22.	I'm getting old	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
23.	This is disgusting	I	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
24.	This way of having sex is immoral	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
25.	Telling her what I want sexually would be unnatural	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
26.	She is really turned on	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
27.	I must show my virility	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
28.	It will never be the same again	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
29.	If I can't get an erection, I will be embarrassed	Ι	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
30.	I have other more important matters to deal with	I	2	3	4	5						I	2	3	4	5

Female Version

The items presented below are a list of thoughts one can have during sexual activity. In the first column, please indicate the frequency with which you experience these *thoughts* by circling a number (I—Never to 5—Always). Next, indicate the *types of emotions* you typically experience when having these thoughts by marking an X in the columns for the appropriate emotions. Finally, in the last column, for each thought experienced indicate the intensity of your typical *sexual response* (arousal) while you are having that thought by circling a number (I—Very Low to 5—Very High).

Note: For thoughts that you indicate as never experiencing, you do not need to fill out the emotion or sexual response column.

Example: Imagine that the thought "Making love is wonderful" comes to your mind often whenever you are engaged in a sexual activity, that this idea is accompanied by pleasurable emotions, and that your sexual arousal becomes very high. In this case your answer should be:

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Thoughts	Emotions Sex	Sexual					
	Resp	Response					
Type of Thoughts	Frequency Types of Emotions Inter	nsity					
	Never Seldom Sometimes Often Always Worry Sadness Disillusioned Fear Guilt Shame Anger Hurt Pleasure Satisfaction Low Very Low	High Very					
Example: Making love is wonderful	1 2 3 × 5 X 1 2 3 4	X					
Thoughts	Emotions Sexua Respor						
Type of Thoughts		Intensity					
	Never Seldom Sometimes Often Always Worry Sadness Disillusioned Fear Guilt Shame Anger Hurt Pleasure Satisfaction Very Low Low Moderate	Very High					
 He is abusing me How can I get out of this situation? 	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	5					

3.	He only wants to satisfy himself	I	2	3	4	5					_	ı	2	3	4	5
4.	Sex is all he thinks about	I	2	3	4	5			_		_	I	2	3	4	5
5.	The way he is talking turns me on	I	2	3	4	5					_	I	2	3	4	5
6.	He is violating me	I	2	3	4	5						I	2	3	4	5
7.	This way of having sex is immoral	I	2	3	4	5			_		_	- 1	2	3	4	5
8.	These movements and positions are fabulous	I	2	3	4	5						Ι	2	3	4	5
9.	I'm getting fat/ugly	ı	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
10.	If I let myself go he is going to think I'm promiscuous	I	2	3	4	5						Ι	2	3	4	5
11.	Making love is wonderful	ı	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
12.	He is not being as affectionate as he used to be	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
13.	I'm not satisfying my partner	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
14.	I must not show that I'm interested	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
15.	This is disgusting	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
16.	I'm not as physically attractive as I used to be	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
17.	I should not take the lead in sexual activity	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
18.	He only cares about me when he wants sex	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
19.	I'm not getting turned on	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
20.	I'm not feeling physically attractive	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
21.	These activities shouldn't be planned ahead of time	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
22.	I can't feel anything	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
23.	I don't want to get hurt emotionally	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
24.	Why doesn't he kiss me?	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
25.	My body turns him on	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
26.	When will this be over?	1	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
27.	If only he'd whisper something romantic in my ear	1	2	3	4	5						- 1	2	3	4	5
28.	He only loves me if I'm good in bed	1	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
29.	I should wait for him to make the first move	ı	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
30.	I am only doing this because he asked me to	ı	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
31.	I'm the happiest woman on earth	ı	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
32.	I have other more important matters to deal with	ı	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
	If I refuse to have sex, he will cheat on me	1	2	3	4	5						1	2	3	4	5
									 							—

Questionnaire of Cognitive Schema Activation in Sexual Context

PEDRO J. NOBRE, ¹³ Universidade do Porto Inês M. Tavares, Universidade do Porto José Pinto-Gouveia, Universidade de Coimbra

The Questionnaire of Cognitive Schema Activation in Sexual Context (QCSASC; Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009a) assesses the activation of negative self-schemas to negative sexual events. The measure assesses the activation of these self-schemas (using a list proposed by Beck, 1995), following the presentation of four negative sexual

events associated with the most common sexual dysfunctions in men and women. The QCSASC is a measure that might be clinically useful in helping to assess the role of cognitive variables on sexual functioning, and eventually contributing to a better understanding of cognitive processes underlying sexual problems.

¹³ Address correspondence to: pnobre5@gmail.com

The first part of the QCSASC consists of the presentation of four sexual situations related to the most common sexual dysfunctions: desire disorder, erectile disorder, premature ejaculation, and orgasmic difficulties in the male version and desire disorder, subjective arousal difficulties, orgasmic problems, and vaginismus in the female version. Then participants indicate which emotions are aroused by the situations (worry, sadness, disillusion, fear, guilt, shame, anger, hurt, pleasure, and satisfaction) in order to assess the emotional response to the negative sexual events. After being asked to concentrate on the identified situations and emotions, participants complete a list of 28 self-statements reproducing the core beliefs or self-schemas proposed by Beck (1995). In total, the questionnaire includes 33 questions; five questions (the situation ratings and one emotion rating) followed by the 28 self-statements. However, the first five are not included in the calculation of the schema scores. The situation and emotion ratings work as activation scenarios for the 28 self-schemas.

Development

These four situations presented in the questionnaire in the form of vignettes were developed by a panel of sex therapists based on material from clinical cases.

The list of 28 self-schemas of the QCSASC was submitted to factor analysis (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009a). A principal component analysis with varimax rotation identified five factors accounting for 62 percent of the total variance: (a) Undesirability/Rejection, (b) Incompetence, (c) Self-Deprecation, (d) Difference/Loneliness, and (e) Helpless (see Table 1).

Response Mode and Timing

Participants may respond to the QCSASC using paper and pencil or computer. The response scales are Likert-type.

TABLE 1
Items, Minimums, and Maximums of the QCSASC

Item Numbers	Minimum	Maximum
20, 22, 24, 25, 29, 31, 32	7	35
7, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18	7	35
21, 26, 27	3	15
10, 28, 33	3	15
6, 11	2	10 110
	20, 22, 24, 25, 29, 31, 32 7, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18 21, 26, 27 10, 28, 33	20, 22, 24, 25, 29, 31, 32 7, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18 21, 26, 27 3 10, 28, 33

Note. Items 8, 12, 17, 19, and 23 are not computed in the subscales of the QCSASC for scoring purposes (for a detailed description please see Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009a). The scale can be used with or without these items depending on their relevance within its application context (e.g., clinical context).

Respondents first indicate the negative event (if any) which is most similar to their sexual experience, and rate the frequency with which it usually happens, from 1 (never happens) to 5 (happens often). They are also asked to identify the emotions aroused by the situation (checking all that apply from a list of 10 emotions: worry, sadness, disillusion, fear, guilt, shame, anger, hurt, pleasure, and satisfaction). After being instructed to concentrate on the identified situation and emotions, they are asked to rate on a 5-point Likert-type scale the degree of concordance with 28 self-schemas. Respondents take an average of 10 minutes to complete the QCSASC.

Scoring

Schema scores for the QCSASC are calculated by summing the schema items for the five domains and for the total scale. Higher scores reflect greater negative schema activation.

Reliability

Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's alpha statistics for the full scale and the different domains of the questionnaire. High inter-item correlations were observed for the subscales and the total scale. Cronbach's alpha values ranged from .59 (Difference/Loneliness) to .91 (Undesirability/Rejection), with the full scale α being .94. Except for the Difference/Loneliness and the Helpless domains, all other alpha results were higher than .71, supporting the homogeneity of the scale and the contribution from all the factors to the overall score (N = 26; Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009a).

Subsequent studies have also showed good internal consistency values of the scale. In a female sample, the α for the total scale was .96, and the α values for the domains ranged from .49 to .93 (Oliveira & Nobre, 2013). In a nonforensic sample of male community sexual aggressors, the α values of the QCSASC domains ranged from .53 to .93 (Carvalho, Quinta-Gomes, & Nobre, 2013). The measure has additionally been adapted for use with gay and lesbian samples. In these studies, the scale demonstrated α values ranging from .85 to .94 for the heterosexual women sample (Peixoto & Nobre, 2015, 2017a), whereas for men, α values ranged from .92 to .96 for the heterosexual men sample and from .91 to .95 for the gay men sample (Peixoto & Nobre, 2015, 2017b).

Test-retest reliability was assessed by computing correlations for the total scale in two consecutive administrations of the questionnaire with a 4-week interval. The results ranged between r = .49 and r = .74 for the

specific domains, with the full scale presenting r = .66. Although some correlations were not so strong, all reliability coefficients were statistically significant (N = 26, p < .01). These results indicated a moderate stability of the scale over time (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009a).

Validity

Convergent validity was assessed by correlating the OCSASC with validated measures oriented to assess cognitive structures linked to psychopathology: the Schema Questionnaire (SQ; Young, 1990) and the Sexual Self-Schema (SSS; Andersen & Cyranowski, 1994; Andersen, Cyranowski, & Espindle, 1999). The QCSASC was significantly correlated with the SQ, indicating that the measure assesses concepts that are partially related to more general cognitive schemas. Results regarding the relationship between the QCSASC and the Sexual Self-Schema Questionnaire showed moderate to high correlations, supporting our prediction that negative views about oneself as a sexual individual (particularly conservative ideas) would be related to the activation of negative self-schemas when facing unsuccessful sexual situations (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009a).

Findings from the incremental validity analysis indicate that the QCSASC presents with higher clinical utility compared to already existing related measures (e.g., SQ, SSS). Partial correlations with measures of sexual functioning in men (IIEF) and women (FSFI) were higher for the QCSASC compared to the SQ and SSS, suggesting that this new measure presents a unique contribution for the explanation of sexual functioning beyond previous existing measures (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009a).

A discriminant validity analysis was conducted, using a clinical sample (men and women with sexual dysfunction) and a control group (matched men and women without sexual dysfunction). We hypothesized that the higher the activation of negative cognitive schemas facing unsuccessful sexual situations, the greater the probability of developing a sexual dysfunction. Regarding women, we found statistically significant differences between clinical and control groups in three of the five domains of the QCSASC: Incompetence, Self-Deprecation, and Difference/Loneliness. Women with sexual dysfunction also scored significantly higher in the total QCSASC scale. Men with sexual dysfunction presented significantly higher scores, compared to the control group, on the Incompetence dimension, and the total scale (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2009b).

Other Information

The QCSASC is currently adapted for different languages and countries and additional adaption studies are currently ongoing. Versions include: English, Portuguese, Brazilian Portuguese, Persian, Turkish, Spanish, Dutch, and Italian (Nimbi, Tripodi, Simonelli, & Nobre, 2018). For more information regarding the QCSASC and permission for its use please contact Pedro J. Nobre (pnobre5@gmail.com).

References

- Andersen, B. L., & Cyranowski, J. M. (1994). Women's sexual self-schema. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67, 1079–1100. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.67.6.1079
- Andersen, B. L., Cyranowski, J. M., & Espindle, D. (1999). Men's sexual self-schema. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76, 645–661. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.76.4.645
- Beck, J. S. (1995). Cognitive therapy: Basics and beyond. New York: Guilford Press.
- Carvalho, J., Quinta-Gomes, A., & Nobre, P. J. (2013). The sexual functioning profile of a non-forensic sample of individuals reporting sexual aggression against women. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 10, 1744–1754. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12188
- Nimbi, F. M., Tripodi, F., Simonelli, C., & Nobre P. J. (2018). Sexual Modes Questionnaire (SMQ): Translation and psychometric properties of the Italian version of the automatic thought scale. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 15, 396–409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2018.01.002
- Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2009a). Questionnaire of cognitive schema activation in sexual context: A questionnaire to assess cognitive schemas activated in sexual failure situations. *Journal of Sex Research*, 46, 425–437. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224490902792616
- Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2009b). Cognitive schemas associated with negative sexual events: A comparison of men and women with and without sexual dysfunction. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 38, 842–851. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-008-9450-x
- Oliveira, C., & Nobre, P. J. (2013). Cognitive structures in women with sexual dysfunction: The role of early maladaptive schemas. *Journal* of Sexual Medicine, 10, 1755–1763. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02737.x
- Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2015). Cognitive schemas activated in sexual context: A comparative study with homosexual and heterosexual men and women, with and without sexual problems. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 39, 390–402. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10608-014-9661-5
- Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2017a). The activation of incompetence schemas in response to negative sexual events in heterosexual and lesbian women: The moderator role of personality traits and dysfunctional sexual beliefs. *Journal of Sex Research*, 54, 1188–1196. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2016.1267103
- Peixoto, M. M., & Nobre, P. J. (2017b). "Macho" beliefs moderate the association between negative sexual episodes and activation of incompetence schemas in sexual context, in gay and heterosexual men. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 14, 518–525. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.02.002
- Young, J. (1990). Cognitive therapy for personality disorders. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Exchange.

Exhibit

Questionnaire of Cognitive Schema Activation in Sexual Context

Gend	er
	•

O Male

O Female

Female Version

Read carefully each one of the episodes presented below and indicate the extent to which they have ever happen to you by selecting a number (1 Never to 5 Often).

	l Never Happened	2	3	4	5 Happened Often
I. I'm alone with my partner. He looks as if he wants to have sex, at he's going to extraordinary lengths to try to arouse me. However I don't feel like it at all. So instead, I pretend to be tired and chan the subject. Yet he persists. He looks disappointed, and says that don't love him as much as I used to.	er, ge	0	0	0	0
2. I'm having sex with my partner. He is really trying to arouse me, I am experiencing no pleasure at all. Instead, I feel as if I am fulfilli an obligation. I ask myself, "Does it always have to be like this?"		0	0	0	0
3. My partner is touching me and I am very aroused. A few moments lat he tries to penetrate me, but my vaginal muscles seem to clamp shut my partner can't penetrate. He persists with no success, and what co have been an unforgettable moment turns into a frustrating experien	and ould	0	0	0	Ο
4. My partner and I are engaged in foreplay, and he has tried differe ways of stimulating me, which I'm enjoying. But in spite of it all I can't reach orgasm. My partner seems to be getting tired and I start to feel frustrated. I begin to feel anxious as I realize that the likelihood of reaching orgasm is becoming more and more remo	e	0	0	0	0

_					
_	Chack all amotio	ne vali falt when	you imaginad the	anicada which m	ore often happens to you.
Ι.	Check all elliono	iis you leit wileli	you illiagilled the	ebisode wilicii ii	iore often habbens to you.

☐ Worry

□ Sadness

☐ Disillusionment

☐ Fear

☐ Guilt

☐ Shame

☐ Anger

☐ Hurt

☐ Pleasure

☐ Satisfaction

Keeping in mind the episode which more often happens to you, read the statements presented below carefully and select the degree to which they describe the way you think and feel about yourself (I Completely False to 5 Completely True).

		I	2	3	4	5
		Completely False	False	Sometimes True, Sometimes False	True	Completely True
6.	I'm helpless.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	I'm powerless.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	I'm out of control.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	I'm weak.	0	0	0	0	0
10.	I'm vulnerable.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	I'm needy.	0	0	0	0	0

12.	I'm trapped.	0	0	0	0	0
13.	I'm inadequate.	0	0	0	0	0
14.	I'm ineffective.	0	0	0	0	0
15.	I'm incompetent.	0	0	0	0	0
16.	l'm a failure.	0	0	0	0	0
17.	I'm disrespected.	0	0	0	0	0
18.	I'm defective (less than others).	0	0	0	0	0
19.	I'm not good enough (achieve).	0	0	0	0	0
20.	I'm unlovable.	0	0	0	0	0
21.	I'm unlikable.	0	0	0	0	0
22.	I'm undesirable.	0	0	0	0	0
23.	I'm unattractive.	0	0	0	0	0
24.	I'm unwanted.	0	0	0	0	0
25.	I'm uncared for.	0	0	0	0	0
26.	I'm bad.	0	0	0	0	0
27.	I'm unworthy.	0	0	0	0	0
28.	I'm different.	0	0	0	0	0
29.	I'm defective (not loved).	0	0	0	0	0
30.	I'm not good enough (loved).	0	0	0	0	0
31.	I'm bound to be rejected.	0	0	0	0	0
32.	I'm bound to be abandoned.	0	0	0	0	0
33.	I'm bound to be alone.	0	0	0	0	0

Male Version

Read carefully each one of the episodes presented below and indicate the extent to which they have ever happen to you by selecting a number (I Never to 5 Often).

	I	2	3	4	5
	Never Happened				Happened Often
1. I'm alone with my partner. She looks as if she wants to have sex, and she's going to extraordinary lengths to try to arouse me. However, I don't feel like it at all. So instead, I pretend to be tired and change the subject. Yet she persists. She looks disappointed, and says that I don't love her as much as I used to.	0	0	0	0	0
 I'm caressing my partner, and she is enjoying it and seems to be ready for intercourse. Upon attempting penetration, I notice that my erection isn't as firm as it normally is and full penetration seems impossible. I try to no avail, and finally quit. 	0	0	0	0	0
 My partner is stimulating me, and I'm becoming very aroused. I'm getting very excited and I immediately try to penetrate her. I feel out of control and reach orgasm very quickly, at which point intercourse stops. She looks very disappointed, as if she expected much more from me. 	0	0	0	0	0
4. I'm completely involved in lovemaking and I start to penetrate my partner. In the beginning everything is going fine, but time passes and I can't seem to reach orgasm. She seems to be getting tired. No matter how hard I try, orgasm seems to be farther and farther out of my reach.	0	0	0	0	0

5.	Check all	emotions	you felt	when you	ı imagine	the	episode	which	more	often	happens	to you
	□ Worm	v										

☐ Sadness

☐ Disillusionment

☐ Fear

☐ Guilt

☐ Shame

☐ Anger

☐ Hurt

☐ Pleasure

☐ Satisfaction

Keeping in mind the episode which more often happens to you, read the statements presented below carefully and select the degree to which they describe the way you think and feel about yourself (I Completely False to 5 Completely True).

		l Completely False	2 False	3 Sometimes True, Sometimes False	4 True	5 Completely True
6.	I'm helpless.	0	0	0	0	0
	I'm powerless.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	I'm out of control.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	I'm weak.	0	0	0	0	0
10.	I'm vulnerable.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	I'm needy.	0	0	0	0	0
12.	I'm trapped.	0	0	0	0	0
13.	I'm inadequate.	0	0	0	0	0
14.	I'm ineffective.	0	0	0	0	0
15.	I'm incompetent.	0	0	0	0	0
16.	l'm a failure.	0	0	0	0	0
17.	I'm disrespected.	0	0	0	0	0
18.	I'm defective (less than others).	0	0	0	0	0
19.	I'm not good enough (achieve).	0	0	0	0	0
20.	I'm unlovable.	0	0	0	0	0
21.	I'm unlikable.	0	0	0	0	0
22.	I'm undesirable.	0	0	0	0	0
23.	I'm unattractive.	0	0	0	0	0
24.	I'm unwanted.	0	0	0	0	0
25.	I'm uncared for.	0	0	0	0	0
26.	I'm bad.	0	0	0	0	0
27.	I'm unworthy.	0	0	0	0	0
28.	I'm different.	0	0	0	0	0
29.	I'm defective (not loved).	0	0	0	0	0
30.	I'm not good enough (loved).	0	0	0	0	0
31.	I'm bound to be rejected.	0	0	0	0	0
32.	I'm bound to be abandoned.	0	0	0	0	0
33.	I'm bound to be alone.	0	0	0	0	0

Beliefs About Sexual Function Scale

Patrícia M. Pascoal, ¹⁴ Universidade de Lisboa Maria-João Alvarez, Universidade de Lisboa Cicero Roberto Pereira, Universidade Federal da Paraíba Pedro Nobre, Universidade do Porto,

Existing measures of dysfunctional sexual beliefs focus not only on sexual function, but on different aspects of sexuality. This does not enable researchers to determine the specific role of beliefs about sexual function on sexual outcomes. Furthermore, these measures have different versions for men and women which does not allow for

¹⁴ Address correspondence to: pmpascoal@psicologia.ulisboa.pt

gendered comparisons. In order to overcome these short-comings, we developed the Beliefs About Sexual Function Scale (BASEF; Pascoal, Alvarez, Pereira, & Nobre, 2017), a 15-item measure based on cognitive models of sexual function. This measure assesses the degree of agreement with inflexible statements about men and women's sexual function shared by men and women. The scale measures five sets of beliefs (*Anal Sex, Male Performance, Aging, Sexual Pain, Primacy of the Relationship*) that are aggregated into a common second level factor.

Development

Three strategies were followed to generate an initial pool of items for the BASEF concerning heterosexual sexual activity (Pascoal et al., 2017). Specifically, items were derived from three different sources: (a) the Sexual Dysfunctional Beliefs Questionnaire (Nobre, Gouveia, & Gomes, 2003); (b) a focus group held with five experienced colleagues in clinical sexology and sexual medicine, aimed at generating examples of beliefs about sexual functioning considered to play a role in creating vulnerability for sexual dysfunction; and (c) in line with recent research methods for content elicitation, an open-ended web-based question designed to elicit examples of beliefs about sexual functioning sent by colleagues from the focus group to lay people from their social network. A total of 221 statements were generated.

After checking for redundancy, 80 items were retained and aggregated according to the initial theoretical proposal. In order to establish content validity, the 80 items were available online and the link was sent to five experienced certified sex therapists who were invited to rate each item's relevance on a scale of 1 (highly irrelevant) to 4 (extremely relevant). A total of 51 items were considered for further analysis.

After the subsequent final adjustments concerning comprehensibility, the study's URL was launched online and advertised through social networks resulting in chain sampling. Data was collected for a period of four months with heterosexual people (Study 1). The same protocol was advertised again to test the measure's gender invariance with a sample of heterosexual people in committed dyadic relationships (Study 2).

In Study 1, an exploratory factor analysis using Principal Axis Factoring (PAF) with no rotation was run with a subsample (A) of heterosexual, sexually active men (n = 138; 50%) and women (n = 136; 50%), followed by an analysis with oblique rotation. Principal Axis Factoring was used, rather than principal components analysis, given the focus on latent constructs, which, in the case of the current study, were beliefs about sexual functioning. An oblique rotation, direct oblimin, was then used since the factors were expected to be correlated. Because our aim was to elaborate a belief scale as parsimonious as possible, but with good indicators of validity and reliability, we followed Bollen's criteria suggesting three items per factor is enough to have

a good estimate of a latent variable. Criteria for factor retention were: eigenvalues > 1, scree plots analysis, and percentage of explained variance to identify the optimal solution. For item retention, a factor loading above .40 was used as a cut-off point, and items that presented a factor loading above .40 in one factor and above .30 in any other factor were excluded. After eliminating the items that did not meet these assumptions, the procedure of running PAF with oblique rotation was repeated. Based on this analysis, we obtained the best three items for each factor measured by the BASEF and determined the final version with five factors: Anal Sex, Male Performance, Aging, Sexual Pain, and Primacy of the Relationship.

A Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) with a different subsample (B) of heterosexual sexually active men (n = 47; 41%) and women (n = 67; 59%) was conducted to investigate the fit of the final structure. All indicators of the goodness-of-fit for the proposed factor structure—chi square, Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI), comparative fit index (CFI) and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA)—indicated a good model fit. The final structure of the BASEF was compared with an alternative factorial structure that considered a second level latent variable aggregating all the factors. Models were compared using the chi-square difference test. The results indicated that the best model is the second order model. The measure can be used as multifactorial or as a global measure (Pascoal et al., 2017).

Response Mode and Timing

People can answer in paper and pencil format or on a computer. Participants' answers should reflect their level of agreement with the 15 statements presented, using a scale from 1 (*Totally disagree*) to 5 (*Totally agree*) with higher values indicated stronger concordance with the sexual beliefs.

Scoring

There are no reverse scored items. The 15 items can be summed to create a global measure of dysfunctional sexual beliefs about sexual function ranging from 15 to 75, with higher levels of agreement indicating higher levels of dysfunctional beliefs about sexual function. The items from each subscale can be summed to create a total score for each subscale, ranging from 5 to 15. Items on each subscale are: *Anal Sex Beliefs* (1, 7, 14); *Male Performance Beliefs* (3, 5, 13); *Aging Beliefs* (2, 8, 11); *Sexual Pain Beliefs* (4, 6, 15); and *Primacy of the Relationship Beliefs* (9, 10, 12).

Reliability

The Cronbach's alpha for the total scale was .90. Cronbach's alphas for the subscales were: *Anal Sex Beliefs*, $\alpha = .83$; *Male Performance Beliefs*, $\alpha = .67$; *Aging Beliefs*, $\alpha = .69$;

Sexual Pain Beliefs, α = .65; and Primacy of the Relationship Beliefs, α = .69. Even though some Cronbach's alphas are below the usual threshold of .70, these values are acceptable due to the fact that Cronbach's alpha is influenced by the number of items, and our measure has a small number of items (three) per subscale. Test–retest reliability after an eight-month period showed rs > .70 for the total scale and all subscales. The Cronbach's alpha was .77 in a study with adults recruited online (N = 421; Pascoal, Rosa, Silva, & Nobre, 2018). Participants were men and women who self-defined as cisgendered, heterosexual, and between the ages of 18 and 68 (M = 27.55, SD = 9.35).

Validity

The results demonstrated that BASEF is significantly correlated with male's sexual functioning measured by International Index of Erectile Function (Rosen et al., 1997; r = -.24, p = .011) as well as with women's sexual functioning measured by Female Sexual Function Index (Rosen et al., 2000; r = -.20, p = .001); establishing its concurrent validity. In Study 2, with a new sample of 407 participants who self-identified as heterosexual (men, n = 129), Confirmatory Factor Analysis demonstrated that factorial invariance across gender was confirmed. A freely estimated structure where no equality constraints are imposed on any of the parameters (configural model) was compared to a constrained structure in which subsequently

the factor loadings and structural loadings (measurement model) were estimated to be equal between groups. The models were compared using the scaled chi-square difference test. The invariance of the scale between the groups was supported because the chi-square difference ($\Delta\chi^2$) test was non-significant.

References

Nobre, P., Gouveia, J. P, & Gomes, A. F. (2003). Sexual Dysfunctional Beliefs Questionnaire: An instrument to assess sexual dysfunctional beliefs as vulnerability factors to sexual problems. Sexual & Relationship Therapy, 18, 171–204. https://doi.org/10.1080/146 8199031000061281

Pascoal, P. M., Alvarez, M.-J., Pereira, C. R., & Nobre, P. (2017). Development and initial validation of the Beliefs About Sexual Functioning Scale: A gender invariant measure. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 14, 613–623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.01.021

Pascoal, P. M., Rosa, P. J., Silva, E. P. D., & Nobre, P. J. (2018). Sexual beliefs and sexual functioning: The mediating role of cognitive distraction. *International Journal of Sexual Health*, 30(1), 60–71. https://doi.org/10.1080/19317611.2018.1424064

Rosen, C., Brown, J., Heiman, S., Leiblum, C., Meston, R., Shabsigh, D., Ferguson, R., . . . D'Agostino, R. (2000). The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 26, 191–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/009262300278597

Rosen, R. C., Riley, A., Wagner, G., Osterloh, I. H., Kirkpatrick, J., & Mishra, A. (1997). The International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF): A multidimensional scale for assessment of erectile dysfunction. *Urology*, 49, 822–830. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(97)00238-0

Exhibit

Beliefs About Sexual Function Scale

Below you will find a set of statements regarding sexual function. Please read each one and indicate your extent of your agreement or disagreement with each statement

		l Totally disagree	2 Disagree	3 Neither agree nor disagree	4 Agree	5 Totally agree
1.	Only gay men feel pleasure through anal stimulation.	0	0	0	0	0
2.	As women age their sexual desire decreases.	0	0	0	0	0
3.	A sexually competent man can make his partner have orgasms through vaginal penetration.	0	0	0	0	0
4.	Pain during vaginal penetration indicates a lack of arousal.	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Women are more satisfied if they have several orgasms in a sexual encounter.	0	0	0	0	0
6.	Pain in sexual activity indicates a lack of sexual desire.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	Women do not feel pleasure from anal sex.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	Sexual pleasure decreases with age.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	People who masturbate do so because they do not have satisfactory sex with their partners.	0	0	0	0	0
10.	If one uses sex toys it is because one is sexually dissatisfied with one's partner.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	Young people have more satisfying sex than older people.	0	0	0	0	0

12.	If one feels sexual desire for other people it is because	0	0	0	0	0
	one is sexually dissatisfied with one's partner.					
13.	Men should maintain an erection for the time a woman	0	0	0	0	0
	requires to have multiple orgasms.					
14.	Only gay men feel aroused by anal stimulation.	0	0	0	0	0
15.	Feeling pain in early penetration indicates that	0	0	0	0	0
	intercourse will go wrong.					

Sexual Cognitions Checklist

CHERYL A. RENAUD, Federal Medical Center Devens E. SANDRA BYERS, 15 University of New Brunswick

The Sexual Cognitions Checklist (SCC) was developed to assess sexual cognitions that are experienced as positive as well as those that are experienced as negative (Renaud, 1999). Most conceptual definitions and measures of sexual cognitions (often referred to as fantasies) assume that they are pleasant, enjoyable, and deliberate (Leitenberg & Henning, 1995); however, many individuals report having negative sexual thoughts that are experienced as ego-dystonic, unwanted, and personally unacceptable (Byers, Purdon, & Clark, 1998). To fully understand sexual cognitions, it is important to distinguish between those that are experienced as positive and those that are experienced as negative.

Development

The SCC consists of a checklist of 56 sexual cognitions. Forty of the items were taken from the Wilson Sex Fantasy Questionnaire (WSFQ; Wilson, 1988). The WSFQ has been used extensively in sexual fantasy research and has been found to have strong internal consistency ($\alpha = .98$). The remaining 16 items were taken from the Revised Obsessional Intrusions Inventory—Sex Version (ROII–v2), which also has demonstrated high internal consistency ($\alpha = .92$; Byers et al., 1998). For the SCC, the wording of some of the items was changed so that they could be experienced as either positive or negative. The SCC is appropriate for men and women of any age and sexual orientation.

Response Mode and Timing

The SCC can be administered individually, or in a group format, and takes approximately 30 minutes to complete.

The SCC also contains two nonoverlapping subscales, one reflecting themes of sexual dominance and one reflecting themes of sexual submission. To develop these subscales, six doctoral students in human sexuality independently rated each of the 56 sexual cognitions on the SCC as reflecting sexual submission, sexual dominance, both sexual submission and sexual dominance, or neither sexual submission nor sexual dominance. Six items were judged to have dominance but not submission themes and make up the dominance cognitions subscale. Ten items were judged to reflect submission but not dominance themes and make up the sexual submission subscale.

Scoring

The total frequency scores for *Positive Sexual Cognitions* (*POSCOG*) and *Negative Sexual Cognitions* (*NEGCOG*) are calculated by summing the item ratings for the 56

Respondents are first provided with definitions of positive and negative sexual cognitions. Positive sexual cognitions are defined as purposeful or non-purposeful cognitions that are experienced as acceptable and pleasant, are the types of thoughts one would expect to have, and might or might not result in sexual arousal. Negative sexual cognitions are defined as purposeful or non-purposeful cognitions that are experienced as highly unacceptable, upsetting, unpleasant, and repugnant, and might or might not result in sexual arousal. Participants then indicate how often they have had each of the listed sexual thoughts when it was a positive thought as well as when it was a negative thought on a scale ranging from 0 (*I have never had this thought*) to 6 (*I have this thought frequently during the day*).

¹⁵ Address correspondence to: byers@unb.ca

items. Thus, scores range from 0 to 336, with higher scores indicating more frequent positive or negative cognitions. Scores on the *Positive Sexual Dominance* (*POSDOM*) and *Negative Sexual Dominance* subscales (*NEGDOM*) are determined by summing frequency ratings on the six dominance items (Items 11, 22, 27, 30, 39, and 48) such that scores range from 0 to 36. A similar procedure is used to calculate scores on the 10-item *Positive Sexual Submission* (*POSSUB*) and *Negative Sexual Submission* (*NEGSUB*) subscales, with scores ranging from 0 to 60 (Items 5, 6, 10, 19, 20, 23, 26, 31, 34, and 47).

Reliability

In a study of 148 female and 144 male undergraduate students, Renaud and Byers (1999) found high internal consistencies for the *POSCOG* and *NEGCOG* subscales for both men (α = .95 and .96, respectively) and women (α = .95 and .95, respectively). Byers and her colleagues (Byers, Nichols, & Voyer 2013; Byers, Nichols, Voyer, & Reilly, 2013) also found high internal consistency for the using two overlapping samples of adults with autism spectrum disorder (α = .95 and α = .96). Acceptable internal consistencies have also been found for men and women for *POSDOM* (α = .76 and .71, respectively), *NEGDOM* (α = .84 and .66, respectively), *POSSUB* (α = .81 and .80, respectively), and *NEGSUB* (α = .85 and .82, respectively; Renaud & Byers, 2005, 2006).

Validity

Renaud and Byers (1999) found that the sexual cognitions most commonly experienced as positive by individuals differed from those most commonly experienced as negative. The most commonly reported POSCOG revolved around themes of romance and intimacy, whereas the most commonly reported NEGCOG reflected themes of anonymous sex and sexual embarrassment. In addition, Renaud and Byers (2001) found that, compared to negative cognitions, positive cognitions were associated with more positive affect, less negative affect, more frequent subjective general physiological and sexual arousal, and less frequent upset stomach. They also found that positive sexual cognitions are more deliberate than are negative sexual cognitions and result in fewer attempts to control them. Further, in line with previous sexual fantasy research findings (Alfonso, Allison, & Dunn, 1992), a greater frequency of positive sexual cognitions is associated with better sexual adjustment, including more masturbation experience, a greater number of sexual partners, and greater sexual satisfaction (Renaud & Byers, 2001). Similarly, Byers, Nichols, and Voyer (2013) and Byers, Nichols, Voyer, and Reilly (2013) found that more frequent positive sexual cognitions were associated with a number of markers of positive sexual functioning. In contrast, when the frequency of positive cognitions was controlled, the frequency of negative sexual cognitions was not associated with sexual adjustment.

Renaud and Byers (2005, 2006) provided evidence for the validity of the dominance and submission subscales. Consistent with previous research (e.g., Gold & Clegg, 1990), self-reported use of sexual coercion was uniquely associated with the frequency of sexual dominance cognitions experienced as positive but not sexual dominance cognitions experienced as negative (Renaud & Byers, 2005). Consistent with prior research that had found that individuals who reported having been sexually abused as children reported fantasizing about being forced to have intercourse more often than did individuals without a history of child sexual abuse (Briere, Smiljanich, & Henschel, 1994), a greater frequency of positive sexual submission cognitions was uniquely associated with a history of child sexual abuse (Renaud & Byers, 2006).

Spanish Version

Moyano and Sierra (2012) developed a Spanish version of the SCC based on the English version which they called the Spanish Sexual Cognitions Checklist (SSCC). The Spanish version uses only 28 of the original items. These items were selected because they cluster into Wilson's (1988) four subscales: Intimate Relationships, Exploratory, Sadomasochistic, and Impersonal. Thus, the Spanish version does not include the range of sexual cognitions included in the English version. The authors have provided evidence for the content validity, factor structure, internal consistency, and validity of the scale (Moyano & Sierra, 2012, 2013; Moyano, Byers, & Sierra, 2016). The SSCC can be obtained from the authors.

References

Alfonso, V. C., Allison, D. B., & Dunn, G. M. (1992). Sexual fantasy and satisfaction: A multidimensional analysis of gender differences. *Journal of Psychology and Human Sexuality*, 5, 19–37.

Briere, J., Smiljanich, K., & Henschel, D. (1994). Sexual fantasies, gender, and molestation history. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 18, 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/0145-2134(94)90115-5

Byers, E. S., Nichols, S., & Voyer, S. D. (2013). Challenging stereotypes: Sexual functioning of single adults with high functioning autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43, 2617–2627. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1813-z

Byers, E. S., Nichols, S., Voyer, S. D., & Reilly, G. (2013). Sexual well-being of a community sample of high-functioning adults on the autism spectrum who have been in a romantic relationship. *Autism*, *17*, 418–433. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361311431950

Byers, E. S., Purdon, C., & Clark, D. A. (1998). Sexual intrusive thoughts of college students. *Journal of Sex Research*, *35*, 359–369. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499809551954

Gold, S. R., & Clegg, C. L. (1990). Sexual fantasies of college students with coercive experiences and coercive attitudes. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 5, 464–473. https://doi.org/10.1177/088626090005004003

Leitenberg, H., & Henning, K. (1995). Sexual fantasy. Psychological Bulletin, 117, 469–496. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.469

Moyano, N., & Sierra, J. C. (2012). Adaptación y validación de la versión española del Sexual Cognitions Checklist (SCC). Anales de Psicología, 28, 904–914. https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.28.3.156141

- Moyano, N., & Sierra, J. C. (2013). Relationships between personality traits and positive/negative sexual cognitions. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 13, 189–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1697-2600(13)70023-1
- Moyano, N., Byers, E. S., & Sierra, J. C. (2016). Content and valence of sexual cognitions and their relationship with sexual functioning in Spanish men and women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 45, 2069–2080. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-015-0659-1
- Renaud, C. A. (1999). Differentiating between positive and negative sexual cognitions. Doctoral dissertation, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton. NB.
- Renaud, C. A., & Byers, E. S. (1999). Exploring the frequency, diversity, and content of university students' positive and negative sexual cognitions. *Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality*, 8, 17–30.
- Renaud, C. A., & Byers, E. S. (2001). Positive and negative sexual cognitions: Subjective experience and relationships to sexual adjustment. *Journal of Sex Research*, 38, 252–262. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224490109552094
- Renaud, C. A., & Byers, E. S., (2005). Relationship between sexual violence and positive and negative cognitions of sexual dominance. Sex Roles, 53, 253–260. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11199-005-5683-5
- Renaud, C. A., & Byers, E. S. (2006). Positive and negative cognitions of sexual submission: Relationship to sexual violence. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 35, 483–490. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-006-9046-2
- Wilson, G. D. (1988). Male-female differences in sexual activity, enjoyment, and fantasies. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 8, 125–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(87)90019-5

Exhibit

Sexual Cognitions Checklist

We all have thoughts about sex from time to time. Sexual thoughts can be divided into different types:

Positive Sexual Thoughts. Sometimes we experience our sexual thoughts as positive. Positive sexual thoughts may include thoughts that we purposely engage in to enhance our sexual feelings or sexual arousal. Positive sexual thoughts may also include thoughts that pop into our heads out of the blue. Whether we purposely engage in positive sexual thoughts, or they pop into our minds out of the blue, positive sexual thoughts are thoughts that we find *acceptable and pleasant*. They are the types of thoughts that we would expect to have. We can have positive sexual thoughts while we are engaging in masturbation, while we are engaged in sexual activity with a partner, and while we are involved in non-sexual activities.

Negative Sexual Thoughts. Sometimes, we have sexual thoughts that we experience as negative. Negative sexual thoughts are thoughts that we dislike having. They are the types of thoughts that we would not expect to have because they are uncharacteristic of our usual thoughts and habits. That is, negative sexual thoughts are thoughts of things we would never want to say or do. Therefore, negative sexual thoughts are *highly unacceptable*, *upsetting*, *and unpleasant*. We tend to find these thoughts disgusting and we wonder why we are having such repugnant thoughts. However, because they are sexual in content, we may experience sexual arousal to these thoughts even though we find them unacceptable, unpleasant, and upsetting. Like positive sexual thoughts, we can have negative sexual thoughts while we are engaging in masturbation, while we are engaged in sexual activity with a partner, and while we are involved in non-sexual activities.

This questionnaire deals with a variety of very common sexual thoughts. You will be asked to complete the same list twice. One time you will be asked to indicate how often you have experienced each thought as positive. The other time you will be asked to indicate how often you have experienced each thought as negative. Although some thoughts are clearly positive or clearly negative for us, there are some sexual thoughts that we experience as positive at times and as negative at other times depending on the specifics of the thought, your mood, or other factors.

In the past year, I have had positive sexual thoughts of:

		Never	Once or twice ever	A few times a year	Once or twice a month	Once or twice a week	Daily	Frequently during the day
1.	Making love out of doors in a romantic setting (e.g., field of flowers; beach at night).	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
2.	Having intercourse with a loved partner.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3.	Having intercourse with someone I know but have not had sex with.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
4.	Having sex with an anonymous stranger.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	Engaging in a sexual act with someone who has authority over me.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
6.	Being pressured into engaging in sex.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6

7.	Engaging in a sexual act with someone	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	who is "taboo" (e.g., family member,							
0	religious figure).	0		2	2	4	-	,
8.	Having sex with two other people at	0	'	2	3	4	5	6
٥	the same time.	0		2	3	4	5	4
	Participating in an orgy. Being forced to do something sexually.	0	- 1	2	3	4	5	6 6
	Forcing someone to do something	0	i	2	3	4	5	6
11.	sexually.	U	•	2	3	7	3	Ü
12.	Engaging in sexual activity contrary to my sexual orientation (e.g., homosexual or heterosexual).	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
13.	Throwing my arms around and kissing an authority figure.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
14.	Lifting my skirt or dropping my pants, thereby indecently exposing myself in public.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
15	Receiving oral sex.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Giving oral sex.	0	i	2	3	4	5	6
	Watching others have sex.	0	i	2	3	4	5	6
	Having sex with an animal or	0	i	2	3	4	5	6
10.	non-human object.	Ü	•	_		•	3	Ū
19.	Being overwhelmed by a stranger's	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	sexual advances.	·	•	_	•	•		
20.	Being sexually victimized.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
21.		0	ì	2	3	4	5	6
	Whipping or spanking someone.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
23.		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Taking someone's clothes off.	0	ì	2	3	4	5	6
	Having my clothes taken off.	0	i	2	3	4	5	6
	Engaging in a sexual act which I would	0	ì	2	3	4	5	6
	not want to do because it violates my							
	religious principles.							
27.	Forcing another adult to engage in a	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	sexual act with me.							
28.	Making love elsewhere than the	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	bedroom (e.g., kitchen or bathroom).							
29.	Being excited by material or clothing	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	(e.g., rubber, leather, underwear).							
30.	Hurting a partner.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
31.	Being hurt by a partner.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
32.	Partner-swapping.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
33.	Being aroused by watching someone	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	urinate.							
34.	Being tied up.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
35.	Masturbating in a public place.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
36.	Authority figures (minister, boss) being naked.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
37.	People I come in contact with being	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	naked.							
38.	Having sex in a public place.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
39.	Tying someone up.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
40.	Having incestuous sexual relations	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	(sexual relations with a family member).							
41.	Exposing myself provocatively.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	Wearing clothes of the opposite sex.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
43.	Being promiscuous.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6

44.	Having sex with someone much younger than myself.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
45.	Having sex with someone much older than myself.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
46.	Being much sought after by the opposite sex.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
47.	Being seduced as an "innocent."	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
48.	Seducing an "innocent."	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
49.		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
50.	Having sex with someone of a different race.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
51.	Using objects for stimulation (e.g., vibrator, candles).	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
52.	Being masturbated to orgasm by a partner.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
53.	Looking at obscene pictures or films.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
54.	Kissing passionately.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
55.	While engaging in a sexual act with my partner I have had sexual thoughts of saying something to my partner that I know would upset him/her.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
56.	While engaging in a sexual act with my partner I have had sexual thoughts of doing, something to my partner that I know would upset him/her.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6

57. Any other sexual thought not listed above. (specify)

In the past year, I have had negative sexual thoughts of:

		Never	Once or twice ever	A few times a year	Once or twice a month	Once or twice a week	Daily	Frequently during the day
1.	Making love out of doors in a romantic setting (e.g., field of flowers; beach at night).	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
2.		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3.	Having intercourse with someone I know but have not had sex with.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
4.	Having sex with an anonymous stranger.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	Engaging in a sexual act with someone who has authority over me.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	Being pressured into engaging in sex.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	Engaging in a sexual act with someone who is "taboo" (e.g., family member, religious figure).	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
8.	Having sex with two other people at the same time.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
9.	Participating in an orgy.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6

10.	Being forced to do something sexually.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
11.	Forcing someone to do something	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	sexually.							
12.	Engaging in sexual activity contrary to	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	my sexual orientation (e.g., homosexual							
	or heterosexual).							
13.	Throwing my arms around and kissing	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	an authority figure.	•	•	_		•	•	•
14	Lifting my skirt or dropping my pants,	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 1.	thereby indecently exposing myself in	· ·	'	2	3	'	3	o
	public.	•		2	2	4	-	,
	Receiving oral sex.	0		2	3	4	5	6
16.	3	0		2	3	4	5	6
	Watching others have sex.	0	l	2	3	4	5	6
18.	Having sex with an animal or	0	ı	2	3	4	5	6
	non-human object.							
19.	Being overwhelmed by a stranger's	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	sexual advances.							
20.	Being sexually victimized.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
21.	Receiving or giving genital stimulation.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
22.	Whipping or spanking someone.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
23.	Being whipped or spanked.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
24.	Taking someone's clothes off.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Having my clothes taken off.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Engaging in a sexual act which I would	0	i	2	3	4	5	6
20.	not want to do because it violates my	·	•	-			J	Ū
	religious principles.							
27		0		2	3	4	5	,
27.	Forcing another adult to engage in a	U	'	2	3	4	3	6
20	sexual act with me.	•		2	2	4	-	,
28.	Making love elsewhere than the	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	bedroom (e.g., kitchen or bathroom).						_	
29.	Being excited by material or clothing	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	(e.g., rubber, leather, underwear).							
30.	Hurting a partner.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
31.	Being hurt by a partner.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
32.	Partner-swapping.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
33.	Being aroused by watching someone	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	urinate.							
34.	Being tied up.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	Masturbating in a public place.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	Authority figures (minister, boss) being	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	naked.							
37	People I come in contact with being	0	ı	2	3	4	5	6
57.	naked.	·	•	_	3	•	3	Ū
30	Having sex in a public place.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
			i					
	Tying someone up.	0	I I	2	3	4	5	6
40.	Having incestuous sexual relations	0	ı	2	3	4	5	6
	(sexual relations with a family							
	member).							
	Exposing myself provocatively.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
42.	Wearing clothes of the opposite sex.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
43.	Being promiscuous.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
44.	Having sex with someone much	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	younger than myself.							
45.	Having sex with someone much older	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
	than myself.							
46.	Being much sought after by the	0	ı	2	3	4	5	6
	opposite sex.	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
	-FF 30100 30%							

47.	Being seduced as an "innocent."	0	ı	2	3	4	5	6
48.	Seducing an "innocent."	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
49.	Being embarrassed by failure of sexual performance.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
50.	Having sex with someone of a different race.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
51.	Using objects for stimulation (e.g., vibrator, candles).	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
52.	Being masturbated to orgasm by a partner.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
53.	Looking at obscene pictures or films.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
54.	Kissing passionately.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
55.	While engaging in a sexual act with my partner I have had sexual thoughts of saying something to my partner that I know would upset him/her.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6
56.	While engaging in a sexual act with my partner I have had sexual thoughts of doing, something to my partner that I know would upset him/her.	0	I	2	3	4	5	6

57. Any other sexual thought not listed above. (specify)

Maladaptive Cognitions About Sex Scale

H. Jonathon Rendina, ¹⁶ *Hunter College and The Graduate Center of the City University of New York*

John E. Pachankis, Yale University School of Public Health

RAYMOND L. MOODY, The Graduate Center of the City University of New York

CHRISTIAN GROV, The City University of New York Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy

Ana Ventuneac, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

JEFFREY T. PARSONS, Hunter College and The Graduate Center of the City University of New York

Rigid, polarized thoughts related to oneself, one's behavior, and one's social context form an important etiologic determinant of psychopathology. For instance, whereas believing that sex can help you sleep can be adaptive, believing that you cannot possibly fall asleep without sex is so rigid as to drive dysfunctional, and potentially personally harmful, behavior. In an attempt to identify the extent to which different maladaptive ways of thinking about sex might contribute to various forms of problematic hypersexuality (e.g., sexual compulsivity, hypersexual disorder, compulsive sexual behavior), we developed and

refined the 11-item Maladaptive Cognitions About Sex Scale (MCASS; Pachankis, Rendina, Ventuneac, Grov, & Parsons, 2014) scale. The goal of this scale was to capture a range of rigid, polarized cognitions that might underlie the out-of-control sexual thoughts, feelings, and behaviors that characterize problematic hypersexuality. The 11 items capture three domains of maladaptive thinking about sex—magnified necessity of sex, disqualified benefits of sex, and minimized self-efficacy to control sexual thoughts and behaviors. Each item captures a cognition that is thought to become increasingly maladaptive as it

¹⁶ Address correspondence to: hrendina@hunter.cuny.edu

becomes a predominant lens through which a person views sex. Consequently, each item is rated on a scale of increasing frequency from 1 (*Never*) to 5 (*All of the time*) with regards to how often the thought is experienced.

Development

Qualitative interviews from a pilot study of 60 highly sexually active (i.e., 9 or more male partners in 90 days) gay and bisexual men in New York City (Pachankis, Rendina, Ventuneac, Grov, & Parsons, 2014) were used to guide the development of the scale. During the qualitative interviews, participants were asked a variety of relevant questions, including their thoughts before, during, and after their most recent sexual encounter; how in control they felt of their own sexuality; and aspects of their sex lives that they liked and disliked. The transcripts were analyzed by an experienced clinical psychologist for content related to sexual thoughts and behaviors that participants experienced as being problematic. From there, a team of experts utilized an iterative free-listing response to generate a range of items to capture these types of problematic cognitions, which were ultimately grouped into three broad categories: (1) beliefs about the need to have sex; (2) beliefs that the harms of sex far outweighed the benefits; and (3) beliefs that one was unable to control sexual thoughts, fantasies, and behaviors. The list of items was sent to expert social and clinical psychologists for feedback, and a bank of 17 items was finalized.

The preliminary 17-item scale was administered to a new sample of 202 highly sexually active gay and bisexual men in New York City (Pachankis et al., 2014) as part of the *Pillow Talk* study. Confirmatory factor analyses supported the presence of the three theorized domains, and the subscales were labeled: (1) *Magnified Necessity*; (2) *Disqualified Benefits*; and (3) *Minimized Self-Efficacy*. Based on the results of the factor analyses, six items that led to model misfit for one of several reasons (i.e., low factor loadings, residual correlations, cross-loading) were removed, resulting in the final 11-item scale.

Response Mode and Timing

The MCASS can be self-administered in less than two minutes. Participants are prompted, "Please indicate how often you experience the following thoughts regarding sexual activity [with another man]." The text in brackets was utilized for our study, but can be omitted in studies where it is not applicable. To reduce bias, the ordering of the 11 items can be randomized.

Scoring

Each response option should be assigned a numerical score as follows: 1 (*Never*), 2 (*Rarely*), 3 (*Sometimes*), 4 (*Often*), and 5 (*All the time*). To compare subscale scores

despite their unequal number of items, responses to relevant items should be averaged to form subscale scores for *Magnified Necessity* (Items 1 to 5), *Disqualified Benefits* (Items 6 to 8), and *Minimized Self-Efficacy* (Items 9 to 11). No responses are reverse-coded. Greater scores on each subscale indicate greater degrees of rigidity in each cognitive domain. Finally, as described in more detail below, there was no evidence for a higher-order factor that explains the associations among the subscales and thus no full-scale score should be calculated; that is, only subscale scores are valid.

Reliability

Our prior research with the scale indicates good internal consistency for the three subscales—Magnified Necessity ($\alpha = .83$), Disqualified Benefits ($\alpha = .83$), and Minimized Self-Efficacy ($\alpha = .90$). The scale is not expected to have strong stability over time, as these types of cognitions are malleable; thus, test–retest reliability may not be so critical for this measure. However, future research is needed to determine normative patterns of change over time. Nonetheless, in unpublished analyses conducted with 300 men in the Pillow Talk study who were assessed using the MCASS at baseline and 12 months later, the Pearson's correlations between scores at each time point were moderate in size—Magnified Necessity (r = .61), Disqualified Benefits (r = .43), and Minimized Self-Efficacy (r = .50).

Validity

We conducted a series of analyses within the initial scale development paper with 202 highly sexually active gay and bisexual men in New York City (Pachankis et al., 2014). Bivariate Pearson's correlations between each of the average subscale scores calculated using the instructions above suggested that the *Magnified Necessity* and *Disqualified Benefits* subscales were unassociated (r = .06, ns), whereas *Magnified Necessity* was moderately associated with *Minimized Self-Efficacy* (r = .51, p < .001) and *Disqualified Benefits* was weakly associated with *Minimized Self-Efficacy* (r = .16, p < .05).

We also tested a structural equation model based on the theorized association among the three subscales and problematic hypersexuality, operationalized as positive screening on the Hypersexual Disorder Screening Inventory (Pachankis et al., 2014; Parsons et al., 2019). Results supported the hypothesized model using latent versions of each subscale based on the confirmatory factor analysis described above. *Magnified Necessity* and *Disqualified Benefits* were unassociated with each other, and both *Magnified Necessity* (β = .59, p < .001) and *Disqualified Benefits* (β = .19, p < .01) significantly predicted *Minimized Self-Efficacy*, explaining 39 percent of its variance. *Magnified Necessity* (β = .40, p < .001), *Disqualified Benefits* (β = .27, p < .01), and *Minimized*

Self-Efficacy (β = .26, p < .01) all significantly and directly predicted higher likelihood of screening positive for problematic hypersexuality; both *Minimized Necessity* (β = .16, p < .01) and *Disqualified Benefits* (β = .05, p < .05) were also indirectly associated with problematic hypersexuality through *Minimized Self-Efficacy*. In total, the direct and indirect effects of the three subscales accounted for 45 percent of the variance in problematic hypersexuality.

To establish convergent validity, we examined bivariate associations between each of the three average subscales scores and impulsivity, emotion dysregulation, and anxiety/depression, each of which is characterized by maladaptive cognitions. Given that each is partially rooted in maladaptive patterns of thought but are general, rather than specific to sex like the MCASS, we expected moderate associations. In fact, we found that Magnified Necessity was moderately correlated with impulsivity, emotion dysregulation, and anxiety/depression (r = .31, p < .001; r = .001.42, p < .001; r = .43, p < .001, respectively); Disqualified Benefits was weakly correlated with each (r = .23, p < .00).001; r = .18, p < .01; r = .21, p < .01, respectively); and Minimized Self-Efficacy was moderately correlated with each (r = .34, p < .001; r = .43, p < .001; r = .42, p < .001,respectively).

Finally, to establish predictive validity, we conducted a binary logistic regression predicting screening positive for problematic hypersexuality, adjusting for factors that are well-established correlates of this outcome (i.e., HIV-positive status, sexual inhibition and excitation, impulsivity, emotion dysregulation, depression/anxiety, and sexual compulsivity). As previously established, the three average subscale scores were associated with

each of these covariates, and thus only those effects that are independent of these previously established predictors of hypersexuality (including sexual compulsivity itself) would be expected to emerge as significant. In this model, we found that the Disqualified Benefits subscale—the least associated with the other variables in the model—was the only significant, independently associated MCASS subscale (AOR = 1.77, p < .05), with neither Magnified Necessity (AOR = 1.23, ns) nor Minimized Self-Efficacy (AOR = 1.08, ns) reaching the level of significance. HIV-positive status, depression/ anxiety, and sexual compulsivity were the only other significant, independently associated variables in the model. Taken together, these findings suggest the three MCASS scales are meaningfully associated with other relevant constructs, demonstrating convergent validity, and that the Disqualified Benefits scale captures unique variance in problematic hypersexuality that is not currently captured by any prominently used measures to understand the etiology of hypersexuality, including those with nearly identical content (e.g., sexual compulsivity).

References

Pachankis, J. E., Rendina, H. J., Ventuneac, A., Grov, C., & Parsons, J. T. (2014). The role of maladaptive cognitions in hypersexuality among highly sexually active gay and bisexual men. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 43, 669–683. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-014-0261-y

Parsons, J. T., Rendina, H. J., Grov, C., Moody, R. L., Ventuneac, A., & Mustanski, B. (2019). The Hypersexual Disorder Screening Inventory. In R. R. Milhausen, J. K. Sakaluk, T. D. Fisher, C. M. Davis & W. L. Yarber (Eds.), Handbook of Sexuality-Related Measures (4th ed.). New York: Routledge.

Exhibit

Maladaptive Cognitions About Sex Scale

Please describe how often you experience the following thoughts regarding sexual activity

		1	2	3	4	5
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	All the time
1.	I need sex to calm me down when I am stressed.	0	0	0	0	0
2.	I need sex to help me cope with boredom.	0	0	0	0	0
3.	I need sex to help me concentrate.	0	0	0	0	0
4.	I need sex to deepen my connections to others.	0	0	0	0	0
5.	I need sex to relax.	0	0	0	0	0
6.	Sex is a waste of time.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	Sex leads to more harm than good.	0	0	0	0	0
8.	Sex isn't worth the effort.	0	0	0	0	0
9.	When a sexual image or fantasy enters my mind, I have a difficult time letting go of it.	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Once I start thinking about sex, I have a difficult time stopping.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	Just thinking about sex usually leads me to seek it out.	0	0	0	0	0

Sexual Thoughts Questionnaire

VERA SIGRE-LEIRÓS, Universidade do Porto JOANA CARVALHO, Universidade do Porto and Lusófona University Inês Tavares, Universidade do Porto Pedro J. Nobre,¹⁷ Universidade do Porto,

The Sexual Thoughts Questionnaire (STQ) is a 30-item questionnaire that assesses self-reported thoughts during exposure to sexual stimuli in laboratory settings (Sigre-Leirós, Carvalho, & Nobre, 2016). The STQ may be particularly useful for investigating the role of cognitive factors in men and women's sexual arousal in a laboratory context using psychophysiological methods.

Development

This questionnaire was developed due to the lack of measures that allow assessment of thought content during exposure to sexually explicit material (SEM) and to test previous theoretical hypotheses on the role of thought content on sexual response based on studies conducted outside the laboratory (Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2003; Nobre & Pinto-Gouveia, 2008). Thoughts included in the scale were selected based on their theoretical and clinical relevance. The items cover different topics such as sexual thoughts, distracting thoughts, performance and body image thoughts, and conservative and negative thoughts.

One hundred sixty-seven sexually healthy individuals (97 women and 70 men) participated in the validation study of the questionnaire (women, $M_{age} = 23.5$, SD =4.09; men, $M_{\text{age}} = 22.6$, SD = 3.33). Principal components analysis with varimax rotation was performed to verify the factor structure of the STQ. The analysis merged data from women and men to assess their common dimensions and allow further comparison of their differences on self-reported thoughts during exposure to erotica. This analysis identified the following five factors accounting for 55.9 percent of the total variance: (1) Sexual arousal thoughts: dimension characterized by thoughts of sexual and erotic content, (2) Distractive and disengaging thoughts: domain represented by thoughts related to a lack of motivation and interest during exposure to erotica, (3) Body image and performance thoughts: factor reflecting thoughts of being uncomfortable with one's body image or sexual performance compared with the actors, (4) Actresses' physical attractiveness thoughts: dimension characterized by thoughts reflecting the sexual attractiveness of the actress, and (5) Sinful and lack of affection thoughts: domain represented by negative

appraisal toward erotica and perception of lack of affection between actors.

The item selection for each factor was based on statistical criteria (loading > .4 on the respective factor) and on factor interpretability. One item (Item 3: "This is very artificial") loaded below .4 and was excluded. Item 14 ("My partner doesn't give me pleasure like that") also was excluded for loading higher than .4 in more than one factor. Moreover, Item 16 ("That man is really hot") was excluded from the body image and performance domain based on factor interpretability.

Response Mode and Timing

After the presentation of a sexually explicit film, participants are asked to answer the question: "To what extent did the following thoughts come to your mind during the sex clip?" Responses are assessed in a Likert-type scale, ranging from 0 (*never*) to 6 (*very frequently*). The scale typically takes less than 5 minutes to complete.

Scoring

All items are coded so that higher values indicate more frequent experience of each of the automatic thoughts

TABLE 1
Items, Minimums, and Maximums of the STQ Factors and
Total

Factors	Item number	Minimum	Maximum
Sexual Arousal Thoughts	7, 13, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 27	0	48
Distractive and	8, 22, 24, 26, 28,	0	42
Disengaging Thoughts	29, 30		
Body Image and	9, 10, 11, 12	0	24
Performance Thoughts			
Actresses' Physical	2, 5, 17	0	18
Attractiveness Thoughts			
Sinful and Lack of Affection Thoughts	1, 4, 6, 15, 18	0	30
Total		0	162

Note. Items 3, 14, and 16 are not computed in the subscales of the STQ for scoring purposes (for a detailed description please see Sigre-Leirós, Carvalho, & Nobre, 2016). The scale can be used with or without these items depending on their relevance within its application context (e.g., clinical context).

¹⁷ Address correspondence to: pnobre5@gmail.com

during exposure to SEM. An index of automatic thoughts may be calculated by summing all items. Specific scores for the five domains are computed by summing the items of each domain.

Reliability

Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's alpha for the five domains of the questionnaire. High inter-item correlations were observed within each factor. With the exception of the Sinful and Lack of Affection Thoughts dimension ($\alpha = .58$), all other dimensions presented satisfactory to good levels of internal consistency ($\alpha = .79-.86$; Sigre-Leirós et al., 2016).

Validity

To assess convergent validity, measurements of sexual arousal, namely subjective (self-report) and genital (physiological) response levels, were used. It was expected that the thoughts reported during exposure to erotica would be correlated with sexual arousal levels (mainly subjective arousal) assessed during the presentation of the erotic stimuli in women and men.

In women, subjective sexual arousal was significantly and positively associated with sexual arousal thoughts (r = .54, p < .001) and actress's physical attractiveness thoughts

(r = .27, p < .01). Conversely, subjective arousal was significantly and negatively associated with the sinful and lack of affection thoughts domain (r = -.24, p < .05). No significant associations were found between the thought dimensions and genital response (Sigre-Leirós et al., 2016).

In men, subjective sexual arousal was significantly and positively associated with sexual arousal thoughts (r = .50, p < .001) and actress's physical attractiveness domains (r = .28, p < .05). Likewise, a significant negative correlation between subjective sexual arousal and the distractive and disengaging thoughts dimension was found (r = -.31, p < .01). No significant associations were found between thought dimensions and genital response (Sigre-Leirós et al., 2016).

References

Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2003). Sexual Modes Questionnaire: Measure to assess the interaction between cognitions, emotions and sexual response. *Journal of Sex Research*, 40, 368–382. https://doi. org/10.1080/00224490209552203

Nobre, P. J., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2008). Differences in automatic thoughts presented during sexual activity between sexually functional and dysfunctional males and females. *Journal of Cognitive Therapy* and Research, 32, 37–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-007-9165-7

Sigre-Leirós, V., Carvalho, J., & Nobre, P. J. (2016). The Sexual Thoughts Questionnaire: Psychometric evaluation of a measure to assess self-reported thoughts during exposure to erotica using sexually functional individuals. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 13, 876–884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.02.162

Exhibit

Sexual Thoughts Questionnaire

To what extent did the following thoughts come to your mind during the sex clip?

		0 Never	I	2	3	4	5	6 Very Frequently
1.	This is disgusting.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	That woman is amazing in bed.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	This is very artificial.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	This is immoral.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	That woman really knows what men like.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	I can't allow myself such things.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	I'm getting excited.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	I shouldn't be here.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	I wish I had that body.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	That man really knows what women like.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	My body isn't as sexy as that one.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	I can't be as good in bed.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	This drives me crazy.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	My partner doesn't give me pleasure like that.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	This is very centered on penetration.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

16.	That man is really hot.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17.	That woman is really hot.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	There is no affection between them.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	I feel like touching myself.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20.	I would love being here with someone else.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21.	I feel like doing this.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22.	This is really boring.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23.	This is really great.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24.	This never ends.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25.	I wouldn't mind being there.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26.	This is a waste of time.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27.	I'm enjoying being here.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28.	I have more important things to do.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29.	I could be doing other things.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30.	This is unpleasant.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Sexual Awareness Questionnaire

WILLIAM E. SNELL, JR., 18 Southeast Missouri State University TERRI D. FISHER, The Ohio State University at Mansfield Rowland S. Miller, Sam Houston State University Christopher Quinn-Nilas, University of Guelph

The Sexual Awareness Questionnaire (SAQ; Snell, Fisher, & Miller, 1991) is a self-report instrument designed to measure four personality tendencies associated with sexual awareness and sexual assertiveness: (a) sexual consciousness, defined as the tendency to think and reflect about the nature of one's sexuality; (b) sexual preoccupation, defined as the tendency to think about sex to an excessive degree; (c) sexual monitoring, defined as the tendency to be aware of the public impression which one's sexuality makes on others; and (d) sexual assertiveness, defined as the tendency to be assertive about the sexual aspects of one's life.

Development

Originally, the questionnaire items were subjected to a principal axis factor analysis with varimax rotation; four factors accounted for 42 percent of the variance; the factors were sexual consciousness, sexual monitoring, sexual assertiveness, and sex-appeal consciousness. A second

cross-validation factor analysis supported this factor structure (Snell et al., 1991).

Response Mode and Timing

The SAQ has 36 items scored on a 5-point Likert scale: 0 (not at all characteristic of me), 1 (slightly characteristic of me), 2 (somewhat characteristic of me), 3 (moderately characteristic of me), and 4 (very characteristic of me). The scale requires about 15 to 30 minutes to complete and can be done via computer or pencil-and-paper.

Scoring

All of the SAQ items are coded so that A = 0; B = 1; C = 2; D = 3; and E = 4, except for six items which are reverse coded (Items 6, 9, 23, 30, 31, and 32). Next, the items on each subscale are summed, so that higher scores correspond to greater amounts of each respective psychological tendency. Note that not all 36 items are included in subscale calculations.

¹⁸ Address correspondence to: wesnell@semo.edu

Reliability

Originally, Cronbach's alpha coefficients were calculated using two separate samples from psychology courses at a U.S. university (Snell et al., 1991). The average age was 24 in both samples. Results indicated that subscales had acceptable levels of reliability (Table 1; Snell et al., 1991).

Research using U.S. college samples supported reliability of the sexual assertiveness subscale (α =.84; Yamamiya, Cash, & Thompson, 2006; α =.90; Bay-Cheng & Zucker, 2007; α =.89; Bay-Cheng & Fava, 2011) as well as the total scale score (α =.80; Lynn, Pipitone, & Keenan, 2014), and the total score among Canadian undergraduate students (α =.81; Muise, Preyde, Maitland, & Milhausen, 2010). Another sample of U.S. students reported alphas for sexual monitoring (α =.82 among women; α =.76 among men) and sexual consciousness (α =.87 among women; α =.85 among men; Smolak, Murnen, & Myers, 2014). Studies with U.S. college students have also used the sexual consciousness subscale alone: α =.87 (Preciado, Johnson, & Peplau, 2013), α =.82 (Katz & Schneider, 2015) and α =.87 (Bay-Cheng & Fava, 2011).

Cronbach's alpha was also found to be acceptable in a sample of girls (α = .84; Horne & Zimmer-Gembeck, 2006), and in a geographically broad sample of 851 men and women (Worthington, Navarro, Savoy, & Hampton, 2008): sexual consciousness (α = .77), sexual self-monitoring (α = .78), sexual preoccupation (α = .75), and sexual assertiveness (α = .93).

Validity

Snell et al. (1991) found that subscales were negatively related to measures of sex-anxiety and sex-guilt for males and females, and sexual-consciousness was related to erotophilic feelings. Women's and men's responses to the four SAQ subscales were related to their sexual attitudes, dispositions, and behaviors. Other findings indicated that men reported greater sexual assertiveness than women, with no gender differences found for sexual consciousness, sexual monitoring, or sex-appeal consciousness. Snell (1994) found that sexual assertiveness in males and females was predictive of greater contraceptive use; sexual consciousness and sexual monitoring predicted more

favorable attitudes toward condom use for males. In addition, for females and males, sexual consciousness, sexual monitoring, and sexual assertiveness were positively associated with a greater variety and a more extensive history of sexual experiences.

Snell, Fisher, and Schuh (1992) found that the SAQ was positively associated with sexual-esteem. Another study showed similar correlations between subscales of the SAQ and sexual-esteem, sexual-depression and sexual preoccupation (Snell, Fisher, & Walters, 1993).

Total scores on the SAQ have been associated with number of partners (r = .42; Lynn et al., 2014). The sexual assertiveness subscale was correlated with ambivalent sexual decisions (r = -.17) and emotional disengagement during sex (r = -.33; Yamamiya et al., 2006). Horne and Zimmer-Gembeck (2006) found that the sexual consciousness subscale was associated with sexual body esteem (r = .35) and sexual self-reflection (r = .37).

References

Bay-Cheng, L. Y., & Fava, N. M. (2011). Young women's experiences and perceptions of cunnilingus during adolescence. *Journal of Sex Research*, 48, 531–542. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2010. 535221

Bay-Cheng, L. Y., & Zucker, A. N. (2007). Feminism between the sheets: Sexual attitudes among feminists, nonfeminists, and egalitarians. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 31, 157–163. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.2007.00349.x

Horne, S., & Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J. (2006). The Female Sexual Subjectivity Inventory: Development and validation of a multidimensional inventory for late adolescents and emerging adults. *Psychology* of Women Quarterly, 30, 125–138. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.2006.00276.x

Katz, J., & Schneider, M. E. (2015). (Hetero) sexual compliance with unwanted casual sex: Associations with feelings about first sex and sexual self-perceptions. Sex Roles, 72, 451–461. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11199-015-0467-z

Lynn, C. D., Pipitone, R. N., & Keenan, J. P. (2014). To thine own self be false: Self-deceptive enhancement and sexual awareness influences on mating success. *Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences*, 8, 109–122. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0097255

Muise, A., Preyde, M., Maitland, S. B., & Milhausen, R. R. (2010). Sexual identity and sexual well-being in female heterosexual university students. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39, 915–925. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-009-9492-8

Preciado, M. A., Johnson, K. L., & Peplau, L. A. (2013). The impact of cues of stigma and support on self-perceived sexual orientation among

TABLE 1 Summary of Item Numbers, Score Ranges, and Reliability Coefficients of the SAQ from Snell et al. (1991)

Subscale	Items	Range		ch's Alpha nple I	Cronbach's Alpha Sample II		
			Male	Female	Male	Female	
Sexual Consciousness	1, 4, 10, 13, 22, 25	0–24	.83	.86	.85	.88	
Sexual Monitoring	2, 5, 14, 17, 23, 26, 28, 31, 32	0-36	.80	.82	.81	.82	
Sex-appeal Consciousness	8, 11, 29	0-12	.89	.92	.92	.92	
Sexual Assertiveness	3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 24	0–28	.83	.81	.80	.85	

heterosexually identified men and women. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 49(3), 477–485.

Smolak, L., Murnen, S. K., & Myers, T. A. (2014). Sexualizing the self: What college women and men think about and do to be "sexy." *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 38, 379–397. https://doi. org/10.1177/0361684314524168

Snell Jr, W. E. (1994). Sexual awareness: Contraception, sexual behaviors and sexual attitudes. Paper presented at the 63rd Annual Meeting of the Southwestern Psychological Association, Tulsa, OK, April.

Snell, W. E., Jr., Fisher, T. D., & Miller, R. S. (1991). Development of the Sexual Awareness Questionnaire: Components, reliability, and validity. *Annals of Sex Research*, 4, 65–92. https://doi.org/10. 1177/107906329100400104

Snell Jr, W. E., Fisher, T. D., & Schuh, T. (1992). Reliability and validity of the Sexuality Scale: A measure of sexual-esteem, sexual-depression,

- and sexual-preoccupation. *Journal of Sex Research*, 29, 261–273. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499209551646
- Snell Jr, W. E., Fisher, T. D., & Walters, A. S. (1993). The Multidimensional Sexuality Questionnaire: An objective self-report measure of psychological tendencies associated with human sexuality. *Annals of Sex Research*, 6, 27–55. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 107906329300600102
- Worthington, R. L., Navarro, R. L., Savoy, H. B., & Hampton, D. (2008). Development, reliability, and validity of the Measure of Sexual Identity Exploration and Commitment (MOSIEC). *Developmental Psychology*, 44, 22–33. https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.44.1.22
- Yamamiya, Y., Cash, T. F., & Thompson, J. K. (2006). Sexual experiences among college women: The differential effects of general versus contextual body images on sexuality. Sex Roles, 55, 421–427. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-006-9096-x

Exhibit

Sexual Awareness Questionnaire

The items listed below refer to the sexual aspects of people's lives. Please read each item carefully and decide to what extent it is characteristic of you. Give each item a rating of how much it applies to you by using the following scale:

		Α	В	С	D	E
		Not at all	Slightly	Somewhat	Moderately	Very
		characteristic	characteristic		characteristic	characteristic
		of me	of me	of me	of me	of me
1.	I am very aware of my sexual feelings.	0	0	0	0	0
2.	I wonder whether others think I'm sexy.	0	0	0	0	0
3.	I'm assertive about the sexual aspects of my life.	0	0	0	0	0
4.	I'm very aware of my sexual motivations.	0	0	0	0	0
5.	I'm concerned about the sexual appearance of	0	0	0	0	0
	my body.					
6.	I'm not very direct about voicing my sexual desires.	0	0	0	0	0
7.	I'm always trying to understand my sexual	0	0	0	0	0
	feelings.					
8.	I know immediately when others consider me	0	0	0	0	0
	sexy.					
9.	I am somewhat passive about expressing my	0	0	0	0	0
	sexual desires.					
	I'm very alert to changes in my sexual desires.	0	0	0	0	0
11.	I am quick to sense whether others think I'm	0	0	0	0	0
	sexy.					
12.	I do not hesitate to ask for what I want in a	0	0	0	0	0
	sexual relationship.					
	I am very aware of my sexual tendencies.	0	0	0	0	0
14.	I usually worry about making a good sexual	0	0	0	0	0
	impression on others.					
15.	I'm the type of person who insists on having my	0	0	0	0	0
	sexual needs met.					
16.	I think about my sexual motivations more than most people do.	0	0	0	0	0
17.	I'm concerned about what other people think	0	0	0	0	0
	of my sex appeal.					
18.	When it comes to sex, I usually ask for what I	0	0	0	0	0
	want.					

19.	I reflect about my sexual desires a lot.	0	0	0	0	0
20.	I never seem to know when I'm turning others on.	0	0	0	0	0
21.	If I were sexually interested in someone, I'd let that person know.	0	0	0	0	0
22.	I'm very aware of the way my mind works when I'm sexually aroused.	0	0	0	0	0
23.	I rarely think about my sex appeal.	0	0	0	0	0
	If I were to have sex with someone, I'd tell my partner what I like.	0	0	0	0	0
25.	I know what turns me on sexually.	0	0	0	0	0
26.	I don't care what others think of my sexuality.	0	0	0	0	0
27.	I don't let others tell me how to run my sex life.	0	0	0	0	0
28.	I rarely think about the sexual aspects of my life.	0	0	0	0	0
29.	I know when others think I'm sexy.	0	0	0	0	0
	If I were to have sex with someone, I'd let my partner take the initiative.	0	0	0	0	0
31.	l don't think about my sexuality very much.	0	0	0	0	0
32.	Other people's opinions of my sexuality don't matter very much to me.	0	0	0	0	0
33.	I would ask about sexually-transmitted diseases before having sex with someone.	0	0	0	0	0
34.	I don't consider myself a very sexual person.	0	0	0	0	0
	When I'm with others, I want to look sexy.	0	0	0	0	0
	If I wanted to practice "safe sex" with someone, I would insist on doing so.	0	0	0	0	0

Aging Sexual Knowledge and Attitudes Scale

CHARLES B. WHITE, 19 Trinity University

The Aging Sexual Knowledge and Attitudes Scale (ASKAS) is designed to measure two realms of sexuality: (a) knowledge about changes (and non-changes) in sexual response to advanced age in males and females and (b) general attitudes about sexual activity in the aged. The items are largely specific to the elderly rather than a general sexual knowledge-attitudes scale. The ASKAS was developed for use in assessing the impact of group or individual interventions on behalf of sexual functioning in the aged utilizing, for example, a pretest-posttest procedure. Further, the measure may form the basis for group and individual discussion about sexual attitudes and/or sexual knowledge. The scale is also appropriate for use in educational programs for those working with the aged.

The actual numerical scores may be conveniently used for research purposes, but the individual items are also useful to assess the extent of an individual's knowledge upon which to base clinical interventions, as well as identifying attitudinal obstacles to sexual intimacy in old age.

Response Mode and Timing

The ASKAS consists of 61 items, 35 true/false/don't know in format and 26 items responded to on a 7-point Likert-type scale as to degree of agreement or disagreement with the particular item. The 35 true/false questions assess knowledge about sexual changes and non-changes which are or are not age related. The 26 agree/disagree items

¹⁹ Address correspondence to: cwhite@trinity.edu

assess attitudes toward sexual behavior in the aged. The items are counterbalanced. The instrument takes 20–40 minutes to complete.

Scoring

The ASKAS may be given in an interview or written format and may be group administered or individually administered. The nature of the scoring and items are readily adaptable to computer scoring systems. Scoring information is presented in Table 1.

In the Knowledge section, questions 1 through 35, the following scoring applies: 1 (*true*), 2 (*false*), and 3 (*don't know*). Scoring is such that a low knowledge score indicates high knowledge. The rationale for the low knowledge score reflecting high knowledge is that *don't know* was given a value of 3, indicating low knowledge. Items 1, 10, 14, 17, 20, 30, and 31 are reversed scored.

The Attitude Questions use a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*disagree*) to 7 (*agree*). Items 44, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, and 59 are reverse scored. A low score indicates a permissive attitude.

Reliability

The reliability of the ASKAS has been examined in several different studies, and in varying ways, summarized in Table 2. As can be seen, reliabilities are very positive and at acceptable levels.

Validity

Presented in Table 3 are the means and standard deviations of ASKAS scores from several studies. These means are not meant to be viewed as normative, but rather illustrative of group variation in ASKAS performance.

The validity of the ASKAS has been examined in a sexual education program for older persons, by individuals working with older persons, and by adult family members of aged persons in which each group received the psychological-educational intervention separately

TABLE 1 Scoring and Coding for Items 1 to 35

Item	Answer								
1*	F	8	Т	15	F	22	Т	29	Т
2	T	9	F	16	T	23	T	30*	F
3	T	10*	F	17*	F	24	T	31*	F
4	T	11	T	18	T	25	T	32	T
5	T	12	T	19	T	26	T	33	T
6	T	13	T	20*	F	27	T	34	T
7	T	14*	F	21	T	28	T	35	T

Note. Items with an asterisk should be reverse scored.

TABLE 2 Aging Sexual Knowledge and Attitudes Scale (ASKAS) Reliabilities

Type of reliability	Reliability coefficient	Sample size	Type of sample
Knowledge			
Split-half ^a	.91	163	Nursing home staff
Split-half ^a	.90	279	Nursing home residents
Alpha	.93	163	Nursing home staff
Alpha	.91	279	Nursing home residents
Alpha	.92	30	Community older adults
Alpha	.90	30	Nursing home staff
Alpha	.90	30	Families of older adults
Test-retest	.97	15	Community older adults
Test-retest	.90	30	Staff of nursing home and families of the older adults
Attitudes			
Split-halfa	.86	163	Nursing home staff
Split-halfa	.83	279	Nursing home residents
Alpha	.85	163	Nursing home staff
Alpha	.76	279	Nursing home residents
Alpha	.87	30	Community older adults
Alpha	.87	30	Nursing home staff
Alpha	.86	30	Families of older adults
Test-retest	.96	15	Community older adults
Test-retest	.72	30	Staff of nursing home and families of the aged

^aThese correlations have been corrected for test length.

TABLE 3
Aging Sexual Knowledge and Attitudes Scale (ASKAS)
Score Means and Standard Deviations Score by Group

Group	n	M	SD
Nursing home residents ^a	273		
Attitudes		84.56	23.32
Knowledge		65.62	15.09
Community older adults ^b	30		
Attitudes		86.40	17.28
Knowledge		73.73	12.52
Families of older adults ^b	30		
Attitudes		75.00	22.66
Knowledge		78.00	13.61
Persons who work with older adults ^b	30		
Attitudes		76.00	17.60
Knowledge		62.46	12.50
Nursing home staff ^b	163		
Attitudes		61.08	25.79
Knowledge		64.19	17.25

Note. The possible range of ASKAS scores are as follows: Knowledge: 35–105; Attitudes: 26–182. All scores reported here are the pretest scores in cases where both pretests and posttests were administered.

^aWhite (1981).

^bWhite and Catania (1981).

(White & Catania, 1981). Each experimental group had a comparable nonintervention control group. In all cases, the educational intervention resulted in significant increases in knowledge and significant changes in the direction of a more permissive attitude, both relative to their own pretest scores and relative to the appropriate control group, whereas the control group posttest scores were not significantly changed relative to their pretest scores. There was a 4–6-week period between pretests and posttests.

Hammond (1979) utilized the ASKAS in a sexual education program for professionals working with the aged. She reported significant changes from pre- to posttest toward increased knowledge and more permissive attitudes in the interception group, as in the White and Catania (1981) research, whereas the control group scores were unchanged from pre- to posttest.

White (1982a), in a study of nursing home residents in 15 nursing homes, reported that both ASKAS attitude and knowledge scores were associated with whether an individual was sexually active or not such that more activity was associated with greater knowledge and with more permissive attitudes.

A factor analysis of the ASKAS results (White, 1982b) resulted in a two-factor solution, with each item loading most heavily on its hypothesized membership in either the attitude or knowledge section of the measure.

Other Information

The ASKAS may be utilized without permission. It is only requested that all findings be shared with the test author.

References

Hammond, D. (1979). An exploratory study of a workshop on sex and aging. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia, Athens. GA.

White, C. B. (1982a). Sexual interest, attitudes, knowledge, and sexual history in relation to sexual behavior in the institutionalized aged. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 11, 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF01541362

White, C. B. (1982b). A scale for the assessment of attitudes and knowledge regarding sexuality in the aged. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 11, 491–502. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01542474

White, C. B., & Catania, J. (1981). Sexual education for aged people, people who work with the aged, and families of aged people. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 15, 121–138.

Exhibit

Aging Sexual Knowledge and Attitudes Scale

Please indicate whether you think the following statements are true or false; you may also indicate that you do not know the answer.

		True	False	Don't know
1.	Sexual activity in aged persons is often dangerous to their health.	0	0	0
2.	Males over the age of 65 typically take longer to attain an erection of their penis than do younger males.	0	0	0
3.	Males over the age of 65 usually experience a reduction in intensity of orgasm relative to younger males.	0	0	0
4.	The firmness of erection in aged males is often less than that of younger persons.	0	0	0
5.	The older female (65+ years of age) has reduced vaginal lubrication secretion relative to younger females.	0	0	0
6.	The aged female takes longer to achieve adequate vaginal lubrication relative to younger females.	0	0	0
7.	The older female may experience painful intercourse due to reduced elasticity of the vagina and reduced vaginal lubrication.	0	0	0
8.	Sexuality is typically a life-long need.	0	0	0
9.	Sexual behavior in older people (65+) increases the risk of heart attack.	0	0	0
10.	Most males over the age of 65 are unable to engage in sexual intercourse.	0	0	0
11.	The relatively most sexually active younger people tend to become the relatively most sexually active older people.	0	0	0
12.	There is evidence that sexual activity in older persons has beneficial physical effects on the participants.	0	0	0
13.	Sexual activity may be psychologically beneficial to older person participants.	0	0	0
14.	Most older females are sexually unresponsive.	0	0	0
15.	The sex urge typically increases with age in males over 65.	0	0	0
16.	Prescription drugs may alter a person's sex drive.	0	0	0

Pleas	se indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements.			
	sexual responsiveness.			
35.	Masturbation in older males and females has beneficial effects on the maintenance of	0	0	0
	interest and activity well into their 80s and 90s.			
	In the absence of severe physical disability, males and females may maintain sexual	0	0	0
33.	Impotence in aged males may literally be effectively treated and cured in many instances.	0	0	0
	of 60 relative to young males.			
	Secondary impotence (or non-physiologically caused) increases in males over the age	0	0	0
31.	There is an inevitable loss of sexual satisfaction in post-menopausal women.	0	0	0
30.	Excessive masturbation may bring about an early onset of mental confusion and dementia in the aged.	0	0	0
20	psychological causes rather than biological and physical causes.		0	0
29.	The ending of sexual activity in old age is most likely and primarily due to social and	0	0	0
	sexually in older males.	_	O	O
28	the consistency of sexual activity throughout his life. Fear of the inability to perform sexually may bring about an inability to perform	0	0	0
27.	An important factor in the maintenance of sexual responsiveness in the aging male is	0	0	0
	Heavy consumption of cigarettes may diminish sexual desire.	0	0	0
25.	There is a greater decrease in male sexuality with age than there is in female sexuality.	0	0	0
	There is a decrease in frequency of sexual activity with older age in males.	0	0	0
	Sexual disinterest in aged persons may be a reflection of a psychological state of depression.	0	0	0
	persons and interfere with sexual responsiveness.	_		
22.	Barbiturates, tranquilizers, and alcohol may lower the sexual arousal levels of aged	0	0	0
۷1.	is the interest or lack of interest of the husband in a sexual relationship with his wife.	O	O	O
21	for stimulation. The most common determinant of the frequency of sexual activity in older couples	0	0	0
20.	Older males and females cannot act as sex partners as both need younger partners	0	0	0
17.	Older males typically experience a reduced need to ejaculate and hence may maintain an erection of the penis for a longer time than younger males.	0	0	0
10	time rather than a reduction of interest in sex.			0
18.	Basically, changes with advanced age (65+) in sexuality involve a slowing of response	0	0	0
17.	Females, after menopause, have a physiologically induced need for sexual activity.	0	0	0

		I	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Disagree						Agree
36.	Aged people have little interest in sexuality.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(Aged = 65+ years of age.)							
37.	An aged person who shows sexual interest brings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	disgrace to himself/herself.							
38.	Institutions, such as nursing homes, ought not to encourage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	or support sexual activity of any sort in its residents.							
39.	Male and female residents of nursing homes ought to live	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	on separate floors or separate wings of the nursing home.							
40.	Nursing homes have no obligation to provide	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	adequate privacy for residents who desire to be							
	alone, either by themselves or as a couple.							
41.	As one becomes older (say past 65) interest in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	sexuality inevitably disappears.							

If a relative of mine, living in a nursing home, was to have a sexual relationship with another resident I would:

		ı	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Disagree						Agree
42.	Complain to the management.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43.	Move my relative from this institution.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44.	Stay out of it as it is not my concern.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45.	If I knew that a particular nursing home permitted and supported sexual activity in residents who desired	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	such I would not place a relative in that nursing home							

46.	It is immoral for older persons to engage in recreational sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47.	I would like to know more about the changes in sexual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48.	functioning in older years. I feel I know all I need to know about sexuality in the	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49.	aged. I would complain to the management if I knew of sexual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50.	activity between any residents of a nursing home. I would support sex education courses for aged residents of nursing homes.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51.	I would support sex education courses for the staff of nursing homes.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52.	Masturbation is an acceptable sexual activity for older males.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53.	Masturbation is an acceptable sexual activity for older females.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54.	Institutions, such as the nursing home, ought to provide large enough beds for couples who desire such to sleep together.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55.	Staff of nursing homes ought to be trained or educated with regard to sexuality in the aged and/or disabled.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56.	Residents of nursing homes ought not to engage in sexual activity of any sort.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
57.	· · · · ·	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
58.	Masturbation is harmful and ought to be avoided.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
59.	Institutions, such as nursing homes, should provide privacy such as to allow residents to engage in sexual behavior without fear of intrusion of observation.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60.	If family members object to a widowed relative engaging in sexual relations with another resident of a nursing home, it is the obligation of the management and staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61.	to make certain that such sexual activity is prevented. Sexual relations outside the context of marriage are always wrong.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Attitudes Toward Masturbation Scale

Chantal D. Young, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California Charlene L. Muehlenhard, ²⁰ University of Kansas

The Attitudes Toward Masturbation Scale (ATMS) was developed to assess individuals' complex and often conflicting thoughts and feelings about masturbating (Young & Muehlenhard, 2009). We found two existing scales for measuring attitudes about masturbation: Abramson and Mosher's (1975) Negative Attitudes Toward Masturbation Inventory and Miller and Lief's (1976) Masturbation Attitude Scale. Both were more than 30 years old, both yield only one global score, and both assess respondents' attitudes about masturbation in

general rather than about *their own* masturbation. We developed the ATMS to assess respondents' (a) reasons for wanting (or being tempted) to masturbate, (b) reasons for avoiding (or trying to avoid) masturbating, and (c) positive and negative feelings about masturbating.

Development

The ATMS was developed using a multistep process. First, in a pilot study, 236 undergraduate women and men wrote

²⁰ Address correspondence to: charlene@ku.edu

answers to open-ended questions about their attitudes and feelings about masturbation. Second, we compiled their responses and used them to create scale items. We also created scale items reflecting themes identified in prior studies of attitudes toward masturbation (e.g., Clifford, 1978; Elliott & Brantley, 1997). Our preliminary scale included 223 items divided into three sections reflecting reasons for wanting—or being tempted—to masturbate, reasons for avoiding—or trying to avoid—masturbation, and feelings about masturbating. Third, a new sample of 518 undergraduate women and men rated these items on a 7-point scale. We used their responses to divide the items into subscales, based on factor loadings derived from principal components analysis, Cronbach's alphas, and conceptual considerations (Young & Muehlenhard, 2009).

The scale was developed and tested using samples of college students, but it could be used with other populations. It is designed so that anyone can complete it, regardless of whether or not they masturbate.

Response Mode and Timing

The ATMS consists of 179 items, divided into 28 subscales in three categories. First, the 13 Reasons-for-Wantingto-Masturbate subscales assess themes such as pleasure, mood improvement, and avoidance of partner sex. Items are rated on a 7-point scale, from 0 (Not a Reason) to 6 (A Very Important Reason). Second, the 10 Reasons-for-Avoiding-Masturbation subscales assess themes such as perceived immorality, lack of desire or interest, and preference for partner sex. The same 7-point scale for response choices is used. Third, the five Feelings-about-Masturbation subscales assess satisfaction, guilt, anger, anxiety, and indifference. Respondents rate the strength of each feeling, using a 7-point scale ranging from 0 (Not at all) to 6 (Very strongly). The ATMS can be administered as a paper-and-pencil questionnaire or online. It can be completed in about 15 to 30 minutes.

Scoring

Subscale scores are calculated by averaging the respondent's ratings for the items on each subscale. Subscale scores can range from 0 to 6. For the *Reasons-for-Wanting-to-Masturbate* subscales and the *Reasons-for-Avoiding-Masturbation* subscales, higher scores reflect a greater importance of the reason tapped by that subscale. For the *Feelings-about-Masturbation* subscales, higher scores reflect greater intensity of feeling.

Each subscale score can be used individually to assess the specific content of each subscale. In addition, four composite scores can be calculated: the *Wanting Composite* (the mean of the 13 *Reasons-for-Wanting-to-Masturbate* subscales), the *Avoiding Composite* (the mean of the 10 *Reasons-for-Avoiding-Masturbation* subscales), the *Positive-Feelings Composite* (the *Satisfaction* subscale score), and the *Negative-Feelings Composite*

(the mean of the *Guilt, Anger, Anxiety*, and *Indifference* subscales). These composites can be used to assess the respondent's overall positive and negative attitudes toward masturbation.

The subscales and items on each are as follows: Reasons-for-Wanting-to-Masturbate Subscales

Pleasure: 1, 2, 35, 41, 42, 44, 50, 51, 52

Self-Exploration and Improvement: 11, 13, 17, 23, 39, 54, 55, 56, 63, 68

Mood Improvement: 47, 60, 62, 67

Relaxation and Stress Relief: 6, 7, 16, 40, 46, 58

Avoidance of Partner Sex: 26, 28, 29, 30, 34, 65

Arousal Decrease: 18, 21, 33, 49, 59, 61, 64, 69

Compulsion: 8, 25, 27, 32, 43

Pleasure of Partner: 15, 66, 70

Adherence to Social Norms: 12, 14, 19, 20, 38, 57

Substitution for Partner Sex: 4, 9, 10, 22, 24, 31

Importance of Fantasy: 36, 37, 48, 72

Feeling Unattractive: 45, 53, 71

Boredom: 3, 5

Reasons-for-Avoiding-Masturbation Subscales

Immorality: 73, 74, 75, 79, 81, 83, 105, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 131, 132, 134

No Desire or Interest: 76, 77, 86, 87, 88, 100, 101, 114, 118, 119, 120

Preference for Partner Sex: 90, 103, 104, 107, 110, 128, 129, 133

Fear of Negative Social Evaluation: 84, 91, 93, 95, 102, 121

Sex Negativity: 78, 82, 85, 94, 96, 97

Negative Mood State: 92, 106, 109, 117

Detraction from Partner Sex: 111, 112

In Committed Relationship: 80, 98, 108, 115

Bothered by Thoughts: 116, 130

Self-Control: 89, 99, 113

Feelings-Related-to-Masturbation Subscales

Satisfaction: 135, 139, 146, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 156, 157, 158, 163, 166, 170, 173, 174, 176, 177, 178

Guilt: 136, 138, 142, 143, 153, 154, 155, 167, 168, 169, 171, 179

1/1, 1/9

Anger: 159, 160, 161, 165

Anxiety: 144, 145, 148, 162

Indifference: 137, 140, 141, 164, 172, 175

Reliability

For a sample of 518 undergraduate women and men (Young & Muehlenhard, 2009), Cronbach's alphas for the subscales ranged from .71 to .97, providing evidence that the subscales have good internal consistency. Hungrige (2016) used the *Negative-Feelings Composite* to study women's attitudes toward masturbation; for her online sample of 243 women, ages 18 to 70, this composite demonstrated high reliability ($\alpha = .97$).

Validity

Young and Muehlenhard (2009) found numerous significant differences between participants who masturbated and those who did not, even after controlling for gender. Compared with non-masturbators, masturbators scored significantly higher on 9 of the 13 Reasons-for-Wanting-to-Masturbate subscales and the Satisfaction subscale and significantly lower on 5 of the 10 Reasons-for-Avoiding-Masturbation subscales and the Guilt, Anger, Anxiety, and Indifference subscales.

Consistent with meta-analytic findings that more men than women masturbate (Oliver & Hyde, 1993; Petersen & Hyde, 2007), there were significant gender differences on 18 of the 28 subscales. Men generally reported stronger reasons for wanting to masturbate, weaker reasons for avoiding masturbation, and stronger positive and weaker negative feelings related to masturbation. When controlling for masturbation status, there were fewer gender differences, but some remained: For the Reasons-for-Wanting-to-Masturbate subscales, women scored higher on Self-Exploration and Improvement, Avoidance of Partner Sex, and Pleasure of Partner; men scored higher on Boredom. For Reasons-for-Avoiding-Masturbation subscales, women scored higher on *No Desire or Interest, Fear of Negative Social Evaluation,* and Sex Negativity. For Feelings-Related-to-Masturbation subscales, women scored higher on Anxiety.

Young and Muehlenhard (2009) performed a cluster analysis on participants' subscale scores. They identified four clusters: The *enthusiastic* cluster had high *Wanting* subscale scores and low *Avoiding* subscales scores. The *lukewarm* cluster had low *Wanting* subscale scores and even lower *Avoiding* subscales scores. The *high-guilt* cluster had low *Wanting* subscale scores and high *Avoiding* subscales scores. The *ambivalent* cluster had the highest *Wanting* subscale scores and the highest *Avoiding* subscales scores. These clusters showed numerous differences in the percentages of women and men in the cluster, the percentages who reported masturbating, and their qualitative comments about masturbation.

In a study of women aged 18–70, mentioned above, Hungrige (2016) found that women who had not masturbated as adults scored significantly higher on the Negative-Feelings Composite than those who had masturbated as adults. Similarly, Stroupe (2008) found that undergraduate women who never masturbated had significantly higher Negative-Feelings and Reasons-for-Avoiding-Masturbation Composite scores and significantly lower Positive-Feelings and Reasons-for-Wanting-to-Masturbate Composite scores than did women who masturbated regularly; women who masturbated infrequently were intermediate. Furthermore, many individual subscales were significantly related to masturbation frequency and to whether women were orgasmic from masturbation and from partnered sex.

Other Information

With our permission, Ramanathan et al. (2014) created a short version of the ATMS. To assess reasons for masturbating, they used 13 items, one for each ATMS reasons-for-masturbating subscale. To assess feelings about masturbation, they used 2–3 items from each ATMS feelings subscale. They used a dichotomous response scale, allowing them to calculate the percentages of participants who reported each reason and feeling about masturbation.

With appropriate citation, the ATMS may be copied and used for educational, research, and clinical purposes, without permission. The authors would appreciate receiving a summary of any research using this scale.

References

Abramson, P., & Mosher, D. (1975). Development of a measure of negative attitudes toward masturbation. *Journal of Counseling and Clinical Psychology*, 43, 485–490. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0076830 Clifford, R. (1978). Development of masturbation in college women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 7, 559–573. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01541922

Elliott, L., & Brantley, C. (1997). Sex on campus: The naked truth about the real sex lives of college students. New York: Random House.

Hungrige, A. (2016). Women's masturbation: An exploration of the influence of shame, guilt, and religiosity. Doctoral dissertation, Texas Woman's University, Denton, TX. Retrieved from https://twu-ir.tdl.org/twu-ir/bitstream/handle/11274/8755/Hungriged2.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y

Miller, W. R., & Lief, H. I. (1976). Masturbatory attitudes, knowledge, and experience: Data from the Sex Knowledge and Attitude Test (SKAT). Archives of Sexual Behavior, 5, 447–467. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01541336

Oliver, M. B., & Hyde, J. S. (1993). Gender differences in sexuality: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 114, 29–51.

Petersen, J., & Hyde, J. S. (2007). A meta-analytic review of gender differences in sexuality: 1990–2007. Paper presented at the 50th Anniversary Meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Sexuality, Indianapolis, IN, November. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017504

Ramanathan, V., Sitharthan, G., Pepper, K., & Wylie, K. (2014). Masturbatory behavior and feelings: An exploratory study of Indian immigrant men in Australia. *International Journal of Sexual Health*, 26, 25–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/19317611.2013.828147

Stroupe, N. (2008). How difficult is too difficult? The relationships among women's sexual experience and attitudes, difficulty with orgasm, and perception of themselves as orgasmic or anorgasmic. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS.

Young, C. D., & Muehlenhard, C. L. (2009). The meanings of masturbation. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Psychology, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS.

Exhibit

Attitudes Toward Masturbation Scale

Reasons for Wanting to Masturbate

Whether they masturbate or not, people may want to masturbate (or be tempted to masturbate) for many different reasons. Below is a list of possible reasons. Please rate how strong each of the reasons is for your wanting to masturbate or being tempted to masturbate, regardless of whether or not you actually masturbate.

For you, how strong are the following reasons for wanting to (or being tempted to) masturbate?

	, - 0		`		<u>'</u>			,
		0 Not a Reason	I	2	3 A Moderately Important Reason	4	5	6 A Very Important Reason
Ι.	If I'm feeling horny.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	If there is nothing else to do.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	To relieve stress.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	If I'm anxious.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Because—even though I try—I just	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٠.	can't stop myself.	Ü	O	Ŭ	Ü	Ü	Ŭ	Ü
9.	Because it's a substitute for sex with a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ıο	partner. Out of sexual frustration.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	I hope that masturbating will help me reach orgasm with a partner.	O	O	O	O	O	O	0
12.	Someone else thinks I should (e.g., a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	friend or a dating partner).							
	To explore my own sexuality.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	So I could say that I've done it (it's	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	something to talk about).							
	My partner wants to watch me do it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۱6.	It's a good way to take a break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(e.g., a break from studying, etc.).							
17.	I'm curious about it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	If I want to avoid unwanted arousal later.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	My friends have masturbated, and I want	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	to be able to talk with them about it.							
20.	"Everyone" does it, and I want to feel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	"sexually normal."							
21.	If I'm so sexually aroused that it's interfering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	with other things I want or need to do.							
22.	If I don't have a partner to have sex with.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23.	To make myself a better sexual partner	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(e.g., to figure out how to achieve							
	orgasm or to become more comfortable							
	having orgasms with my partner).							
24.	Masturbating helps me keep my mind	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	off sex with a partner.							
25.	lt's a compulsive sexual behavior.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27.	I just do it without really thinking	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	about it.							

28.	Masturbating makes it easier to avoid sex with a partner, and I don't want to have sex with a partner for moral reasons (e.g., I don't want to have sex before marriage).	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29.	Masturbating makes it easier to avoid sex with a partner, and I don't want to have sex with a partner for health reasons (e.g., I don't want to risk sexually	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30.	transmitted diseases or pregnancy). Masturbating makes it easier to avoid sex with a partner, and I don't want to have sex with a partner for self-esteem reasons (e.g., I don't feel comfortable being sexual with someone else).	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31.	If I have a partner, but my partner refuses to have sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32.	I feel an uncontrollable urge to do it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	If I want to decrease my sexual arousal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	so I can focus on something else.	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ŭ	O	Ü	Ŭ
34	It's more moral to masturbate than to	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٥	have sex with a partner.	0	0	Ü	O	O	Ü	0
35	If I want to have an orgasm.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	I get aroused by sexual activities that	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50.	are not socially acceptable, so I fantasize	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
27	about them during masturbation.	\circ	\circ		0	_	\circ	0
37.	I get aroused by sexual activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	that are not possible in real life,							
	so I fantasize about them during							
	masturbation (e.g., sex with a movie							
	star, sex on a beach, etc.).	_	_	_		_	_	_
38.	Because I hear about it from TV,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	movies, magazines, etc.			_				
	Masturbating improves my sexual health.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	To help me fall asleep.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Because it's fun.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42.	Because I know exactly how to stimulate myself and maximize my pleasure.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43.	It's a habit.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44.	If I am already sexually aroused (e.g., from	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	watching a movie, reading a magazine).							
45.	Because I feel like no one is attracted to me.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	If I want to relax.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	If I want to exercise my imagination.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	So that I can focus my concentration	_	_				0	
٦/.	on a task after masturbating.	0	0	0	0	0	O	0
50	Because I deserve to experience	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50.	pleasure.	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
51.	If I see someone or something that is	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	arousing.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	If I have an urge to do something sexual.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53.	Because I'm not comfortable enough with my body to be sexual with someone else.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54.	To learn how to give myself pleasure.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55.	To gain more sexual confidence.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

56.	Because it's good exercise.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
57.	Because my friends masturbate.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
58.	To calm myself down.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
59.	So that I can stop thinking about masturbating.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60.	If I feel frustrated about something else.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61.	It makes me feel peaceful.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62.	It distracts me when I'm feeling down.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63.	To try a new method (e.g., sex toys,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	pornography).							
64.	It's an escape.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65.	To avoid using another person for sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66.	Because it arouses my partner when	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	he/she knows that I masturbated.							
67.	If I'm in a bad mood.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68.	To learn how to have better orgasms.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
69.	If I'm already sexually aroused, and I want	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	to decrease my level of sexual arousal.							
70.	Because it arouses my partner when I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	masturbate in front of him/her.							
71.	If I'm feeling unattractive.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
72.	I enjoy my fantasies during	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	masturbation.							

Reasons for Avoiding (or Trying to Avoid) Masturbating

Whether they masturbate or not, people might avoid (or try to avoid) masturbating for many different reasons. Below is a list of possible reasons. Please rate how strong each of the reasons is for you avoiding (or trying to avoid) masturbating, regardless of whether or not you actually masturbate.

For you, how strong are the following reasons for avoiding (or trying to avoid) masturbating?

,			, , ,	,	Ü			
		0 Not a Reason	ı	2	3 A Moderately	4	5	6 A Very Important
					Important Reason			Reason
73.	lt's against my religion.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
74.	It's against my morals or values.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
75.	It's against my parents' morals or values.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76.	I'm just not interested.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
77.	It just doesn't appeal to me.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
78.	I am uncomfortable with any sexual behavior.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
79.	It would make me feel cheap.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80.	If I am committed to someone.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81.	I would feel guilty about it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
82.	I am anxious about sexual behavior.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83.	I know I'd regret it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
84.	I fear it will damage my reputation.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
85.	I feel uncomfortable or embarrassed about my body.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
86.	I think it would be physically uncomfortable.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
87.	It seems weird to me.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
88.	I feel strange doing it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
89.	I think I should have more self-control.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
90.	If I'm currently sexually satisfied.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
91.	Society says it's wrong.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
92.	If I'm stressed.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

93.	I'm afraid of someone knowing I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.4	masturbate	_	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It makes me feel lonely.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	If I'm afraid of being caught.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It makes me feel sexually inadequate.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It's bad for my health.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	If I'm in a committed relationship.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	l like to feel in control of my urges.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	I'm not sure how to masturbate.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	I don't like how it feels.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It's embarrassing to me.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Because I like intercourse better.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
104.	Because I like any sexual contact with	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a partner better.							
	I feel bad about myself afterwards.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
106.	If I'm depressed.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
107.	Orgasms are better with a partner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
108.	My partner doesn't want me to do it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
109.	If I'm worried about something else.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
110.	If I've recently had sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
111.	It makes me less able to orgasm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	during sex.							
112.	It makes me less horny during sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
113.	I want to improve my self-discipline.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
114.	It's boring.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
115.	I feel like I'm cheating on my partner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	My fantasies during masturbation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	bother me.							
117.	If I've had a bad day.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
118.	It's a waste of time.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
119.	It seems pointless.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	I don't find it sexually arousing.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other people might find me gross.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	My family is against it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	My friends are against it.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It makes me feel empty inside.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	I was raised to believe it's wrong.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It makes me feel ashamed.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It's disrespectful to myself.	0	0	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	0
	If I'm satisfied with the quantity of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
. 20.	the sex I'm having.	0	O	O	O	O	O	O
129	If I'm satisfied with the quality of the	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	sex I'm having.	0		\circ	0		0	
130	My sexual thoughts during	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
. 50.	masturbation bother me.	0		\circ	0		0	\circ
131	Masturbation in an adult is immature.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It makes me feel like I'm sinning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
. 52.	against myself.	0		\circ	0		0	
133	lt's not as good as sex.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	It does not fit with my religious views.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
· J T.	ic 3565 flot he with my religious views.							

Feelings about Masturbation

Check which set of directions applies to you:

- O **If you masturbate**: People feel many different things when they masturbate. Below is a list of possible feelings. How strongly, if at all, do you usually experience these feelings when you masturbate?
- O **If you don't masturbate**: People feel many different things when they masturbate. Below is a list of possible feelings. How strongly, if at all, do you think you **would** usually experience these feelings if you **did** masturbate?

How strongly do you experience this feeling when you masturbate? $\begin{tabular}{ll} \end{tabular} \label{table_equation} \end{tabular}$

How strongly would you experience this feeling if you did masturbate?

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
		Not At All			Somewhat			Very Strongly
135.	Нарру	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
136.	Guilty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
137.	. ,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
138.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Healthy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Indifferent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Nothing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Strange	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Embarrassed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Anxious	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Tense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Horny Focused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Awkward	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Calm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Relieved	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	In control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ashamed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Regretful	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Degraded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pleased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
157.	Connected to myself	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
158.	Refreshed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
159.	Frustrated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Aggressive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
161.	Angry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Nervous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Content	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Unemotional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stressed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Attractive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Immoral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Remorseful	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disgusted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Thrilled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disappointed Detached	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Aroused	0	0	0			0	0
	Relaxed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Passive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Comfortable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Satisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Invigorated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sinful	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			-					